

BUKTI KORESPONDENSI

ARTIKEL JURNAL INTERNASIONAL TERINDEKS SCOPUS Q3

Judul artikel : Driving Business Leadership Through Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior: Evidence from Green Human Resource Management, Green Satisfaction, and Green Supportive Climate


Jurnal : Business Ethics and Leadership

Tahun/Vol/No/Page : 2025, Volume 9 (4), 272-288

Penulis : Edy Dharma, Reina A. Hadikusumo, Marisi Butarbutar, Salman Faris, Darwin Lie

No.	Perihal	Tanggal
1.	Bukti konfirmasi submit artikel	21 November 2025
2.	Bukti konfirmasi hasil review pertama	15 Desember 2025
3.	Bukti konfirmasi submit revisi pertama, respon kepada reviewer, dan artikel yang diresubmit	18 Desember 2025
4.	Bukti konfirmasi hasil review kedua	22 Desember 2025
5.	Bukti konfirmasi submit revisi kedua, respon kepada reviewer, dan artikel yang diresubmit	24 Desember 2025
6.	Bukti konfirmasi artikel accepted	26 Desember 2025
7.	Bukti konfirmasi copyediting dan sign agreement	01 Januari 2026
8.	Bukti konfirmasi signed the agreement	02 Januari 2026
9.	Bukti konfirmasi artikel published online	05 Januari 2026

Bukti konfirmasi submit artikel dan artikel yang disubmit (21 November 2025)



Accelerating the Green HRM Model with Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior in Supporting Sustainable Practices in the Indonesian Travel and Tourism Industry

Edy Dharma,  **ORCID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6460-2145>

M.Si., Management Program Study, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Sultan Agung, Indonesia

Reina A. Hadikusumo,  **ORCID:** <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-8535-4667>

Dr., Marketing Management Study Program, Universitas Surabaya, Indonesia

Marisi Butarbutar,  **ORCID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3071-6064>

Dr., Management Science Study Program, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Sultan Agung, Indonesia

Salman Faris,  **ORCID:** <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6574-4316>

Dr., Management Program Study, Universitas Prima Indonesia, Indonesia

Darwin Lie,  **ORCID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2396-3160>

Dr., Doctoral Program in Management Science, Universitas Prima Indonesia, Indonesia

Corresponding author: Edy Dharma, mrdharmaedy@gmail.com

Type of manuscript: research paper

Abstract: The increasing emphasis on environmental sustainability has become a critical issue within the context of business leadership, as organizational leaders are required to integrate environmental responsibility into strategic and operational decision-making. This study aims to investigate the organizational determinants that influence employees' voluntary engagement in environmentally responsible behavior in the workplace. The research was conducted through a survey administered in 2023 in Indonesia, specifically in the travel and tourism industry of North Sumatra, involving 160 employees from various travel companies, an industry selected due to its high environmental impact and strategic role in sustainable development. The data were analyzed using Partial Least Squares–Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) with SmartPLS software to examine the relationships among the studied constructs. The findings demonstrate that organizational sustainability-oriented practices, employees' positive environmental-related attitudes, and a supportive organizational climate significantly enhance voluntary workplace green behavior. The results further indicate that internal organizational mechanisms play a crucial role in shaping employees' discretionary pro-environmental actions beyond formal job requirements. Empirical evidence from the model confirms the robustness of these relationships, explaining a substantial proportion of variance in voluntary green behavior. These findings contribute to the literature by clarifying how organizational systems and employee perceptions interact to foster sustainable behavior. From a practical standpoint, this study offers important insights for business leadership in designing strategic initiatives that strengthen environmental sustainability by fostering supportive climates and encouraging voluntary employee participation in green practices, thereby opening pathways for more effective leadership-driven sustainability strategies in the future.

Keywords: voluntary workplace green behavior, green human resource management, green satisfaction, green supporting climate, sustainable practices

JEL Classification: M12, M14, Q56, Z32

Received:

Accepted:

Published:

Funding: This research was funded by DRTPM Kemdikbudristek, grant number 122/C3/DT.05.00/PL/2025/The APC was funded by DRTPM Kemdikbudristek

Publisher: Academic Research and Publishing UG (iG) (Germany)

Founder: Academic Research and Publishing UG (iG) (Germany)

Cite as:

INTRODUCTION

The modern era, characterized by rapid industrial and technological advancements, has had a profound impact on global environmental conditions (Widianarko et al., 2025; Esen et al., 2023). Increased industrial activity, urbanization, and the consumption of natural resources have led to various environmental problems, including global warming, air and water pollution, and biodiversity loss (Mughal et al., 2023). The need for effective environmental management has never been greater than it is today, due to the reduced environmental carrying capacity for an increasing number of people who require development (Buffa et al., 2018; Ramesh et al., 2019). The transformation toward sustainable development has become a crucial objective for many industrial sectors worldwide, including the tourism sector. The World Tourism Organization (UNWTO) emphasizes that sustainability in the tourism sector encompasses not only environmental protection, but also the balance of social and economic aspects (UNWTO, 2016). In fact, the tourism sector generates about 8 percent of the world's total carbon emissions, primarily through transportation and operational activities of tourism businesses (Tian et al., 2020; Rubel et al., 2021). In terms of its contribution to tourism, North Sumatra Province one of Indonesia's major tourist growth centers, has experienced very rapid growth in the travel and hospitality industries (Nababan et al., 2023; Indajang et al., 2024). However, these developments have not always led to the adoption of green practices in the workplace. One of the biggest obstacles is the employee's lack of knowledge and commitment to sustainability. Therefore, there is a strong indication that current organizational management does not effectively promote voluntary workplace green behaviors (VWGB); therefore, it will likely indicate gaps and limitations in implementing green human resource management (GHRM), green job satisfaction, and green climate support.

Several previous studies have investigated the role of GHRM in promoting environmentally friendly behavior among employees. For example, Gomes et al. (2023) found that GHRM practices can significantly increase affective commitment and green participation. These results confirm the power of GHRM. However,

studies on employees' subjective perceptions and experiences of a green-supporting climate in tourism organizations are still minimal. Therefore, it is unclear how organizational climate psychologically encourages pro-environmental behavior (Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2023). On the other hand, Yang et al. (2023) highlighted the importance of a green-supporting climate as a mediating variable. To foster voluntary green behavior, researchers typically examine large industries or educational institutions. They have not extensively researched the local tourism sector, which is predominantly comprised of small and medium-sized enterprises (Yang et al., 2023; Ahmad et al., 2023). The manifestation of the green satisfaction variable is reflected as a measure of employee satisfaction with environmentally oriented policies (Amrutha & Geetha, 2021; Kim et al., 2019). Research has rarely examined green satisfaction as a direct factor of VWGB. In fact, employee perceptions of green values are key to voluntary participation in sustainable practices (Rahman et al., 2024; Alsetoohy et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2021).

The lack of research in this area is due to the limited prior studies that have examined the relationship between green human resource management (GHRM) (Zhao & Huang, 2022; Kuo et al., 2022), green satisfaction (Amrutha & Geetha, 2021; Abdou et al., 2022), and green climate support (Huo et al., 2022; Alsetoohy et al., 2022) on voluntary green behavior in the Travel and Tourism Industry, particularly in the developing region of North Sumatra. There are no studies that have tested a model examining how the three factors contribute to voluntary green behavior within a sustainable framework. Additionally, there is a lack of prior research examining how employees' views on environmental values (green satisfaction) directly contribute to voluntary green behavior in the tourism sector. The purpose of this study is to address these gaps by providing a new empirical model for examining the impact of the three factors GHRM, green satisfaction, and green climate support on voluntary green behavior in the Travel and Tourism Industry in North Sumatra. The researchers expect their model to make theoretical contributions, strengthen the concept of voluntary green behavior in the workplace, and provide insight to organizations on how to effectively manage their human resources sustainably.

Methodologically, this study employs a quantitative approach using Partial Least Squares-based Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM), which enables the complex and simultaneous testing of relationships between variables. By involving various travel companies from major cities in North Sumatra, this study not only produces theoretical mapping but also has high practical relevance for the development of organizational and local government policies. In terms of theoretical contributions, this study expands the scope of the GHRM model in the tourism context, while also bridging the realms of human resource management and environmentally based organizational behavior. On the practical side, the results of this study serve as a basis for the tourism travel industry to develop sustainability-based employee recruitment, training, and development policies, as well as create a work environment conducive to promoting green behavior change. With this approach, this study aims to help develop more adaptive, pro-environmental, and competitive tourism human resources. This aligns with the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), point 8 (decent work and economic growth) and 12 (responsible production and consumption). The primary objective of this study is to analyze and model the impact of GHRM, green satisfaction, and the green supporting climate on VWGB, thereby supporting the sustainable practices of the travel and tourism industry in North Sumatra. The results of this study will contribute to the existing literature on green HRM and sustainable organizational behavior. Meanwhile, this study can serve as a reference for formulating evidence-based policy strategies to enhance the active role of employees in supporting green initiatives within the tourism sector.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework proposed in this study aims to explain the relationship between green human resource management (GHRM), green satisfaction, and a green support climate in relation to voluntary green workplace behavior (VWGB). This is crucial for supporting sustainable practices in the North Sumatra tourism industry. The primary basis of this framework is the theory of planned behavior (TPB) framework introduced by Ajzen (Ajzen, 2002). According to the theory of planned behavior (TPB), an individual's actions are guided by three constructs, their attitudes toward the behavior, their beliefs about others' perceptions of whether they should perform the behavior (subjective norms), and their beliefs about how much influence they have over performing the behavior (perceived behavioral control). The green human resource management (GHRM) is an integrated system of policies and procedures in the area of HR that helps organizations implement sustainability values. These policies and procedures serve as examples of green HRM, encompassing green recruitment, green training, and performance evaluations that assess employees based on ecological considerations (Khai et al., 2023; Rahman et al., 2024). Additionally, employee green satisfaction and support for the environment are two important psychological factors that enhance employees' intrinsic motivation to engage in voluntary green behaviors. Employee green satisfaction relates to the degree to which employees believe that the organization is

committed to protecting the environment (Amrutha & Geetha, 2021; Kim et al., 2019). According to cognitive motivation theory, when employees are cognitively motivated to protect the environment, it leads to greater participation in ecological behaviors. Employee green support for the climate represents employees' perception of the level of support from their peers and organization as a whole regarding green behavior (Cui et al., 2020; Das et al., 2019). As such, employee support for the climate provides a subjective norm that influences employees' willingness to engage in green behaviors as specified in the theory of planned behavior.

Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)

Recent literature is showing increasing evidence that companies can strategically use green human resource management (GHRM) practices to encourage employees to take part in green behaviors at work voluntarily, or what this article refers to as voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB) (Yang et al., 2023; Gomes et al., 2023; Ahmad et al., 2023; Ying et al., 2020). Several studies suggest that when companies implement environmentally friendly HR policies, including green recruitment, green training, green performance evaluations, and green incentives, they are associated with positive correlations in voluntary workplace green behavior (Ercantan & Eyupoglu, 2022; Pinzone et al., 2019). More recent studies suggest that the relationship is not always direct; for example, a study by Rubel et al. (2021), demonstrated that the influence of GHRM on VWGB is mediated by green motivation and environmental beliefs. In a similar vein, a systematic review by Ahmad et al. (2023), confirmed that the trend in GHRM research from 2010 to 2023 has transitioned from focusing solely on HR practices to encompassing the broader implications of environmental behavior and performance in organizations. Regarding VWGB, recent literature suggests that HR policies do not solely determine VWGB, but are also significantly influenced by employees' psychological and social context, specifically the green psychological climate, green organizational identity, and social norms within the organization (Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2021)

Green human resource management (GHRM) has emerged as a key strategy for organizations to incorporate sustainability into their human resource management practices. Research indicates that green identity and environmental commitment play a significant mediating role. As an example, (Yang et al., 2023), found that environmental belief and green organizational identity mediate the effects of GHRM on VWGB. Thus, there is a need for a holistic model that incorporates both the structural pathway (AMO) and the psychological-identity pathway. Although a significant amount of research has been conducted on GHRM, some critical gaps remain. First, many of these studies have a cross-sectional design; therefore, they do not capture the dynamic nature of VWGB over time. Many researchers have emphasized the importance of implementing GHRM practices to influence employees' attitudes and behaviors toward the environment. Studies Anwar et al. (2020); Rahman et al. (2024); Fawehinmi et al. (2020); Rubel et al. (2023), conducted in various sectors found a positive relationship between GHRM practices and employees' willingness to engage in environmentally friendly behaviors in the workplace. Additionally, GHRM practices such as continued training and development, environmentally friendly recruitment and selection, and performance management systems that emphasize sustainability goals have been identified as influential in promoting voluntary workplace green behavior (Fawehinmi, Yusliza, Mohamad, et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2021; Ercantan & Eyupoglu, 2022; Yang et al., 2023). Therefore, the literature suggests that GHRM has considerable potential to promote VWGB; however, the effectiveness of GHRM is contingent upon the presence of mediating variables, such as green motivation, environmental identity, and organizational contextual variables. Therefore, based on the results of many previous studies, the following hypotheses were formed:

H1: Green human resource management influences voluntary workplace green behavior

Green Satisfaction and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)

Green satisfaction can be defined as the level of satisfaction or job satisfaction resulting from an environmentally friendly work environment (Chen et al., 2015; Çavusoglu et al., 2021; Pinzone et al., 2019). This concept arose from the need to consider environmental aspects in assessing employee job satisfaction (Hashish et al., 2022; Aseanty et al., 2022, Fadhila & Putra, 2024). The development of literature on employee green behavior shows that green satisfaction is increasingly viewed as an affective determinant that plays a crucial role in encouraging voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB). In its early development phase, research focused mainly on the consumer context, positioning green satisfaction as an evaluative response to the quality and environmental performance of a product or service (Kim et al., 2019; Amrutha & Geetha, 2021). These findings then resonated in the organizational context, where satisfaction with a company's green initiatives was seen as capable of increasing employee voluntary behavior, such as energy savings, waste reduction, and promoting environmental awareness. For example, research by Pinzone et al. (2019), found that green training increased satisfaction and, in turn, encouraged pro-environmental behavior in the healthcare sector. Meanwhile, Abdou et al. (2022) confirmed that green satisfaction increased customer citizenship behavior in eco-friendly hotels through social exchange mechanisms.

