

PAPER NAME AUTHOR

Jurnal Internasional Bereputasi 1.pdf Darwin LIE

WORD COUNT CHARACTER COUNT

745 Words 4713 Characters

PAGE COUNT FILE SIZE

1 Page 37.6KB

SUBMISSION DATE REPORT DATE

Nov 3, 2022 7:41 PM GMT+7 Nov 3, 2022 7:42 PM GMT+7

23% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

• 23% Publications database

Crossref database

Excluded from Similarity Report

- Internet database
- · Submitted Works database

Crossref Posted Content database

The Effect Environmental Performance, Environmental Disclosure, Firm Size, and Return on Equity on Economic Performance

Darwin LIE1*, Arfan IKHSAN2, JUBI1, Hendra HARMAIN3, Murni Dahlena NASUTION4

¹STIE Sultan Agung Siantar, Siantar, ²Indonesia ²Universitas Negeri Medan, Medan, Indonesia ³Universitas Islam Negeri Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia ⁴Universitas Muslim Nusantara, Indonesia ^{*}Corresponding author: liedarwin@gmail.com



This research aimed to examine the effect of environmental performance, environmental disclosure, firm size, and return on equity to the economic performance. The population in this study is a 35 non financial company listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. These results indicate that environmental performance (X1), environmental performance (X2), firm size (X3) return on equity (X4) positively influence on economic performance (Y).

Keywords: economic performance; environmental performance; firm size; return on equity; environmental disclosure.

1. Introduction

Successful business strategies depend on the quality and comprehensiveness of information available to decision-makers. The practice of generating management information such as cost of sales is well established, and the systems employed to produce conventional management reports generally ensure timely availability of high-quality data to management. However, competitive advantage is gained by generating and capitalizing on business information not generally investigated by one's competitors. Comprehensive management information, including information on environmental costs and opportunities, can yield competitive advantage. Typically, environmental costs and associated opportunities are buried in various overhead accounts. By distorting costing and pricing across the business, this practice can result in poor investment and strategic decisions. The term "environmental accounting" is open to interpretation. In this guideline, environmental accounting is the identification, measurement and allocation of environmental costs, the integration of these environmental costs into business decisions, and the subsequent communication of the information to a company's stakeholders(Institute of Management Accountants, 1996). Ikhsan (Ikhsan, 2009) said that environmental issues direct or not, has been included in the economic performance of a business/activity or organization. Environmental Accounting can support national income accounting, ecological accounting at local administration level and at micro level related to financial accounting, cost accounting or internal business managerial accounting. Ferreira (Ferreira, Erasmus, & Groenewald, 2009) stated that the issue of environmental conservation is the duty of every individual, government and company. The company has an important role in creating a good and healthy environment. Similarly, Djajadiningrat (Djajadiningrat, Hendriani, Famiola, & Wisesa, 2011) said that the world business (company) must play an active role in redefining its operations in a sustainable direction, because without the intervention of the world business, the world as a whole will not be able to succeed in creating sustainable conditions. Therefore, the emphasis of the company's participation in realizing a healthy social and environmental conditions is good.

2. Overview Theory

2.1. The Effect of Environmental Performance on Economic Performance

ne relationship between environmental and economic performance firms is an important issue for environmental olicy making the current discussion about this relationship, is often argued that there is a conflict between competitiveness of firms and their environmental performance (Walley & Whitehead, 1994). For example, at the level of a specific industry, the share of environmental costs in total manufacturing costs might be considerably higher than average (Stavropoulos, Wall, & Xu, 2018). Particularly, this might be the case for industries upstream in the production chain (such as primary resource traction or primary manufacturing), which have been shown to live rise to environmental impacts disproportionate to the value added ociated with their production activities (Clift Wright, 2000). Only recently, the notion emerged that improved environmental performance is a potential source for competitive advantage as it can lead to more efficient processes, improvements in productivity, lower costs of compliance and new market opportunities (Porter, 1991; Porter & Linde, 1995), although this often refers to other aspects of environmental performance than those addressed and measured traditionally (Wehrmeyer & Tyteca, 1998). Therefore, the preceding arguments lead to the first hypothesis:

H1 = The environmental performance has influence an economic performance

2.2. The Effect of Environmental Diclosure on Economic Performance

Corporate Environmental Disclosure (CED) refers to "accountability to society as a whole with respect to matters of public interest such as community welfare, public safety, and the environment" (Mahmes, 2016; Radebaugh, Gray, & Black, 2006). To justify its continued existence, a company should be held accountable for its performance and actions that impact upon people, their communities and their environment(Arevalo & Aravind, 2011); to create a communication channel with



23% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

• 23% Publications database

Crossref database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Marcus Wagner. "The relationship between the environmental and eco Crossref	17%
2	Rahadian Zainul, Muhammad Adri, Sriadhi, Khaerudin et al. "Develop Crossref	2%
3	Mohamad Nur Utomo, Sri Rahayu, Kaujan Kaujan, Soni Agus Irwandi. "E Crossref	2%
4	Kjetil Telle. ""It Pays to be Green" - A Premature Conclusion?", Environ Crossref	1%
5	Shu g Lin. "Assessment on the social responsibility of Taiwan's manuf Crossref	1%