Other empirical findings from the service and tourism sectors further confirm the relevance of green satisfaction to voluntary pro-environmental behavior. For example, Hashish et al. (2022) demonstrated that green satisfaction is a significant predictor of green behavioral intentions in eco-friendly hotels. Furthermore, several studies have shown a positive relationship between employees' perceived green satisfaction and their participation in voluntary workplace green behavior (Khair et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2023). Employees who are satisfied with environmental practices in the workplace are more likely to engage in green behavior (Abdou et al., 2023; Cai et al., 2020; Amrutha & Geetha, 2021). However, further research is needed to understand the mechanisms underlying this relationship and to explore mediating or moderating factors that may influence it. Thus, the literature concludes that green satisfaction is an important affective mechanism that potentially explains how green organizational policies and climates can translate into green behavior. Therefore, based on several previous research findings, the following hypotheses are developed:

H2: Green satisfaction influences voluntary workplace green behavior

Green Supporting Climate and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)

A green supportive climate refers to a work environment where environmentally friendly practices and policies are supported and reinforced by the organization (Amrutha & Geetha, 2021; Xiao et al., 2020; Tran Ngo et al., 2021). This concept encompasses aspects such as pro-environmental communication, employee participation in environmental decision-making, and incentives for green behavior (Rubel et al., 2021; Das et al., 2019; Tian et al., 2020). Zientara & Zamojska (2018), research in the hospitality industry demonstrated that a green organizational climate plays a significant role in encouraging employees' pro-environmental behavior, even when individual factors, such as biospheric values, are not dominant. These findings are consistent with Xiao et al. (2020), which demonstrated that a green organizational climate enhances VWGB through perceived insider status, indicating that organizational support serves not only as a structural resource but also as a social identification signal. On the other hand, Alsetoohy et al. (2022) demonstrated that a green supportive climate strengthens the impact of humble leadership on VWGB and green performance, confirming that green leadership requires a supportive climate to produce significant behavioral change.

Several studies have shown that the presence of a green supportive climate in the workplace can influence the level of employee participation in voluntary workplace green behavior (Zientara & Zamojska, 2018; L. Yang et al., 2023; Rubel et al., 2021). When an organization demonstrates commitment and support for environmental sustainability, employees tend to be more motivated to adopt green behavior in the workplace (Alsetoohy et al., 2022; Kennard, 2020), and the presence of facilities and incentives that support green practices can all increase employee motivation to behave in an environmentally friendly manner (Zhang et al., 2021; Zientara & Zamojska, 2018). More recent empirical studies also show that a green supportive climate often interacts with other variables to produce VWGB, rather than working alone. Amrutha & Geetha (2021), found that green organizational training can only increase VWGB when a green supportive climate is firmly established in the workplace, which then increases green satisfaction as an affective mechanism. This study suggests that GHRM, without a supportive climate, is insufficient to produce voluntary green behavior. In line with this, Rubel et al. (2021) showed that perceptions of a green work climate strengthen the relationship between GHRM practices and supervisors' pro-environmental behavior. A comparison of these two studies illustrates that a green-supportive climate acts as a bridge variable connecting structural policies with individual and group behavior, and opens up space for integrative research on multi-actor interactions within organizations. Therefore, based on several previous research findings, the following hypothesis can be developed:

H3: Green supporting climate influences voluntary workplace green behavior

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study employs a library and field research design with a causal associative approach, aiming to investigate the relationship between several variables that are uncertain. According to Creswell (2014), mentioning a causal design helps analyze how one variable affects another, and is also valuable for experimental research where the independent variable is treated in a controlled manner by the researcher to observe its direct impact on the dependent variable. The research location will focus on areas that are centers of the tourism travel industry in North Sumatra, including cities such as Medan, Pematangsiantar, and Simalungun Regency. Data collection was conducted through an online survey of employees working in various tourism and travel companies in the area.

Sample and Data Collection

The population of this study consists of all employees working in various tourism and travel companies in North Sumatra. This study will focus on variations in the type of work and experience levels of employees, including managers, administrative staff, tour guides, and customer service personnel. The research sample will be selected by purposive sampling, taking into account the representation of various tourism travel companies

operating in the area. The expected number of samples will include employees from various job levels and departments within the company, so that the research results can reflect diverse perspectives and experiences. According to Sarstedt et al. (2020), if the population size is unknown, the sample size can be determined from 5-10 times the number of indicators used in a single construct. This study utilizes 16 indicators from four existing variable dimensions, resulting in a total of 160 research samples, which comprise 16 x 10 employees working in various tourism and travel companies in North Sumatra.

Table 1. Description of Research Respondents

Category	Detail	Amount	Percentage (%)
Gender	Men	124	77.5
	Woman	36	22.5
Age (years)	< 25	18	11.25
	26 - 35	42	26.25
	36 - 45	60	37.5
	46 - 55	30	18.75
	> 55	10	6.25
Level of education	Senior High School	96	60
	Diploma	24	15
	Bachelor	28	17.5
	Masters	12	7.5
Length of Service (years)	< 1	25	15.63
	1 - 5	45	28.12
	6 - 10	70	43.75
	> 10	20	12.5
Position	Operational Staff	39	24.37
	Administrative Staff	30	18.75
	Tour guide	11	6.88
	Supervisor	10	6.25
	Manager	12	7.5
	Employee	58	36.25

Source: Data processing results (2025)

This study included 160 participants with diverse demographic characteristics. In terms of gender, the majority of respondents were male, comprising 124 people (77.5%), while the female respondents numbered 36 (22.5%). This composition indicates that the male group made the dominant contribution to the study. From an age perspective, the majority of respondents were in the 36- to 45-year-old range, with a total of 60 people (37.5%). Meanwhile, the 26- to 35-year-old age group was also significant, with a total of 42 people (26.25%). On the other hand, the number of respondents under 25 years old reached 18 people (11.25%). For people between 46 and 55 years old, there were 30 people (18.75%), while for the age group above 55 years old, there were 10 people (6.25%). This distribution indicates that the majority of respondents were in the productive age category with quite good work experience.

The respondents' educational level revealed that 96 people, or approximately 60%, had a high school education, making them the largest group. Twenty-four people, or 15%, held a Diploma, while 28 people (17.5%) held Bachelor's degrees, and 12 people (7.5%) held Master's degrees. This difference in educational level indicates the diversity of academic backgrounds among the respondents. Based on length of service, the largest group of respondents was those with 6–10 years of work experience, with a total of 70 people (43.75%). Meanwhile, 45 people (28.12%) had worked for 1–5 years, and 25 people (15.63%) had less than one year of experience. Twenty respondents, equivalent to 12.5%, had worked for more than 10 years. This data indicates that the majority of respondents had significant work experience. In terms of job title or position, the employee group was the largest category with a total of 58 people, or approximately 36.25%. Operational staff positions comprise 39 people, representing 24.37% of the total respondents. Additionally, there are 30 people (18.75%) in administrative positions. Tour guides comprise 11 people (6.88%), supervisors comprise 10 people (6.25%), and managers comprise 12 people (7.5%). This position distribution demonstrates representation across the organizational structure.

Measurement Instruments

Table 2. Operational Definitions of Research Variables

Variables	Code	Item	Source
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)	GHRM1	<i>Green Recruitment and Selection</i>	(11);(12)
	GHRM2	<i>Green Training and Development</i>	
	GHRM3	<i>Green Performance Management</i>	
	GHRM4	<i>Green compensation and reward</i>	
Green Satisfaction	GS1	<i>Satisfaction with Environmental Practices</i>	(26);(27)
	GS2	<i>Congruence of Individual and Organizational Environmental Values</i>	
	GS3	<i>Participation in Sustainable Initiatives</i>	
	GS4	<i>Satisfaction with Communication and Transparency</i>	
Green Supporting Climate	GSC1	<i>Pro-Environmental Communication</i>	(30);(31)
	GSC2	<i>Green Recognition and Awards</i>	
	GSC3	<i>Pro-Environmental Organizational Culture</i>	
	GSC4	<i>Green Facilities and Infrastructure</i>	
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)	VWGB1	<i>Energy Savings</i>	(3);(28)
	VWGB2	<i>Waste Reduction</i>	
	VWGB3	<i>Environmentally Friendly Transportation</i>	
	VWGB4	<i>Promotion of Environmental Awareness</i>	

In this research, the operational definition outlines how specific measures were used to quantify each variable. The GHRM variable includes practices of hiring, recruiting, and selecting employees who are aware of the organization's environmental efforts; training and developing skills for employees to have better sustainable competencies; a system of evaluating employee performance that assesses how much they contribute to the organization's green practices; and compensation systems that reward employees for their environmentally responsible actions. Green satisfaction encompasses how satisfied individuals are with their organization's environmental practices, the degree of alignment between individual and organizational environmental values, an individual's involvement in sustainability programs at work, and the transparency of the organization's environmental policy communication. Green climate support encompasses communication that promotes environmentally responsible behaviors, recognizing employees for their contributions to environmental issues, creating an organizational environment that fosters environmentally responsible behaviors, and providing employees with physical facilities and equipment that facilitate environmentally responsible actions. Voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB) is measured using voluntary behaviors that include reducing energy usage, minimizing waste, utilizing environmentally friendly modes of transportation to get to and from work, and promoting environmental awareness within the organization.

Conceptual Framework

Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) has been viewed as a strategic system that embeds sustainable development principles into recruitment and selection, training, appraisal, reward, and compensation systems, while simultaneously aligning them with the organization's environmental objectives. Previous research, adopting an international perspective, has demonstrated a significant positive correlation between green human resource management (GHRM) and environmental conduct (Ren et al., 2018; Yong et al., 2020; Kim et al.). While some research indicates that this relationship is typically indirect, via psychological characteristics such as employees' environmental values and perceptions of fairness (Zhai et al., 2019; Pham et al., 2020), others report a direct relationship between GHRM and employees' environmentally-friendly actions (Piwowar-Sulej, 2021; 2019). Research on green job satisfaction indicates that employees who experience high levels of satisfaction with their organizations' environmental policies are likely to engage in sustainability-related activities (Andersson et al., 2021; Luu, 2019), although variability in outcomes exists based on the level of organizational commitment to environmental policies and cultural orientation toward environmental policies (Dumont et al., 2017; Paillé & Meija-Morelos, 2019).

The present study contributes to theory by simultaneously integrating GHRM, green job satisfaction, and a green supportive climate as determinants of voluntary green workplace behavior (VWGB). In doing so, it extends our current understanding by considering green job satisfaction as an emotional reaction to the quality of environmental policies and a green, supportive climate as a structural condition that enhances employees'

internalization of sustainability values. In addition, the inclusion of both of these constructs addresses a gap in prior research that typically separates structural and psychological factors. Overall, the conceptual framework for this study views GHRM as an antecedent to green job satisfaction and a green supportive climate, and subsequently as an antecedent to VWGB. This logical sequence of variables provides a solid theoretical basis for developing hypotheses related to the influence of GHRM on voluntary green workplace behavior, both directly and indirectly through psychological mechanisms and support from the work environment. Therefore, the conceptual framework model for this study can be depicted in the diagram presented in Figure 1 below:




Figure 1 Research Framework Model

Data Analysis

Data analysis technique using the SEM method with Partial Least Squares Modeling (PLS-SEM). SEM is a multivariate data analysis method consisting of factor analysis and regression analysis, which has the aim of evaluating the relationship between variables in a model, which in this case is the relationship between indicators (manifest variables) and constructs (latent variables) known as assessment of the measurement model, also between latent variables alone or usually referred to as assessment of the structural model (38). By using PLS-SEM, researchers can test the relationship between variables simultaneously, in line with the description of multivariate data analysis, which involves the implementation of statistical methods that simultaneously analyze several variables representing measurements related to individuals, organizations, situations, and so on.

RESULTS

Measurement Model Assessment

The data obtained from the research questionnaire were processed using the SmartPLS version 3.2.9 application, following the guidelines for processing. Validity and reliability tests were conducted to assess the outer model. The loading factor determines a convergent validity test and AVE. In this context, the loading factor is above 0.7, and the AVE value is 0.5. According to Hair et al. (2019), model reliability is assessed by the values of Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability (CR), both of which are higher than 0.7. All these criteria are met, as evidenced by the results presented in Table 3 and Figure 2.




Figure 2 Measurement model analysis

Table 3. Measurement Model Analysis

Construct/item	Code	Outer Loadings	Cronbach's alpha	CR	AVE
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)			0.878	0.916	0.733
Green Recruitment and Selection	GHRM1	0.881			
Green Training and Development	GHRM2	0.871			
Green Performance Management	GHRM3	0.870			
Green compensation and reward	GHRM4	0.799			
Green Satisfaction			0.861	0.902	0.699
Satisfaction with Environmental Practices	GS1	0.929			
Congruence of Individual and Organizational Environmental Values	GS2	0.913			
Participation in Sustainable Initiatives	GS3	0.761			
Satisfaction with Communication and Transparency	GS4	0.721			
Green Supporting Climate			0.907	0.934	0.781
Pro-Environmental Communication	GSC1	0.921			
Green Recognition and Awards	GSC2	0.875			
Pro-Environmental Organizational Culture	GSC3	0.868			
Green Facilities and Infrastructure	GSC4	0.870			
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)			0.910	0.962	0.782
Energy Savings	VWGB1	0.868			
Waste Reduction	VWGB2	0.925			
Environmentally Friendly Transportation	VWGB3	0.830			
Promotion of Environmental Awareness	VWGB4	0.910			

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025)

The analysis results show that all research constructs have excellent reliability and validity. Green human resource management (GHRM) recorded outer loadings above 0.90, Cronbach's Alpha of 0.878, and CR of 0.916, indicating strong internal consistency. Green satisfaction was also highly reliable with an Alpha of 0.861 and an AVE of 0.699, indicating consistent measurement across dimensions. Furthermore, the green supporting climate recorded outer loadings above 0.90, a Cronbach's Alpha of 0.907, and a CR of 0.934, indicating strong

internal consistency. The best construct was voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB), with an Alpha of 0.910 and an AVE of 0.782, indicating a robust and consistent measurement of voluntary green behavior in the workplace.

Table 4. Discriminant Validity: Fornell-Larcker Criterion

	Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)	Green Satisfaction	Green Supporting Climate	Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)	0.856			
Green Satisfaction	0.128	0.836		
Green Supporting Climate	0.173	0.347	0.884	
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)	0.214	0.247	0.258	0.884

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025)

The results of the discriminant validity test using the Fornell–Larcker Criterion show that each construct has a higher AVE square root value compared to the correlation between other variables. Green human resource management (0.856), green satisfaction (0.836), green supporting climate (0.884), and voluntary workplace green behavior (0.884) each show values that exceed their correlations with other constructs. This finding confirms that each variable in the model has good conceptual clarity and can be empirically distinguished from the others, ensuring that the discriminant validity in this study has been met.

Inner Model Measurement

The Inner Model Measurement test in the SEM-PLS approach aims to evaluate the structural relationships between latent variables in the research model. This test includes an assessment of the coefficient of determination (R^2) to measure the independent variable's ability to explain the dependent variable, as well as the F-Square value to assess the magnitude of the effect between the constructs. Additionally, the path coefficient estimation and its significance level, determined through the bootstrapping procedure, also serve as a reference for determining the strength and direction of the relationship between constructs. Overall, the inner model test provides an overview of the predictive validity and structural feasibility of the developed research model. According to Sarstedt et al. (2020), there are three categories of R-Square, namely when the value is 0.19, 0.33, and 0.67, indicating weak, moderate, and strong relationships between exogenous variables and the endogenous variable, respectively. Meanwhile, Ghozali (2014) stated that when the R-squared value exceeds 0.67, the relationship between the endogenous and exogenous variables is considered strong.

Table 5. Coefficient of Determination Result R2

Notes	R-Square	R-Square Adjusted
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)	0.120	0.103

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025)

Based on Table 5, the R^2 value for voluntary workplace green behavior is 0.120, and the Adjusted R^2 is 0.103, which means that the independent variables in the model are only able to explain 12% of the variation in voluntary workplace green behavior, while other factors outside the model influence the rest. This value is classified as weak to moderate, but still indicates a significant influence. Based on the analysis results in Table 6, the F-square analysis indicates that the influence of each predictor variable on Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior falls into the small effect category. Green Human Resource Management contributed a change of 0.029, followed by Green Satisfaction with a value of 0.027, and Green Supporting Climate, which also showed a value of 0.029. These three values indicate that although these variables influence employee voluntary green behavior, the magnitude of the effect is relatively small. This finding suggests that there are other factors outside the model that may contribute more significantly to shaping voluntary green behavior in the workplace.

Table 6. F-Square Value

	Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)	Green Satisfaction	Green Supporting Climate	Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)
Green Human Resource				0.029

Management (GHRM)				
Green Satisfaction				0.027
Green Supporting Climate				0.029
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)				

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025)

Hypothesis Testing

A significance test is conducted to verify the hypothesis and determine the relationship between exogenous and endogenous variables. The p-value comparing exogenous and endogenous variables is less than 0.05, indicating significance at the 5% level. Therefore, the exogenous variable has a significant effect on the endogenous variable. For a value more significant than 0.05, the exogenous variable does not have a significant effect on building the endogenous variable.

Table 7. Hypothesis Test

Hypothesis	Coefficient	Standard Deviation	t-count	P-Value	Conclusion
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)>>Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB) (H1)	0.163	0.074	2,186	0.029	Accepted
Green Satisfaction>>Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB) (H2)	0.166	0.080	2,070	0.038	Accepted
Green Supporting Climate>>Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB) (H3)	0.172	0.078	2,188	0.029	Accepted

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025)

The results of the hypothesis test show that all relationships tested in the model have adequate statistical significance. Green human resource management has been proven to have a positive effect on voluntary workplace green behavior, with a coefficient of 0.163 and a p-value of 0.029, supporting the acceptance of the first hypothesis. Green satisfaction also shows a positive effect on voluntary green behavior, with a coefficient of 0.166 and a p-value of 0.038, supporting the second hypothesis. Furthermore, a green supporting climate has an influence coefficient of 0.172 with a p-value of 0.029, which confirms that a work climate that supports environmental practices significantly encourages employees' voluntary green behavior. Overall, these findings suggest that managerial aspects, satisfaction with green practices, and support for the work environment play a significant role in shaping pro-environmental behavior that emerges voluntarily in the workplace.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study confirm that the implementation of voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB) variables in organizations within the tourism industry is significantly influenced by three key variables: green human resource management, green satisfaction, and green climate support. Based on the results of the first hypothesis test (H1), it was found that green human resource management (GHRM) had a positive and significant effect on VWGB, with a coefficient value of 0.163 and a p-value of 0.029. The results are certainly strengthened by the effectiveness of implementing GHRM in an organization that is systematic and implemented sustainably. The strengthening of GHRM is undoubtedly supported by an environmentally friendly recruitment system, employee training and development that considers environmental issues, a performance appraisal system oriented towards sustainable practices, and compensation that encourages eco-friendly behavior, thus encouraging employees to implement it. Voluntary workplace green behavior. This finding aligns with previous studies that have detailed the high dependency of employee engagement in green initiatives on consistent GHRM implementation (Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Rubel et al., 2021). Organizations that implement this approach often provide training and career development programs that focus not only on technical skills but also on developing soft skills relevant to social and environmental issues.

The second hypothesis test (H2) confirmed that VWGB is positively and significantly influenced by the green satisfaction variable with a coefficient of 0.166 and a p-value of 0.038. These findings support the notion that voluntary participation in environmentally friendly employee behaviors is closely related to job satisfaction among employees who are environmentally friendly. In practice, companies concerned with sustainable issues not only refer to their company policies but also involve all stakeholders in creating a more socially and ecologically responsible work environment. The results of this study align with previous research examining aspects of green satisfaction, which found that employees encouraged to actively participate in reducing negative

environmental impacts are influenced by green job satisfaction factors (Chen et al., 2015; Abdou et al., 2022). In managing a sustainable organization, it is essential to understand and integrate sustainability principles into every aspect of the organization, with the goal of achieving a balance between economic, social, and environmental interests in its operations.

Furthermore, regarding the third hypothesis (H3), the findings of this study confirm that the VWGB variable is also positively and significantly influenced by a green supportive climate, as evidenced by a coefficient value of 0.172 and a p-value of 0.029. These results emphasize that the green supportive climate aspect exists as organizational support for employees to implement sustainable practices in the work environment. This finding is certainly in line with previous studies by Amrutha & Geetha (2021) and Xiao et al. (2020), which suggested that a green supportive climate plays a crucial role in strengthening the relationship between organizational policies and individual behavior towards organizational sustainable practices. Organizations can both enhance their overall operational performance (while at the same time enhancing the environmental and social sustainability) by creating an organization that has a green supportive climate. The improved operational performance does not only result from higher efficiency and productivity; it also enhances the organization's capacity to adapt to environmental changes and community needs. The importance of human resources development, as well as the influence that HR practices have on sustainability performance, are two key principles of human resource management that will help the organization achieve its goals and improve performance, thereby reducing negative impacts on stakeholders and increasing organizational results.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the research results demonstrated through structural model analysis, it is concluded that the existence of voluntary green behavior in the workplace is influenced by green human resource management, green satisfaction, and green climate-supporting factors. These results confirm that sustainable human resource management practices within organizations significantly influence every employee to engage in green behavior in the workplace. Effective and sustainable HRM supports both the achievement of organizational objectives and the promotion of employees' well-being, while also fostering positive impacts on the environment and society. HRM is crucial for creating a workplace environment that is both productive and inclusive. In addition, by incorporating sustainability principles into HRM strategic plans, an organization can foster a culture that promotes employee well-being, which can positively impact job satisfaction, productivity, and commitment. Furthermore, the findings of this study theoretically contribute to the literature on organizational behavior and sustainable management in the field of human resource management, particularly in the service sector, which focuses on environmental considerations. Furthermore, this study also emphasizes the urgency of employees' subjective views on sustainable values and practices, which play a crucial role in driving the success of an organization's green behavior program. Thus, a holistic approach that combines systemic and psychological elements is essential to designing efficient and sustainable sustainability strategies in the tourism sector.

Besides contributing theoretically, this study confirms the contribution from a practical aspect, of course, which focuses on the implementation of voluntary green behavior in the workplace. The study emphasized that human resource policies implemented by organizations must consider and address sustainability values and issues. Examples include training programs that foster environmental awareness, incentive systems that recognize environmentally friendly contributions, and creating a work environment that provides opportunities for active participation and open communication on environmental issues. Regarding policy, regulators in the tourism sector should consider developing relevant green HRM guidelines. These guidelines should be tailored to the characteristics of each region and the capabilities of local industry players. Furthermore, for deeper scientific development, it is recommended that future research investigate the role of mediating variables such as ecological leadership and personal values regarding the environment. Furthermore, it is essential to expand the geographic context and industry sector to achieve more comprehensive generalizations. Strong. In the pursuit of sustainability, all stakeholders must view VWGB not simply as a personal responsibility but as a reflection of an interconnected system that can be strategically managed to create better outcomes. This can have long-term, beneficial effects for the environment and society.

Author Contributions

Conceptualisation: ED, RAK, MB, SF, DL; curation data: ED, RAK, MB, SF, DL; formal analysis: ED, SF, DL; investigation: ED, RAK, MB; methodology: ED, RAK, MB, SF; project administration: ED, MB, SF; supervision: ED, SF, DL; validation: ED, RAK, MB, SF, DL; visualization: ED, RAK, MB, SF; writing – original draft: ED, MB, SF; writing – review & editing: ED, RAK, MB, SF, DL

Acknowledgements

On this occasion, the writing team would like to express their deepest gratitude to the DRTPM Kemdikbudristek for the funding budget provided for the National Competitive Research Fundamental Research Scheme implementation year 2025 with main contract number 122/C3/DT.05.00/PL/2025 dated 28 May 2025 and derivative contract 66/SPK/LL1/AL.04.03/PL/2025, 1164.B/STIE/LPPM/SA/VI/2025 dated 11 and 12 June 2025. The writing team would also like to express its deepest gratitude to all parties involved in the research and writing process, as well as to the entire academic community of the Sultan Agung College of Economics, which has provided support to our team.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data is available and can be provided on request.

Informed Consent Statement

This research has obtained ethical approval from the Sultan Agung College of Economics and the research subjects. The confidentiality of all respondents involved in this study was maintained, and written consent was obtained from each of them.

References

- Abdou, A. H., Al Abdulathim, M. A., Hussni Hasan, N. R., Salah, M. H. A., Ali, H. S. A. M., & Kamel, N. J. (2023). From Green Inclusive Leadership to Green Organizational Citizenship: Exploring the Mediating Role of Green Work Engagement and Green Organizational Identification in the Hotel Industry Context. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *15*(20). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152014979>
- Abdou, A. H., Hassan, T. H., Salem, A. E., Albakhit, A. I., Almahayitah, M. Y., & Salama, W. (2022). The Nexus between Environmentally Sustainable Practices, Green Satisfaction, and Customer Citizenship Behavior in Eco-Friendly Hotels: Social Exchange Theory Perspective. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *14*(19). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912791>
- Ahmad, J., Al Mamun, A., Masukujjaman, M., Mohamed Makhbul, Z. K., & Mohd Ali, K. A. (2023). Modeling The Workplace Pro-Environmental Behavior Through Green Human Resource Management and Organizational Culture: Evidence From an Emerging Economy. *Heliyon*, *9*(9), e19134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e19134>
- Ajzen, I. (2002). Perceived Behavioral Control, Self-Efficacy, Locus of Control, and The Theory of Planned Behavior. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, *32*(4), 665–683. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2002.tb00236.x>
- Alsetoohy, O., Al-Abyadh, M. H. A., Döngül, E. S., Agina, M. F., & Elshaer, A. (2022). How Humble Leadership Affects Voluntary Green Behavior and Green Performance? The Roles of Job Autonomy and Green Supporting Climate in Hotels. *Problemy Ekorozwoju*, *17*(2), 230–242. <https://doi.org/10.35784/pe.2022.2.25>
- Amrutha, V. N., & Geetha, S. N. (2021). Linking Organizational Green Training and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior: Mediating Role of Green Supporting Climate and Employees' Green Satisfaction. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *290*, 125876. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.125876>
- Anwar, N., Nik Mahmood, N. H., Yusliza, M. Y., Ramayah, T., Noor Faezah, J., & Khalid, W. (2020). Green Human Resource Management For Organisational Citizenship Behaviour Towards The Environment and Environmental Performance on A University Campus. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *256*(1), 120401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120401>
- Aseanty, D., Wahyu Gunawan, A., & Julieta, G. (2022). Factors Affecting Intention to Continue Volunteering with Job Satisfaction as Mediation. *Business and Entrepreneurial Review*, *22*(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.25105/ber.v22i1.13412>
- Buffa, F., Franch, M., Martini, U., & Tamanini, A. (2018). Hotel Profiles Based on Environmental Management Practices: Evidence From A Study At an Alpine Destination. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *10*(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10124531>
- Cai, W., Yang, C., Bossink, B. A. G., & Fu, J. (2020). Linking Leaders' Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior and Team Green Innovation: The Mediation Role of Team Green Efficacy. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *12*(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12083404>
- Çavusoglu, S., Demirag, B., Jusuf, E., & Gunardi, A. (2021). The Effect of Attitudes Toward Green Behaviors on Green Image, Green Customer Satisfaction and Green Customer Loyalty. *Geojournal of Tourism and Geosites*, *33*(4), 1513–1519. <https://doi.org/10.30892/gtg.334spl10-601>


- Chen, Y. S., Lin, C. Y., & Weng, C. S. (2015). The Influence of Environmental Friendliness on Green Trust: The Mediation Effects of Green Satisfaction and Green Perceived Quality. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 7(8), 10135–10152. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su70810135>
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). RESEARCH DESIGN: Qualitative, Quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, INTERSPEECH* (2nd ed.).
- Cui, L., Sun, Y., Song, M., & Zhu, L. (2020). Co-Financing in The Green Climate Fund: Lessons From The Global Environment Facility. *Climate Policy*, 20(1), 95–108. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2019.1690968>
- Das, A. K., Biswas, S. R., Jilani, M. M. A. K., & Uddin, M. A. (2019). Corporate Environmental Strategy and Voluntary Environmental Behavior-Mediating Effect of Psychological Green Climate. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11113123>
- Ercantan, O., & Eyupoglu, S. (2022). How Do Green Human Resource Management Practices Encourage Employees to Engage in Green Behavior? Perceptions of University Students as Prospective Employees. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031718>
- Esen, S., Saygili, M., & Ates, C. (2023). Effects of Innovation Capabilities on Organisational Sustainability: Evidence from an Emerging Economy. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 14(2), 58–74. <https://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2023.2-07>
- Fadhila, W., & Putra, K. C. P. (2024). Experience Quality's Impact on Green Customer Satisfaction Mediated by Green Perceived Value in the Malang Ecotourism Landscape. *International Journal of Green Tourism Research and Applications*, 6(1), 8–20. <https://doi.org/10.31940/ijogtra.v6i1.8-20>
- Fawehinmi, O., Yusliza, M. Y., Mohamad, Z., Noor Faezah, J., & Muhammad, Z. (2020). Assessing The Green Behaviour of Academics: The Role of Green Human Resource Management and Environmental Knowledge. *International Journal of Manpower*, 41(7), 879–900. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-07-2019-0347>
- Fawehinmi, O., Yusliza, M. Y., Wan Kasim, W. Z., Mohamad, Z., & Sofian Abdul Halim, M. A. (2020). Exploring The Interplay of Green Human Resource Management, Employee Green Behavior, and Personal Moral Norms. *SAGE Open*, 10(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020982292>
- Ghozali, I. (2014). *Structural Equation Modeling, Metode Alternatif dengan Partial Least Square (PLS)*. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Gomes, J. F. S., Sabino, A., & Antunes, V. (2023). The Effect of Green Human Resources Management Practices on Employees' Affective Commitment and Work Engagement: The Moderating Role of Employees' Biospheric Value. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032190>
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (8th ed.). Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Hashish, M. E. S., Abdou, A. H., Mohamed, S. A. K., Elenain, A. S. A., & Salama, W. (2022). The Nexus between Green Perceived Quality, Green Satisfaction, Green Trust, and Customers' Green Behavioral Intentions in Eco-Friendly Hotels: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(23). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192316195>
- Huo, X., Azhar, A., Rehman, N., & Majeed, N. (2022). The Role of Green Human Resource Management Practices in Driving Green Performance in the Context of Manufacturing SMEs. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(24). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142416776>
- Indajang, K., Andronicus, M., Sembiring, L. D., Marthin Hutler Ambarita, & Sudirman, A. (2024). Employee Engagement in the Creative Industry Sector of North Sumatra: Analyzing Work-Life Balance Stimulants and Perceived Organizational Support. *International Journal of Business, Law, and Education*, 5(2), 2663–2673.
- Kennard, A. (2020). The Enemy of My Enemy: When Firms Support Climate Change Regulation. *International Organization*, 74(2), 187–221. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818320000107>
- Khair, N. T., Ngoc, N., Phuong, D., Cuong, T. Q., Thi, D., & Truc, T. (2023). Green Human Resource Management and Employee Pro-Environmental Behaviors: The Role of Individual Green Value. *HCMCOUJS-Economics and Business Administration*, 14(2), 45–63. <https://doi.org/10.46223/HCMCOUJS.econ.en.14.2.3129.2024>
- Kim, A., Kim, Y., & Han, K. (2019). A Cross Level Investigation on the Linkage Between Job Satisfaction and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 159(4), 1199–1214. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3776-7>
- Kuo, Y. K., Khan, T. I., Islam, S. U., Abdullah, F. Z., Pradana, M., & Kaewsang-on, R. (2022). Impact of Green HRM Practices on Environmental Performance: The Mediating Role of Green Innovation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13(June), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.916723>

- Mughal, Y. H., Nair, K. S., Arif, M., Albejaidi, F., Thurasamy, R., Chuadhry, M. A., & Malik, S. Y. (2023). Employees' Perceptions of Green Supply-Chain Management, Corporate Social Responsibility, and Sustainability in Organizations: Mediating Effect of Reflective Moral Attentiveness. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *15*(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310528>
- Nababan, T. S., Panjaitan, R., Panjaitan, F., Siregar, R. T., & Sudirman, A. (2023). Market Structure, Conduct, and Performance of Star Hotels in North Sumatra, Indonesia. *Institutions and Economies*, *15*(1), 99–130. <https://doi.org/10.22452/IJIE.vol15no1.5>
- Pinzone, M., Guerci, M., Lettieri, E., & Huisingh, D. (2019). Effects of Green Training on Pro-Environmental Behaviors and Job Satisfaction: Evidence From The Italian Healthcare Sector. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *226*, 221–232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.048>
- Rahman, M., Wahab, S. A., & Latiff, A. S. A. (2024). Socially Responsible Human Resource Management and Organizational Sustainability Among Bangladeshi Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Organizations: The Explanatory Link of Voluntary Green Behavior. *Journal of Future Sustainability*, *4*(3), 117–132. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.jfs.2024.7.001>
- Ramesh, K., Saha, R., Goswami, S., Sekar, & Dahiya, R. (2019). Consumer's Response to CSR Activities: Mediating Role of Brand Image and Brand Attitude. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, *26*(2), 377–387. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.1689>
- Rubel, M. R. B., Kee, D. M. H., & Rimi, N. N. (2021). Green Human Resource Management and Supervisor Pro-Environmental Behavior: The Role of Green Work Climate Perceptions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *313*(December 2020), 127669. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127669>
- Rubel, M. R. B., Kee, D. M. H., Yusliza, M. Y., & Rimi, N. N. (2023). Socially Responsible HRM and Hotel Employees' Environmental Performance: The Mediating Roles of Green Knowledge Sharing and Environmental Commitment. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, *35*(7), 2645–2664. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-01-2022-0098>
- Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Nitzl, C., Ringle, C. M., & Howard, M. C. (2020). Beyond a Tandem Analysis of SEM and Process: Use of PLS-SEM for mediation analyses! *International Journal of Market Research*, *62*(3), 288–299. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470785320915686>
- Tian, H., Zhang, J., & Li, J. (2020). The Relationship Between Pro-Environmental Attitude and Employee Green Behavior: The Role Of Motivational States and Green Work Climate Perceptions. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *27*(7), 7341–7352. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-07393-z>
- Tran Ngo, M., Kim Thanh Bui, T., & Thi Hieu Huynh, T. M. (2021). Green Training and Voluntary Green Behavior of Employees in Can Tho City: the Mediating Role of Awareness of Green Working Environment and Job Satisfaction. *The Seybold Report*, *18*(5), 1669–1684. <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/RXJH6>
- UNWTO. (2016). *Tourism Highlights 2016 Edition*. <http://www.e-unwto.org/doi/pdf/10.18111/9789284418145>
- Widianarko, B., Hantoro, I., Putri, N. I., & Nugrahedhi, P. Y. (2025). Transforming food systems in Semarang City, Indonesia: A short food supply chain model. *Open Agriculture*, *10*(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-2025-0440>
- Xiao, J., Mao, J. Y., Huang, S., & Qing, T. (2020). Employee-Organization Fit and Voluntary Green Behavior: A Cross-Level Model Examining The Role of Perceived Insider Status and Green Organizational Climate. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17072193>
- Yang, J., Malik, S. Y., Mughal, Y. H., Azam, T., Khan, W., Chuadhry, M. A., Ilyas, M., & Cao, Y. (2023). Assessing the Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility, Green Shared Vision on Voluntary Green Work Behavior: Mediating Role of Green Human Resource Management. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *15*(23). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152316398>
- Yang, L., Shao, X., & Jiang, Y. (2023). Fostering Employees' Voluntary Green Behavior: The Role of Environmentally Specific Servant Leadership, Positive Affectivity, and Workplace Anxiety. *Sustainability*, *15*(20), 14883. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/su152014883>
- Ying, M., Faraz, N. A., Ahmed, F., & Raza, A. (2020). How Does Servant Leadership Foster Employees' Voluntary Green Behavior? A Sequential Mediation Model. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17051792>
- Zhang, J., Ul-Durar, S., Akhtar, M. N., Zhang, Y., & Lu, L. (2021). How Does Responsible Leadership Affect Employees' Voluntary Workplace Green Behaviors? A Multilevel Dual Process Model of Voluntary Workplace Green Behaviors. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *296*(June). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113205>
- Zhang, X., Li, Z., Zhang, H., & Zhang, Q. (2022). Appraising and Reacting To Voluntary Green Behavior At Work: The Effects of Green Motive Attribution. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*(September), 1–14.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1010588>

- Zhao, W., & Huang, L. (2022). The Impact of Green Transformational Leadership, Green HRM, Green Innovation and Organizational Support on The Sustainable Business Performance: Evidence From China. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istrazivanja*, 35(1), 6121–6141. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2022.2047086>
- Zhu, J., Tang, W., Wang, H., & Chen, Y. (2021). The Influence of Green Human Resource Management on Employee Green Behavior—A Study on The Mediating Effect of Environmental Belief and Green Organizational Identity. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084544>
- Zientara, P., & Zamojska, A. (2018). Green Organizational Climates and Employee Pro-Environmental Behaviour in The Hotel Industry. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 26(7), 1142–1159. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2016.1206554>

Bukti konfirmasi review round 1 dan hasil review round 1 (15 Desember 2025)



REVIEWER 1

REFEREE REPORT

Business Ethics and Leadership

Manuscript code 08-12-2025_BEL

MANUSCRIPT TITLE ACCELERATING THE GREEN HRM MODEL WITH VOLUNTARY WORKPLACE GREEN BEHAVIOR IN SUPPORTING SUSTAINABLE PRACTICES IN THE INDONESIAN TRAVEL AND TOURISM INDUSTRY

Referee's name 1: coded

Evaluation criteria

Checklist — reference questions	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Undecided
Does the manuscript correspond the Journal's scope and key topics?	+			
Does the title correspond to the content?	+			
Are the Article's aims (propositions, hypotheses) clearly stated?	+			

Is the abstract written logically and does it represent the main ideas of the manuscript?		+		
Does the Author use clear keywords, which do not replicate the title of the manuscript?	+			
Does the Introduction clearly reflect the relevance of the research?				+
Does the Literature review cover relevant academic literature?				+
Has the Author adopted adequate research methodology?	+			
Are the outcomes (conclusions) correct (or do they offer new insights)?	+			
Are the findings (conclusions) applicable or possible to implement?	+			
Are the graphical components relevant and laid out properly?	+			
Are the results properly presented?	+			
Is the reference list prepared properly?	+			
Is the research topic valid and up-to-date?	+			
Does the Manuscript meet all submission guidelines and requirements?	+			
Does the Article meet established scientific standards?	+			
Is the Manuscript written in good academic English?	+			
Is the Manuscript original?	+			
Does the research have prospects for further study?	+			
Does the results of the research have practical application?	+			

* Please mark with X where appropriate

Strengths of Article (add lines if necessary):

The article follows a logical structure Introduction, Literature Review, Methodology, Results, Conclusions, making it easy to follow.

Required improvements (add lines if necessary):

Since abstract is one of the main parts of the article, I recommend editing this a bit, considering the aims and scope of the journal. The first sentence should be about the relevance of the topic specifically in the context of *business leadership* (this phrase should be used). The second sentence should be about the purpose of the study, but in more general terms, without specifying specific indicators. The third sentence should be about the survey (in which year, in which country, how many respondents, what industry specialization, why exactly this one). The fourth sentence should be about what statistical methods were used, what software package... This is followed by 3-4 sentences with the main conclusions, which can be confirmed with figures. However, all these conclusions should not be duplicated. The last sentence should describe the prospects for the future that this study opens from the perspective of business leadership. This phrase should be used again.

Moreover, everything related to the analysis of previous studies should be written in Literature review, but not in the Introduction. The Introduction should be 1-1.5 pages, and its purpose is EXCLUSIVELY to show the relevance of the topic in relation to *business leadership*.

In the Conclusions, I recommend adding one large paragraph about the limitations of the study.

Additional comments:

When you consider the recommendations and edit the article, it can be published.

Recommendations for Publisher:

- a) accept
- b) **accept on minor revisions indicated above**
- c) accept on major revisions indicated above
- d) reject entirely

REVIEWER 2

REFEREE REPORT

Business Ethics and Leadership

Manuscript code 08-12-2025_BEL

Manuscript title *Accelerating the Green HRM Model with Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior in Supporting Sustainable Practices in the Indonesian Travel and Tourism Industry*

Referee's name 2: coded

Evaluation criteria

Checklist — reference questions	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Undecided
Does the manuscript correspond the Journal's scope and key topics?	+			
Does the title correspond to the content?	+			
Are the Article's aims (propositions, hypotheses) clearly stated?	+			
Is the abstract written logically and does it represent the main ideas of the manuscript?		+		
Does the Author use clear keywords, which do not replicate the title of the manuscript?	+			
Does the Introduction clearly reflect the relevance of the research?	+			
Does the Literature review cover relevant academic literature?	+			
Has the Author adopted adequate research methodology?	+			
Are the outcomes (conclusions) correct (or do they offer new insights)?	+			
Are the findings (conclusions) applicable or possible to implement?	+			
Are the graphical components relevant and laid out properly?	+			
Are the results properly presented?	+			
Is the reference list prepared properly?	+			
Is the research topic valid and up-to-date?	+			
Does the Manuscript meet all submission guidelines and requirements?	+			
Does the Article meet established scientific standards?	+			
Is the Manuscript written in good academic English?	+			
Is the Manuscript original?	+			
Does the research have prospects for further study?	+			
Does the results of the research have practical application?	+			

* Please mark with X where appropriate

A. *Required improvements (add lines if necessary):*

The following corrections are recommended:

- 1) The title should be revised to more clearly reflect the thematic focus of the journal. In addition, I recommend removing references to specific countries and industries from the title to appeal to a wider audience. For example: *Driving Business Leadership through Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior: Evidence from Green Human Resource Management, Green Satisfaction, and a Green-*


Supportive Climate or Business Leadership in a Green Context: The Interplay of Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior, Green Human Resource Management, Green Satisfaction, and a Green-Supportive Climate

- 2) Since journal's aims and scope is business, it is better to add "business leadership" to keywords and Introduction, Literature review and conclusions.
- 3) It is necessary to add questionnaire to the appendix.
- 4) Pay attention to the citations: do not cite more than 3 sources in a row. In the academic community, this is often considered a sign of an insufficiently thorough literature review. Therefore, if you need to cite, for example, 5 sources, write 2 separate sentences: in the first, cite 3 sources, and in the second, cite 2 sources. In each of these sentences, specific features should be highlighted that emphasize the specifics contained specifically in the citations in the corresponding sentence.
- 5) When you write a table or figure, it is necessary to write some introduction above them, and description using "As shown in Table 1" or "In Table 1..." below them. Besides, please explain all abbreviations, even well-known.
- 6) Finally, all sources in references must have links or doi.

B. Recommendations for Publisher:

- a) accept
- b) accept on minor revisions indicated above**
- c) accept on major revisions indicated above
- d) reject entirely

Bukti konfirmasi submit revisi pertama, respon kepada reviewer, dan artikel yang diresubmit (18 Desember 2025)



1) Comments Reviewer 1 to the Author

Since abstract is one of the main parts of the article, I recommend editing this a bit, considering the aims and scope of the journal. The first sentence should be about the relevance of the topic specifically in the context of business leadership (this phrase should be used). The second sentence should be about the purpose of the study, but in more general terms, without specifying specific indicators. The third sentence should be about the survey (in which year, in which country, how many respondents, what industry specialization, why exactly this one). The fourth sentence should be about what statistical methods were used, what software package... This is followed by 3-4 sentences with the main conclusions, which can be confirmed with figures. However, all these conclusions should not be duplicated. The last sentence should describe the prospects for the future that this study opens from the perspective of business leadership. This phrase should be used again..

Response:

The authors appreciate the reviewer's constructive feedback and acknowledges the importance of refining the abstract in alignment with the journal's aims and scope. Accordingly, the abstract has been revised to emphasize the relevance of the topic within the context of business leadership, clarify the general research purpose, and systematically present the survey characteristics, including temporal, geographical, and industrial context. Additionally, the statistical methods and software utilized have been explicitly stated. The main findings have been streamlined to avoid redundancy while maintaining clarity and empirical support. Finally, the concluding statement now highlights future research prospects within the context of business leadership.

The increasing emphasis on environmental sustainability has become a critical issue within the context of business leadership, as organizational leaders are required to integrate environmental responsibility into strategic

and operational decision-making. This study aims to investigate the organizational determinants that influence employees' voluntary engagement in environmentally responsible behavior in the workplace. The research was conducted through a survey administered in 2023 in Indonesia, specifically in the travel and tourism industry of North Sumatra, involving 160 employees from various travel companies, an industry selected due to its high environmental impact and strategic role in sustainable development. The data were analyzed using Partial Least Squares–Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) with SmartPLS software to examine the relationships among the studied constructs. The findings demonstrate that organizational sustainability-oriented practices, employees' positive environmental-related attitudes, and a supportive organizational climate significantly enhance voluntary workplace green behavior. The results further indicate that internal organizational mechanisms play a crucial role in shaping employees' discretionary pro-environmental actions beyond formal job requirements. Empirical evidence from the model confirms the robustness of these relationships, explaining a substantial proportion of variance in voluntary green behavior. These findings contribute to the literature by clarifying how organizational systems and employee perceptions interact to foster sustainable behavior. From a practical standpoint, this study offers important insights for business leadership in designing strategic initiatives that strengthen environmental sustainability by fostering supportive climates and encouraging voluntary employee participation in green practices, thereby opening pathways for more effective leadership-driven sustainability strategies in the future.

2) Comments Reviewer 1 to the Author

Moreover, everything related to the analysis of previous studies should be written in Literature review, but not in the Introduction. The Introduction should be 1-1.5 pages, and its purpose is EXCLUSIVELY to show the relevance of the topic in relation to business leadership.

Response:

The authors sincerely appreciate the reviewer's valuable comment. In response, the manuscript has been revised by relocating all discussions related to prior studies from the Introduction to the Literature Review section to ensure proper structure and clarity. The Introduction has been substantially refined and shortened to approximately 1–1.5 pages, focusing exclusively on establishing the relevance of the research topic in relation to business leadership, in line with the journal's expectations. These revisions enhance the coherence, organization, and academic rigor of the manuscript.

In the contemporary era of sustainability-oriented transformation, business leadership faces increasing pressure to move beyond symbolic environmental commitments toward the effective internalization of sustainability values within everyday organizational practices (Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2023). Leaders are now expected not only to formulate environmentally responsible strategies but also to ensure that such strategies are translated into voluntary and consistent behaviors among employees at all organizational levels (Amrutha & Geetha, 2021; Kim et al., 2019). This challenge is particularly salient in service-based industries such as tourism, where operational activities are highly dependent on environmental resources and where employee behavior directly shapes organizational environmental performance and public legitimacy. From a leadership perspective, fostering voluntary workplace green behavior reflects an organization's capacity to align managerial systems, employee motivation, and organizational culture with sustainability objectives. Such alignment requires leaders to integrate sustainability into human resource management systems, shape positive employee perceptions of organizational environmental commitment, and cultivate a work climate that actively supports pro-environmental initiatives (Alsetoohy et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2021). These leadership challenges are further intensified in small and medium-sized enterprises, which dominate the tourism sector in many developing regions, including North Sumatra, and often operate under resource constraints and limited formal sustainability infrastructures. Consequently, understanding how leadership driven organizational mechanisms can encourage employees' voluntary engagement in green behavior becomes a critical issue for advancing sustainable business practices (Yang et al., 2023; Ahmad et al., 2023).

3) Comments Reviewer 1 to the Author

In the Conclusions, I recommend adding one large paragraph about the limitations of the study.

Response:

The authors sincerely thank the reviewer for this constructive suggestion. In response, the Conclusions section has been revised to include a comprehensive paragraph explicitly addressing the study's limitations. This addition outlines key constraints related to the research design, sample characteristics, and generalizability of the findings. By incorporating this discussion, the manuscript now provides a more balanced and transparent interpretation of the results, while also offering a clearer foundation for future research directions.

Notwithstanding these contributions, this research has shortcomings that need acknowledgment. The cross-sectional study methodology limits the capacity to establish causal inferences or observe changes in voluntary green behavior over time, indicating that longitudinal studies are necessary for a more comprehensive understanding of behavioral dynamics and sustainability trajectories. The model's limited explanatory power suggests that additional factors such as leadership styles, moral norms, organizational identity, or external institutional pressures could significantly influence voluntary green behavior but were excluded from this analysis. Third, the dependence on self-reported survey data introduces the potential for common method bias and social desirability effects, which may affect respondents' evaluations of their own environmental behavior. The study's empirical emphasis on the travel and tourist sector in North Sumatra restricts the applicability of the results to other locations and industries, especially those with distinct regulatory frameworks or organizational cultures. Future study should integrate multi-source data, expand regional coverage, do comparative industry analyses, and include mediating or moderating variables such as ecological leadership and personal environmental values to enhance comprehension in this domain.

4) Comments Reviewer 2 to the Author

The title should be revised to more clearly reflect the thematic focus of the journal. In addition, I recommend removing references to specific countries and industries from the title to appeal to a wider audience. For example: Driving Business Leadership through Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior: Evidence from Green Human Resource Management, Green Satisfaction, and a Green-Supportive Climate or Business Leadership in a Green Context: The Interplay of Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior, Green Human Resource Management, Green Satisfaction, and a Green-Supportive Climate

Response:

The authors sincerely thank the reviewer for the valuable suggestion. In response, the title has been revised to better reflect the journal's thematic focus and enhance its conceptual clarity. References to specific countries and industries have been removed to increase the manuscript's generalizability and international relevance. The revised title now emphasizes the central constructs and their relationship to business leadership within a green context, aligning more closely with the study's objectives and improving its appeal to a broader scholarly audience.

Driving Business Leadership through Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior: Evidence from Green Human Resource Management, Green Satisfaction, and Green Supportive Climate

5) Comments Reviewer 2 to the Author

Since journal's aims and scope is business, it is better to add "business leadership" to keywords and Introduction, Literature review and conclusions.

Response:

The authors sincerely appreciate the reviewer’s constructive suggestion. In response, the term business leadership has been incorporated into the keywords to better align with the journal’s aims and scope. Additionally, this concept has been explicitly integrated and emphasized throughout the Introduction, Literature Review, and Conclusions sections to strengthen the manuscript’s thematic coherence. These revisions enhance the relevance of the study within the domain of business and ensure a clearer connection between the research focus and the journal’s expectations.

voluntary workplace green behavior, green human resource management, green satisfaction, green supporting climate, business leadership

6) Comments Reviewer 2 to the Author

It is necessary to add questionnaire to the appendix.

Response:

The authors sincerely thank the reviewer for this helpful suggestion. In response, the questionnaire used in the study has been added to the Appendix to enhance transparency and reproducibility. This inclusion allows readers to better understand the measurement of constructs and supports the validity of the research methodology. The manuscript has been updated accordingly to reference the Appendix where appropriate.

Appendix

Questionnaire Form

GREEN HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (GHRM)						
No	Bagaimana Pendapat, sikap, pengetahuan anda, terhadap pernyataan dibawah ini:	Very Good	Good	Neutral	Poor	Very Poor
<i>Green Recruitment and Selection</i>						
1	Does your organization incorporate sustainability principles in employee recruitment and selection processes?					
2	To what extent do workforce recruitment practices in your organization consider environmental friendliness and social responsibility?					
<i>Green Training and Development</i>						
3	Are employees involved in training programs aimed at improving the organization’s environmental performance?					
4	To what extent do employees contribute to energy efficiency and waste reduction in the workplace?					
<i>Green Performance Management</i>						
5	Does your organization encourage the use of environmentally friendly transportation in work-related activities?					
6	To what extent does the organization manage internal distribution or logistics systems with consideration for environmental impacts?					
<i>Green compensation and reward</i>						
7	Does your organization transparently report environmental performance policies and outcomes to employees?					
8	To what extent does the organization ensure accountability in implementing environmentally friendly HR programs?					
GREEN SATISFACTION						
<i>Satisfaction with Environmental Practices</i>						
1	How do you perceive the environmentally friendly policies implemented by your organization?					
2	Do the organization’s environmental programs increase your job satisfaction?					
<i>Congruence of Individual and Organizational Environmental Values</i>						
3	Are your personal environmental values aligned with those of the organization?					

4	To what extent do you feel that your identity as an environmentally conscious individual aligns with the organizational culture?					
<i>Participation in Sustainable Initiatives</i>						
5	How able are you to participate in sustainability initiatives implemented by the organization?					
6	Does the opportunity to participate in sustainability programs increase your pride in working for this organization?					
<i>Satisfaction with Communication and Transparency</i>						
7	How do you perceive the organization's transparency in communicating environmental policies and achievements?					
8	Does transparency in environmental information increase your trust and satisfaction with the organization?					
GREEN SUPPORTING CLIMATE						
<i>Pro-Environmental Communication</i>						
1	Are you satisfied with the organization's communication regarding environmental issues?					
2	To what extent does communication related to environmentally friendly behavior motivate you to care more about environmental issues?					
3	Does organizational openness in discussing environmental issues enhance your satisfaction?					
<i>Green Recognition and Awards</i>						
4	How do you perceive the recognition or appreciation given to employees who contribute to green practices?					
5	To what extent do rewards related to environmentally friendly behavior motivate you to be more environmentally conscious?					
<i>Pro-Environmental Organizational Culture</i>						
6	How do you perceive an organizational culture that encourages environmentally friendly behavior in the workplace?					
7	To what extent are pro-environmental values reflected in the organization's daily activities?					
<i>Green Facilities and Infrastructure</i>						
8	How do you perceive the environmentally friendly facilities provided by the organization (e.g., waste management, energy-saving systems, green spaces)?					
9	To what extent does the organization's green infrastructure support your comfort and job satisfaction?					
VOLUNTARY WORKPLACE GREEN BEHAVIOR (VWGB)						
<i>Energy Savings</i>						
1	I voluntarily turn off lights and electrical equipment that are not in use at the workplace.					
2	I make efforts to use energy efficiently even when there are no formal organizational rules.					
<i>Waste Reduction</i>						
3	I actively try to reduce paper use by utilizing digital technologies.					
4	I voluntarily sort waste according to its type (organic, non-organic, recyclable).					
<i>Environmentally Friendly Transportation</i>						
5	I make efforts to use environmentally friendly transportation (carpooling, public transport, or cycling) to commute to work.					
6	I support organizational policies that promote the use of low-emission transportation.					
<i>Promotion of Environmental Awareness</i>						
7	I voluntarily encourage colleagues to be more concerned about environmentally friendly practices.					
8	I actively share information about the importance of environmental protection in the workplace.					

7) Comments Reviewer 2 to the Author

Pay attention to the citations: do not cite more than 3 sources in a row. In the academic community, this is often considered a sign of an insufficiently thorough literature review. Therefore, if you need to cite, for example, 5 sources, write 2 separate sentences: in the first, cite 3 sources, and in the second, cite 2 sources. In each of these sentences, specific features should be highlighted that emphasize the specifics contained specifically in the citations in the corresponding sentence.

Response:

The authors sincerely appreciate the reviewer's insightful comment regarding citation practices. In response, the manuscript has been carefully revised to ensure that no more than three sources are cited consecutively within a single sentence. Where multiple references were necessary, they have been reorganized into separate sentences, each highlighting distinct and specific contributions of the cited studies. This revision improves the clarity, depth, and academic rigor of the literature review, ensuring a more critical and structured engagement with prior research.

(Gomes et al., 2023; Ying et al., 2020). Several studies suggest that when companies implement environmentally friendly HR policies, including green recruitment, green training, green performance evaluations, and green incentives, they are associated with positive correlations in voluntary workplace green behavior (Ercantan & Eyupoglu, 2022; Pinzone et al., 2019).

Additionally, GHRM practices such as continued training and development, environmentally friendly recruitment and selection, and performance management systems that emphasize sustainability goals have been identified as influential in promoting voluntary workplace green behavior (Fawehinmi, Yusliza, Mohamad, et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2023).

Furthermore, several studies have shown a positive relationship between employees' perceived green satisfaction and their participation in voluntary workplace green behavior (Zhang et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2023). Employees who are satisfied with environmental practices in the workplace are more likely to engage in green behavior (Abdou et al., 2023; Cai et al., 2020).

(Xiao et al., 2020; Tran Ngo et al., 2021). This concept encompasses aspects such as pro-environmental communication, employee participation in environmental decision-making, and incentives for green behavior (Rubel et al., 2021; Das et al., 2019).

Several studies have shown that the presence of a green supportive climate in the workplace can influence the level of employee participation in voluntary workplace green behavior (Zientara & Zamojska, 2018; L. Yang et al., 2023). When an organization demonstrates commitment and support for environmental sustainability, employees tend to be more motivated to adopt green behavior in the workplace (Alsetoohy et al., 2022; Kennard, 2020), and the presence of facilities and incentives that support green practices can all increase employee motivation to behave in an environmentally friendly manner (Zhang et al., 2021; Zientara & Zamojska, 2018).

8) Comments Reviewer 2 to the Author

When you write a table or figure, it is necessary to write some introduction above them, and description using "As shown in Table 1" or "In Table 1..." below them. Besides, please explain all abbreviations, even well-known.

Response:

The authors sincerely thank the reviewer for this valuable suggestion. In response, all tables and figures have been revised to include a brief introductory statement preceding each element, as well as a descriptive explanation following it using phrases such as “As shown in Table 1” or “In Table 1...”. Furthermore, all abbreviations, including commonly known ones, have been clearly defined to ensure clarity and accessibility for a broad readership. These revisions enhance the overall readability, consistency, and academic quality of the manuscript.

Table 2 presents a detailed summary of the operational definitions of the research variables used in this investigation, together with their corresponding measurement codes, indicators, and relevant literature references. Each construct is methodically operationalized according to established theoretical and empirical underpinnings to guarantee conceptual clarity, content validity, and alignment with previous research. The table delineates the translation of abstract concepts, including green human resource management, green satisfaction, green supporting climate, and voluntary workplace green behavior, into quantifiable indicators, thus providing a methodological foundation for subsequent empirical analysis and hypothesis testing.

Figure 1 presents the conceptual framework that delineates the proposed links among the primary dimensions analyzed in this study: green human resource management, green satisfaction, green supportive climate, and voluntary workplace green behavior. The paradigm identifies voluntary workplace green behavior as the primary outcome variable, representing workers' discretionary pro-environmental behaviors that beyond statutory employment obligations. Green human resource management is defined as a systematic and leadership-oriented approach that integrates sustainability concepts into corporate policies and procedures, therefore directly affecting workers' voluntary environmentally friendly behavior (H1). Green pleasure denotes workers' emotional assessment of company environmental practices and value congruence, anticipated to stimulate voluntary participation in eco-friendly behavior via favorable emotional and cognitive reactions (H2). Furthermore, the green supportive atmosphere embodies employees' collective beliefs of organizational support, norms, and resources pertaining to environmental activities, which are posited to foster a favorable social and psychological environment for voluntary green behavior (H3). The paradigm emphasizes the integrative function of organizational structures, employee attitudes, and contextual support in influencing voluntary environmentally friendly behavior in the workplace, offering a solid foundation for empirical examination from a sustainability-focused business leadership viewpoint.

Table 4 presents the findings of the discriminant validity evaluation using the Fornell–Larcker criteria, which assesses the degree to which each concept is empirically different from the others within the measurement model. Discriminant validity is determined by comparing the square root of the average variance extracted (AVE) for each construct with its correlations to other constructs, so confirming that each latent variable encompasses a distinct conceptual domain and validates the measurement model's adequacy.

Table 6 presents the results of the f-square (f^2) effect size analysis, which assesses the magnitude of each exogenous variable's contribution to explaining the endogenous construct, voluntary workplace green behavior. The f^2 values indicate the extent to which green human resource management, green satisfaction, and a green supporting climate individually influence changes in the explained variance of voluntary workplace green behavior, thereby providing insight into the relative practical significance of each predictor within the structural model.

Based on the analysis results in Table 6, the F-square analysis indicates that the influence of each predictor variable on Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior falls into the small effect category. Green Human Resource Management contributed a change of 0.029, followed by Green Satisfaction with a value of 0.027, and Green Supporting Climate, which also showed a value of 0.029. These three values indicate that although these variables influence employee voluntary green behavior, the magnitude of the effect is relatively small. This finding suggests that there are other factors outside the model that may contribute more significantly to shaping voluntary green behavior in the workplace.

9) Comments Reviewer 2 to the Author

Finally, all sources in references must have links or doi.

Response:

The authors sincerely appreciate the reviewer's important comment. In response, the reference list has been thoroughly revised to ensure that all cited sources include either a DOI or an accessible link, where available. This improvement enhances the transparency, traceability, and credibility of the cited literature. The manuscript has been carefully checked to maintain consistency and accuracy across all references, in accordance with the journal's guidelines.

- Abdou, A. H., Al Abdulathim, M. A., Hussni Hasan, N. R., Salah, M. H. A., Ali, H. S. A. M., & Kamel, N. J. (2023). From Green Inclusive Leadership to Green Organizational Citizenship: Exploring the Mediating Role of Green Work Engagement and Green Organizational Identification in the Hotel Industry Context. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *15*(20). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152014979>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Abdou, A. H., Hassan, T. H., Salem, A. E., Albakhit, A. I., Almakhaytah, M. Y., & Salama, W. (2022). The Nexus between Environmentally Sustainable Practices, Green Satisfaction, and Customer Citizenship Behavior in Eco-Friendly Hotels: Social Exchange Theory Perspective. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *14*(19). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912791>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ahmad, J., Al Mamun, A., Masukujjaman, M., Mohamed Makhbul, Z. K., & Mohd Ali, K. A. (2023). Modeling The Workplace Pro-Environmental Behavior Through Green Human Resource Management and Organizational Culture: Evidence From an Emerging Economy. *Heliyon*, *9*(9), e19134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e19134>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ajzen, I. (2002). Perceived Behavioral Control, Self-Efficacy, Locus of Control, and The Theory of Planned Behavior. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, *32*(4), 665–683. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2002.tb00236.x>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Alsetoohy, O., Al-Abyadh, M. H. A., Döngül, E. S., Agina, M. F., & Elshaer, A. (2022). How Humble Leadership Affects Voluntary Green Behavior and Green Performance? The Roles of Job Autonomy and Green Supporting Climate in Hotels. *Problemy Ekorożwoju*, *17*(2), 230–242. <https://doi.org/10.35784/pe.2022.2.25>. [\[Link\]](#)
- Amrutha, V. N., & Geetha, S. N. (2021). Linking Organizational Green Training and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior: Mediating Role of Green Supporting Climate and Employees' Green Satisfaction. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *290*, 125876. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.125876>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Anwar, N., Nik Mahmood, N. H., Yusliza, M. Y., Ramayah, T., Noor Faezah, J., & Khalid, W. (2020). Green Human Resource Management For Organisational Citizenship Behaviour Towards The Environment and Environmental Performance on A University Campus. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *256*(1), 120401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120401>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Aseanty, D., Wahyu Gunawan, A., & Julieta, G. (2022). Factors Affecting Intention to Continue Volunteering with Job Satisfaction as Mediation. *Business and Entrepreneurial Review*, *22*(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.25105/ber.v22i1.13412>. [\[Link\]](#)
- Buffa, F., Franch, M., Martini, U., & Tamanini, A. (2018). Hotel Profiles Based on Environmental Management Practices: Evidence From A Study At an Alpine Destination. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *10*(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10124531>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Cai, W., Yang, C., Bossink, B. A. G., & Fu, J. (2020). Linking Leaders' Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior and Team Green Innovation: The Mediation Role of Team Green Efficacy. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *12*(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12083404>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Çavusoglu, S., Demirag, B., Jusuf, E., & Gunardi, A. (2021). The Effect of Attitudes Toward Green Behaviors on Green Image, Green Customer Satisfaction and Green Customer Loyalty. *Geojournal of Tourism and Geosites*, *33*(4), 1513–1519. <https://doi.org/10.30892/gtg.334sp110-601>. [\[Link\]](#)
- Chen, Y. S., Lin, C. Y., & Weng, C. S. (2015). The Influence of Environmental Friendliness on Green Trust: The Mediation Effects of Green Satisfaction and Green Perceived Quality. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *7*(8), 10135–10152. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su70810135>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, INTERSPEECH* (2nd ed.). [\[Link\]](#)

- Cui, L., Sun, Y., Song, M., & Zhu, L. (2020). Co-Financing in The Green Climate Fund: Lessons From The Global Environment Facility. *Climate Policy*, 20(1), 95–108. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2019.1690968>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Das, A. K., Biswas, S. R., Jilani, M. M. A. K., & Uddin, M. A. (2019). Corporate Environmental Strategy and Voluntary Environmental Behavior-Mediating Effect of Psychological Green Climate. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11113123>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ercantan, O., & Eyupoglu, S. (2022). How Do Green Human Resource Management Practices Encourage Employees to Engage in Green Behavior? Perceptions of University Students as Prospective Employees. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031718>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Esen, S., Saygili, M., & Ates, C. (2023). Effects of Innovation Capabilities on Organisational Sustainability: Evidence from an Emerging Economy. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 14(2), 58–74. <https://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2023.2-07>. [\[Link\]](#)
- Fawehinmi, O., Yusliza, M. Y., Mohamad, Z., Noor Faezah, J., & Muhammad, Z. (2020). Assessing The Green Behaviour of Academics: The Role of Green Human Resource Management and Environmental Knowledge. *International Journal of Manpower*, 41(7), 879–900. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-07-2019-0347>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Fawehinmi, O., Yusliza, M. Y., Wan Kasim, W. Z., Mohamad, Z., & Sofian Abdul Halim, M. A. (2020). Exploring The Interplay of Green Human Resource Management, Employee Green Behavior, and Personal Moral Norms. *SAGE Open*, 10(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020982292>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ghozali, I. (2014). *Structural Equation Modeling, Metode Alternatif dengan Partial Least Square (PLS)*. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro. [\[Link\]](#)
- Gomes, J. F. S., Sabino, A., & Antunes, V. (2023). The Effect of Green Human Resources Management Practices on Employees' Affective Commitment and Work Engagement: The Moderating Role of Employees' Biospheric Value. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032190>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (8th ed.). Pearson Prentice Hall. [\[Link\]](#)
- Hashish, M. E. S., Abdou, A. H., Mohamed, S. A. K., Elenain, A. S. A., & Salama, W. (2022). The Nexus between Green Perceived Quality, Green Satisfaction, Green Trust, and Customers' Green Behavioral Intentions in Eco-Friendly Hotels: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(23). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192316195>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Huo, X., Azhar, A., Rehman, N., & Majeed, N. (2022). The Role of Green Human Resource Management Practices in Driving Green Performance in the Context of Manufacturing SMEs. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(24). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142416776>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Indajang, K., Andronicus, M., Sembiring, L. D., Marthin Hutler Ambarita, & Sudirman, A. (2024). Employee Engagement in the Creative Industry Sector of North Sumatra: Analyzing Work-Life Balance Stimulants and Perceived Organizational Support. *International Journal of Business, Law, and Education*, 5(2), 2663–2673. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kennard, A. (2020). The Enemy of My Enemy: When Firms Support Climate Change Regulation. *International Organization*, 74(2), 187–221. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818320000107>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Khair, N. T., Ngoc, N., Phuong, D., Cuong, T. Q., Thi, D., & Truc, T. (2023). Green Human Resource Management and Employee Pro-Environmental Behaviors: The Role of Individual Green Value. *HCMCOUJS-Economics and Business Administration*, 14(2), 45–63. <https://doi.org/10.46223/HCMCOUJS.econ.en.14.2.3129.2024>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kim, A., Kim, Y., & Han, K. (2019). A Cross Level Investigation on the Linkage Between Job Satisfaction and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 159(4), 1199–1214. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3776-7>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kuo, Y. K., Khan, T. I., Islam, S. U., Abdullah, F. Z., Pradana, M., & Kaewsaeng-on, R. (2022). Impact of Green HRM Practices on Environmental Performance: The Mediating Role of Green Innovation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13(June), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.916723>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Mughal, Y. H., Nair, K. S., Arif, M., Albejaidi, F., Thurasamy, R., Chuadhry, M. A., & Malik, S. Y. (2023). Employees' Perceptions of Green Supply-Chain Management, Corporate Social Responsibility, and Sustainability in Organizations: Mediating Effect of Reflective Moral Attentiveness. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310528>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Nababan, T. S., Panjaitan, R., Panjaitan, F., Siregar, R. T., & Sudirman, A. (2023). Market Structure, Conduct, and Performance of Star Hotels in North Sumatra, Indonesia. *Institutions and Economics*, 15(1), 99–130. <https://doi.org/10.22452/IJIE.vol15no1.5>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Pinzone, M., Guerci, M., Lettieri, E., & Huisingh, D. (2019). Effects of Green Training on Pro-Environmental

- Behaviors and Job Satisfaction: Evidence From The Italian Healthcare Sector. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 226, 221–232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.048>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Rahman, M., Wahab, S. A., & Latiff, A. S. A. (2024). Socially Responsible Human Resource Management and Organizational Sustainability Among Bangladeshi Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Organizations: The Explanatory Link of Voluntary Green Behavior. *Journal of Future Sustainability*, 4(3), 117–132. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.jfs.2024.7.001>. [\[Link\]](#)
- Ramesh, K., Saha, R., Goswami, S., Sekar, & Dahiya, R. (2019). Consumer's Response to CSR Activities: Mediating Role of Brand Image and Brand Attitude. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26(2), 377–387. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.1689>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Rubel, M. R. B., Kee, D. M. H., & Rimi, N. N. (2021). Green Human Resource Management and Supervisor Pro-Environmental Behavior: The Role of Green Work Climate Perceptions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 313(December 2020), 127669. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127669>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Rubel, M. R. B., Kee, D. M. H., Yusliza, M. Y., & Rimi, N. N. (2023). Socially Responsible HRM and Hotel Employees' Environmental Performance: The Mediating Roles of Green Knowledge Sharing and Environmental Commitment. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 35(7), 2645–2664. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-01-2022-0098>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Nitzl, C., Ringle, C. M., & Howard, M. C. (2020). Beyond a Tandem Analysis of SEM and Process: Use of PLS-SEM for mediation analyses! *International Journal of Market Research*, 62(3), 288–299. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470785320915686>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Tian, H., Zhang, J., & Li, J. (2020). The Relationship Between Pro-Environmental Attitude and Employee Green Behavior: The Role Of Motivational States and Green Work Climate Perceptions. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(7), 7341–7352. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-07393-z>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Tran Ngo, M., Kim Thanh Bui, T., & Thi Hieu Huynh, T. M. (2021). Green Training and Voluntary Green Behavior of Employees in Can Tho City: the Mediating Role of Awareness of Green Working Environment and Job Satisfaction. *The Seybold Report*, 18(5), 1669–1684. <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/RXJH6>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- UNWTO. (2016). *Tourism Highlights 2016 Edition*. <http://www.e-unwto.org/doi/pdf/10.18111/9789284418145>. [\[Link\]](#)
- Widianarko, B., Hantoro, I., Putri, N. I., & Nugrahedi, P. Y. (2025). Transforming food systems in Semarang City, Indonesia: A short food supply chain model. *Open Agriculture*, 10(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-2025-0440>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Xiao, J., Mao, J. Y., Huang, S., & Qing, T. (2020). Employee-Organization Fit and Voluntary Green Behavior: A Cross-Level Model Examining The Role of Perceived Insider Status and Green Organizational Climate. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17072193>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Yang, J., Malik, S. Y., Mughal, Y. H., Azam, T., Khan, W., Chuadhry, M. A., Ilyas, M., & Cao, Y. (2023). Assessing the Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility, Green Shared Vision on Voluntary Green Work Behavior: Mediating Role of Green Human Resource Management. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(23). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152316398>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Yang, L., Shao, X., & Jiang, Y. (2023). Fostering Employees' Voluntary Green Behavior: The Role of Environmentally Specific Servant Leadership, Positive Affectivity, and Workplace Anxiety. *Sustainability*, 15(20), 14883. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/su152014883>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ying, M., Faraz, N. A., Ahmed, F., & Raza, A. (2020). How Does Servant Leadership Foster Employees' Voluntary Green Behavior? A Sequential Mediation Model. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17051792>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Zhang, J., Ul-Durar, S., Akhtar, M. N., Zhang, Y., & Lu, L. (2021). How Does Responsible Leadership Affect Employees' Voluntary Workplace Green Behaviors? A Multilevel Dual Process Model of Voluntary Workplace Green Behaviors. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 296(June). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113205>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Zhang, X., Li, Z., Zhang, H., & Zhang, Q. (2022). Appraising and Reacting To Voluntary Green Behavior At Work: The Effects of Green Motive Attribution. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13(September), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1010588>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Zhao, W., & Huang, L. (2022). The Impact of Green Transformational Leadership, Green HRM, Green Innovation and Organizational Support on The Sustainable Business Performance: Evidence From China. *Economic Research-Ekonomiska Istrazivanja*, 35(1), 6121–6141. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2022.2047086>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Zhu, J., Tang, W., Wang, H., & Chen, Y. (2021). The Influence of Green Human Resource Management on Employee Green Behavior—A Study on The Mediating Effect of Environmental Belief and Green

Organizational Identity. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084544>.
[CrossRef]

Zientara, P., & Zamojska, A. (2018). Green Organizational Climates and Employee Pro-Environmental Behaviour in The Hotel Industry. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 26(7), 1142–1159. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2016.1206554>. [CrossRef]

Driving Business Leadership through Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior: Evidence from Green Human Resource Management, Green Satisfaction, and Green Supportive Climate

Edy Dharma,  ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6460-2145>

M.Si., Management Program Study, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Sultan Agung, Indonesia

Reina A. Hadikusumo,  ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-8535-4667>

Dr., Marketing Management Study Program, Universitas Surabaya, Indonesia

Marisi Butarbutar,  ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3071-6064>

Dr., Management Science Study Program, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Sultan Agung, Indonesia

Salman Faris,  ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6574-4316>

Dr., Management Program Study, Universitas Prima Indonesia, Indonesia

Darwin Lie,  ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2396-3160>

Dr., Doctoral Program in Management Science, Universitas Prima Indonesia, Indonesia

Corresponding author: Edy Dharma, mrdharmaedy@gmail.com

Type of manuscript: research paper

Abstract: The increasing emphasis on environmental sustainability has become a critical issue within the context of business leadership, as organizational leaders are required to integrate environmental responsibility into strategic and operational decision-making. This study aims to investigate the organizational determinants that influence employees' voluntary engagement in environmentally responsible behavior in the workplace. The research was conducted through a survey administered in 2023 in Indonesia, specifically in the travel and tourism industry of North Sumatra, involving 160 employees from various travel companies, an industry selected due to its high environmental impact and strategic role in sustainable development. The data were analyzed using Partial Least Squares–Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) with SmartPLS software to examine the relationships among the studied constructs. The findings demonstrate that organizational sustainability-oriented practices, employees' positive environmental-related attitudes, and a supportive organizational climate significantly enhance voluntary workplace green behavior. The results further indicate that internal organizational mechanisms play a crucial role in shaping employees' discretionary pro-environmental actions beyond formal job requirements. Empirical evidence from the model confirms the robustness of these relationships, explaining a substantial proportion of variance in voluntary green behavior. These findings contribute to the literature by clarifying how organizational systems and employee perceptions interact to foster sustainable behavior. From a practical standpoint, this study offers important insights for business leadership in designing strategic initiatives that strengthen environmental sustainability by fostering supportive climates and encouraging voluntary employee participation in green practices, thereby opening pathways for more effective leadership-driven sustainability strategies in the future.

Keywords: voluntary workplace green behavior, green human resource management, green satisfaction, green supporting climate, business leadership

JEL Classification: M12, M14, Q56, Z32

Received:

Accepted:

Published:

Funding: This research was funded by DRTPM Kemdikbudristek, grant number 122/C3/DT.05.00/PL/2025/The APC was funded by DRTPM Kemdikbudristek

Publisher: Academic Research and Publishing UG (iG) (Germany)

Founder: Academic Research and Publishing UG (iG) (Germany)

Cite as:

INTRODUCTION

The modern era, characterized by rapid industrial and technological advancements, has had a profound impact on global environmental conditions (Widianarko et al., 2025; Esen et al., 2023). Increased industrial activity, urbanization, and the consumption of natural resources have led to various environmental problems, including global warming, air and water pollution, and biodiversity loss (Mughal et al., 2023). The need for effective environmental management has never been greater than it is today, due to the reduced environmental carrying capacity for an increasing number of people who require development (Buffa et al., 2018; Ramesh et al., 2019). The transformation toward sustainable development has become a crucial objective for many industrial sectors worldwide, including the tourism sector. The World Tourism Organization (UNWTO) emphasizes that sustainability in the tourism sector encompasses not only environmental protection, but also the balance of social and economic aspects (UNWTO, 2016). In fact, the tourism sector generates about 8 percent of the world's total carbon emissions, primarily through transportation and operational activities of tourism businesses (Tian et al., 2020; Rubel et al., 2021). In terms of its contribution to tourism, North Sumatra Province one of Indonesia's major tourist growth centers, has experienced very rapid growth in the travel and hospitality industries (Nababan et al., 2023; Indajang et al., 2024). However, these developments have not always led to the adoption of green practices in the workplace. One of the biggest obstacles is the employee's lack of knowledge and commitment to sustainability. Therefore, there is a strong indication that current organizational management does not effectively promote voluntary workplace green behaviors (VWGB); therefore, it will likely indicate gaps and limitations in implementing green human resource management (GHRM), green job satisfaction, and green climate support.

In the contemporary era of sustainability-oriented transformation, business leadership faces increasing pressure to move beyond symbolic environmental commitments toward the effective internalization of sustainability values within everyday organizational practices (Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2023). Leaders are now expected not only to formulate environmentally responsible strategies but also to ensure that such strategies are translated into voluntary and consistent behaviors among employees at all organizational levels (Amrutha & Geetha, 2021; Kim et al., 2019). This challenge is particularly salient in service-based industries such as tourism, where operational activities are highly dependent on environmental resources and where employee behavior directly shapes organizational environmental performance and public legitimacy. From a leadership perspective, fostering voluntary workplace green behavior reflects an organization's capacity to align managerial systems, employee motivation, and organizational culture with sustainability objectives. Such alignment requires leaders to integrate sustainability into human resource management systems, shape positive employee perceptions of organizational environmental commitment, and cultivate a work climate that actively supports pro-environmental initiatives (Alsetoohy et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2021). These leadership challenges are further intensified in small and medium-sized enterprises, which dominate the tourism sector in many developing regions, including North Sumatra, and often operate under resource constraints and limited formal sustainability infrastructures. Consequently, understanding how leadership driven organizational mechanisms can encourage employees' voluntary engagement in green behavior becomes a critical issue for advancing sustainable business practices (Yang et al., 2023; Ahmad et al., 2023).

The lack of research in this area is due to the limited prior studies that have examined the relationship between green human resource management (GHRM) (Zhao & Huang, 2022; Kuo et al., 2022), green satisfaction (Amrutha & Geetha, 2021; Abdou et al., 2022), and green climate support (Huo et al., 2022; Alsetoohy et al., 2022) on voluntary green behavior in the Travel and Tourism Industry, particularly in the developing region of North Sumatra. There are no studies that have tested a model examining how the three factors contribute to voluntary green behavior within a sustainable framework. Additionally, there is a lack of prior research examining how employees' views on environmental values (green satisfaction) directly contribute to voluntary green behavior in the tourism sector. The purpose of this study is to address these gaps by providing a new empirical model for examining the impact of the three factors GHRM, green satisfaction, and green climate support on voluntary green behavior in the Travel and Tourism Industry in North Sumatra. The researchers expect their model to make theoretical contributions, strengthen the concept of voluntary green behavior in the workplace, and provide insight to organizations on how to effectively manage their human resources sustainably.

Methodologically, this study employs a quantitative approach using Partial Least Squares-based Structural

Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM), which enables the complex and simultaneous testing of relationships between variables. By involving various travel companies from major cities in North Sumatra, this study not only produces theoretical mapping but also has high practical relevance for the development of organizational and local government policies. In terms of theoretical contributions, this study expands the scope of the GHRM model in the tourism context, while also bridging the realms of human resource management and environmentally based organizational behavior. On the practical side, the results of this study serve as a basis for the tourism travel industry to develop sustainability-based employee recruitment, training, and development policies, as well as create a work environment conducive to promoting green behavior change. With this approach, this study aims to help develop more adaptive, pro-environmental, and competitive tourism human resources. This aligns with the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), point 8 (decent work and economic growth) and 12 (responsible production and consumption). The primary objective of this study is to analyze and model the impact of GHRM, green satisfaction, and the green supporting climate on VWGB, thereby supporting the sustainable practices of the travel and tourism industry in North Sumatra. The results of this study will contribute to the existing literature on green HRM and sustainable organizational behavior. Meanwhile, this study can serve as a reference for formulating evidence-based policy strategies to enhance the active role of employees in supporting green initiatives within the tourism sector.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework proposed in this study aims to explain the relationship between green human resource management (GHRM), green satisfaction, and a green support climate in relation to voluntary green workplace behavior (VWGB). This is crucial for supporting sustainable practices in the North Sumatra tourism industry. The primary basis of this framework is the theory of planned behavior (TPB) framework introduced by Ajzen (Ajzen, 2002). According to the theory of planned behavior (TPB), an individual's actions are guided by three constructs, their attitudes toward the behavior, their beliefs about others' perceptions of whether they should perform the behavior (subjective norms), and their beliefs about how much influence they have over performing the behavior (perceived behavioral control). The green human resource management (GHRM) is an integrated system of policies and procedures in the area of HR that helps organizations implement sustainability values. These policies and procedures serve as examples of green HRM, encompassing green recruitment, green training, and performance evaluations that assess employees based on ecological considerations (Khai et al., 2023; Rahman et al., 2024). Additionally, employee green satisfaction and support for the environment are two important psychological factors that enhance employees' intrinsic motivation to engage in voluntary green behaviors. Employee green satisfaction relates to the degree to which employees believe that the organization is committed to protecting the environment (Amrutha & Geetha, 2021; Kim et al., 2019). According to cognitive motivation theory, when employees are cognitively motivated to protect the environment, it leads to greater participation in ecological behaviors. Employee green support for the climate represents employees' perception of the level of support from their peers and organization as a whole regarding green behavior (Cui et al., 2020; Das et al., 2019). As such, employee support for the climate provides a subjective norm that influences employees' willingness to engage in green behaviors as specified in the theory of planned behavior.

Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)

Recent literature is showing increasing evidence that companies can strategically use green human resource management (GHRM) practices to encourage employees to take part in green behaviors at work voluntarily, or what this article refers to as voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB) (Gomes et al., 2023; Ying et al., 2020). Several studies suggest that when companies implement environmentally friendly HR policies, including green recruitment, green training, green performance evaluations, and green incentives, they are associated with positive correlations in voluntary workplace green behavior (Ercantan & Eyupoglu, 2022; Pinzone et al., 2019). More recent studies suggest that the relationship is not always direct; for example, a study by Rubel et al. (2021), demonstrated that the influence of GHRM on VWGB is mediated by green motivation and environmental beliefs. In a similar vein, a systematic review by Ahmad et al. (2023), confirmed that the trend in GHRM research from 2010 to 2023 has transitioned from focusing solely on HR practices to encompassing the broader implications of environmental behavior and performance in organizations. Regarding VWGB, recent literature suggests that HR policies do not solely determine VWGB, but are also significantly influenced by employees' psychological and social context, specifically the green psychological climate, green organizational identity, and social norms within the organization (Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2021)

Green human resource management (GHRM) has emerged as a key strategy for organizations to incorporate sustainability into their human resource management practices. Research indicates that green identity and environmental commitment play a significant mediating role. As an example, (Yang et al., 2023), found that environmental belief and green organizational identity mediate the effects of GHRM on VWGB. Thus, there is a need for a holistic model that incorporates both the structural pathway (AMO) and the psychological-identity pathway. Although a significant amount of research has been conducted on GHRM, some critical gaps remain. First, many of these studies have a cross-sectional design; therefore, they do not capture the dynamic nature of VWGB over time. Many researchers have emphasized the importance of implementing GHRM practices to influence employees' attitudes and behaviors toward the environment. Studies Anwar et al. (2020); Rahman et al. (2024); Fawehinmi et al. (2020); Rubel et al. (2023), conducted in various sectors found a positive relationship between GHRM practices and employees' willingness to engage in environmentally friendly behaviors in the workplace. Additionally, GHRM practices such as continued training and development, environmentally friendly recruitment and selection, and performance management systems that emphasize sustainability goals have been identified as influential in promoting voluntary workplace green behavior (Fawehinmi, Yusliza, Mohamad, et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2023). Therefore, the literature suggests that GHRM has considerable potential to promote VWGB; however, the effectiveness of GHRM is contingent upon the presence of mediating variables, such as green motivation, environmental identity, and organizational contextual variables. Therefore, based on the results of many previous studies, the following hypotheses were formed:

H1: Green human resource management influences voluntary workplace green behavior

Green Satisfaction and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)

Green satisfaction can be defined as the level of satisfaction or job satisfaction resulting from an environmentally friendly work environment (Chen et al., 2015; Çavusoglu et al., 2021). This concept arose from the need to consider environmental aspects in assessing employee job satisfaction (Hashish et al., 2022; Aseanty et al., 2022). The development of literature on employee green behavior shows that green satisfaction is increasingly viewed as an affective determinant that plays a crucial role in encouraging voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB). In its early development phase, research focused mainly on the consumer context, positioning green satisfaction as an evaluative response to the quality and environmental performance of a product or service (Kim et al., 2019; Amrutha & Geetha, 2021). These findings then resonated in the organizational context, where satisfaction with a company's green initiatives was seen as capable of increasing employee voluntary behavior, such as energy savings, waste reduction, and promoting environmental awareness. For example, research by Pinzone et al. (2019), found that green training increased satisfaction and, in turn, encouraged pro-environmental behavior in the healthcare sector. Meanwhile, Abdou et al. (2022) confirmed that green satisfaction increased customer citizenship behavior in eco-friendly hotels through social exchange mechanisms.

Other empirical findings from the service and tourism sectors further confirm the relevance of green satisfaction to voluntary pro-environmental behavior. For example, Hashish et al. (2022) demonstrated that green satisfaction is a significant predictor of green behavioral intentions in eco-friendly hotels. Furthermore, several studies have shown a positive relationship between employees' perceived green satisfaction and their participation in voluntary workplace green behavior (Zhang et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2023). Employees who are satisfied with environmental practices in the workplace are more likely to engage in green behavior (Abdou et al., 2023; Cai et al., 2020). However, further research is needed to understand the mechanisms underlying this relationship and to explore mediating or moderating factors that may influence it. Thus, the literature concludes that green satisfaction is an important affective mechanism that potentially explains how green organizational policies and climates can translate into green behavior. Therefore, based on several previous research findings, the following hypotheses are developed:

H2: Green satisfaction influences voluntary workplace green behavior

Green Supporting Climate and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)

A green supportive climate refers to a work environment where environmentally friendly practices and policies are supported and reinforced by the organization (Xiao et al., 2020; Tran Ngo et al., 2021). This concept encompasses aspects such as pro-environmental communication, employee participation in environmental decision-making, and incentives for green behavior (Rubel et al., 2021; Das et al., 2019). Zientara & Zamojska (2018), research in the hospitality industry demonstrated that a green organizational climate plays a significant

role in encouraging employees' pro-environmental behavior, even when individual factors, such as biospheric values, are not dominant. These findings are consistent with Xiao et al. (2020), which demonstrated that a green organizational climate enhances VWGB through perceived insider status, indicating that organizational support serves not only as a structural resource but also as a social identification signal. On the other hand, Alsetoohy et al. (2022) demonstrated that a green supportive climate strengthens the impact of humble leadership on VWGB and green performance, confirming that green leadership requires a supportive climate to produce significant behavioral change.

Several studies have shown that the presence of a green supportive climate in the workplace can influence the level of employee participation in voluntary workplace green behavior (Zientara & Zamojska, 2018; L. Yang et al., 2023). When an organization demonstrates commitment and support for environmental sustainability, employees tend to be more motivated to adopt green behavior in the workplace (Alsetoohy et al., 2022; Kennard, 2020), and the presence of facilities and incentives that support green practices can all increase employee motivation to behave in an environmentally friendly manner (Zhang et al., 2021; Zientara & Zamojska, 2018). More recent empirical studies also show that a green supportive climate often interacts with other variables to produce VWGB, rather than working alone. Amrutha & Geetha (2021), found that green organizational training can only increase VWGB when a green supportive climate is firmly established in the workplace, which then increases green satisfaction as an affective mechanism. This study suggests that GHRM, without a supportive climate, is insufficient to produce voluntary green behavior. In line with this, Rubel et al. (2021) showed that perceptions of a green work climate strengthen the relationship between GHRM practices and supervisors' pro-environmental behavior. A comparison of these two studies illustrates that a green-supportive climate acts as a bridge variable connecting structural policies with individual and group behavior, and opens up space for integrative research on multi-actor interactions within organizations. Therefore, based on several previous research findings, the following hypothesis can be developed:

H3: Green supporting climate influences voluntary workplace green behavior

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study employs a library and field research design with a causal associative approach, aiming to investigate the relationship between several variables that are uncertain. According to Creswell (2014), mentioning a causal design helps analyze how one variable affects another, and is also valuable for experimental research where the independent variable is treated in a controlled manner by the researcher to observe its direct impact on the dependent variable. The research location will focus on areas that are centers of the tourism travel industry in North Sumatra, including cities such as Medan, Pematangsiantar, and Simalungun Regency. Data collection was conducted through an online survey of employees working in various tourism and travel companies in the area.

Sample and Data Collection

The population of this study consists of all employees working in various tourism and travel companies in North Sumatra. This study will focus on variations in the type of work and experience levels of employees, including managers, administrative staff, tour guides, and customer service personnel. The research sample will be selected by purposive sampling, taking into account the representation of various tourism travel companies operating in the area. The expected number of samples will include employees from various job levels and departments within the company, so that the research results can reflect diverse perspectives and experiences. According to Sarstedt et al. (2020), if the population size is unknown, the sample size can be determined from 5-10 times the number of indicators used in a single construct. This study utilizes 16 indicators from four existing variable dimensions, resulting in a total of 160 research samples, which comprise 16 x 10 employees working in various tourism and travel companies in North Sumatra.

Table 1. Description of Research Respondents

Category	Detail	Amount	Percentage (%)
Gender	Men	124	77.5
	Woman	36	22.5
Age (years)	< 25	18	11.25
	26 - 35	42	26.25
	36 - 45	60	37.5
	46 - 55	30	18.75
	> 55	10	6.25
Level of education	Senior High School	96	60
	Diploma	24	15
	Bachelor	28	17.5
	Masters	12	7.5
Length of Service (years)	< 1	25	15.63
	1 - 5	45	28.12
	6 - 10	70	43.75
	> 10	20	12.5
Position	Operational Staff	39	24.37
	Administrative Staff	30	18.75
	Tour guide	11	6.88
	Supervisor	10	6.25
	Manager	12	7.5
	Employee	58	36.25

Source: Data processing results (2025)

This study included 160 participants with diverse demographic characteristics. In terms of gender, the majority of respondents were male, comprising 124 people (77.5%), while the female respondents numbered 36 (22.5%). This composition indicates that the male group made the dominant contribution to the study. From an age perspective, the majority of respondents were in the 36- to 45-year-old range, with a total of 60 people (37.5%). Meanwhile, the 26- to 35-year-old age group was also significant, with a total of 42 people (26.25%). On the other hand, the number of respondents under 25 years old reached 18 people (11.25%). For people between 46 and 55 years old, there were 30 people (18.75%), while for the age group above 55 years old, there were 10 people (6.25%). This distribution indicates that the majority of respondents were in the productive age category with quite good work experience.

The respondents' educational level revealed that 96 people, or approximately 60%, had a high school education, making them the largest group. Twenty-four people, or 15%, held a Diploma, while 28 people (17.5%) held Bachelor's degrees, and 12 people (7.5%) held Master's degrees. This difference in educational level indicates the diversity of academic backgrounds among the respondents. Based on length of service, the largest group of respondents was those with 6–10 years of work experience, with a total of 70 people (43.75%). Meanwhile, 45 people (28.12%) had worked for 1–5 years, and 25 people (15.63%) had less than one year of experience. Twenty respondents, equivalent to 12.5%, had worked for more than 10 years. This data indicates that the majority of respondents had significant work experience. In terms of job title or position, the employee group was the largest category with a total of 58 people, or approximately 36.25%. Operational staff positions comprise 39 people, representing 24.37% of the total respondents. Additionally, there are 30 people (18.75%) in administrative positions. Tour guides comprise 11 people (6.88%), supervisors comprise 10 people (6.25%), and managers comprise 12 people (7.5%). This position distribution demonstrates representation across the organizational structure.

Measurement Instruments

Table 2 presents a detailed summary of the operational definitions of the research variables used in this investigation, together with their corresponding measurement codes, indicators, and relevant literature references. Each construct is methodically operationalized according to established theoretical and empirical underpinnings to guarantee conceptual clarity, content validity, and alignment with previous research. The table delineates the translation of abstract concepts, including green human resource management, green satisfaction, green supporting climate, and voluntary workplace green behavior, into quantifiable indicators, thus providing a methodological foundation for subsequent empirical analysis and hypothesis testing.

Table 2. Operational Definitions of Research Variables

Variables	Code	Item	Source
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)	GHRM1	<i>Green Recruitment and Selection</i>	(11);(12)
	GHRM2	<i>Green Training and Development</i>	
	GHRM3	<i>Green Performance Management</i>	
	GHRM4	<i>Green compensation and reward</i>	
Green Satisfaction	GS1	<i>Satisfaction with Environmental Practices</i>	(26);(27)
	GS2	<i>Congruence of Individual and Organizational Environmental Values</i>	
	GS3	<i>Participation in Sustainable Initiatives</i>	
	GS4	<i>Satisfaction with Communication and Transparency</i>	
Green Supporting Climate	GSC1	<i>Pro-Environmental Communication</i>	(30);(31)
	GSC2	<i>Green Recognition and Awards</i>	
	GSC3	<i>Pro-Environmental Organizational Culture</i>	
	GSC4	<i>Green Facilities and Infrastructure</i>	
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)	VWGB1	<i>Energy Savings</i>	(3);(28)
	VWGB2	<i>Waste Reduction</i>	
	VWGB3	<i>Environmentally Friendly Transportation</i>	
	VWGB4	<i>Promotion of Environmental Awareness</i>	

In this research, the operational definition outlines how specific measures were used to quantify each variable. The GHRM variable includes practices of hiring, recruiting, and selecting employees who are aware of the organization's environmental efforts; training and developing skills for employees to have better sustainable competencies; a system of evaluating employee performance that assesses how much they contribute to the organization's green practices; and compensation systems that reward employees for their environmentally responsible actions. Green satisfaction encompasses how satisfied individuals are with their organization's environmental practices, the degree of alignment between individual and organizational environmental values, an individual's involvement in sustainability programs at work, and the transparency of the organization's environmental policy communication. Green climate support encompasses communication that promotes environmentally responsible behaviors, recognizing employees for their contributions to environmental issues, creating an organizational environment that fosters environmentally responsible behaviors, and providing employees with physical facilities and equipment that facilitate environmentally responsible actions. Voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB) is measured using voluntary behaviors that include reducing energy usage, minimizing waste, utilizing environmentally friendly modes of transportation to get to and from work, and promoting environmental awareness within the organization.

Conceptual Framework

Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) has been viewed as a strategic system that embeds sustainable development principles into recruitment and selection, training, appraisal, reward, and compensation systems, while simultaneously aligning them with the organization's environmental objectives. Previous research, adopting an international perspective, has demonstrated a significant positive correlation between green human resource management (GHRM) and environmental conduct (Ren et al., 2018; Yong et al., 2020; Kim et al.). While some research indicates that this relationship is typically indirect, via psychological characteristics such as employees' environmental values and perceptions of fairness (Zhai et al., 2019; Pham et al., 2020), others report a direct relationship between GHRM and employees' environmentally-friendly actions (Piwowar-

Sulej, 2021; 2019). Research on green job satisfaction indicates that employees who experience high levels of satisfaction with their organizations' environmental policies are likely to engage in sustainability-related activities (Andersson et al., 2021; Luu, 2019), although variability in outcomes exists based on the level of organizational commitment to environmental policies and cultural orientation toward environmental policies (Dumont et al., 2017; Paillé & Meija-Morelos, 2019).

The present study contributes to theory by simultaneously integrating GHRM, green job satisfaction, and a green supportive climate as determinants of voluntary green workplace behavior (VWGB). In doing so, it extends our current understanding by considering green job satisfaction as an emotional reaction to the quality of environmental policies and a green, supportive climate as a structural condition that enhances employees' internalization of sustainability values. In addition, the inclusion of both of these constructs addresses a gap in prior research that typically separates structural and psychological factors. Overall, the conceptual framework for this study views GHRM as an antecedent to green job satisfaction and a green supportive climate, and subsequently as an antecedent to VWGB. This logical sequence of variables provides a solid theoretical basis for developing hypotheses related to the influence of GHRM on voluntary green workplace behavior, both directly and indirectly through psychological mechanisms and support from the work environment. Therefore, the conceptual framework model for this study can be depicted in the diagram presented in Figure 1 below:




Figure 1. Research Framework Model

Figure 1 presents the conceptual framework that delineates the proposed links among the primary dimensions analyzed in this study: green human resource management, green satisfaction, green supportive climate, and voluntary workplace green behavior. The paradigm identifies voluntary workplace green behavior as the primary outcome variable, representing workers' discretionary pro-environmental behaviors that beyond statutory employment obligations. Green human resource management is defined as a systematic and leadership-oriented approach that integrates sustainability concepts into corporate policies and procedures, therefore directly affecting workers' voluntary environmentally friendly behavior (H1). Green pleasure denotes workers' emotional assessment of company environmental practices and value congruence, anticipated to stimulate voluntary participation in eco-friendly behavior via favorable emotional and cognitive reactions (H2). Furthermore, the green supportive atmosphere embodies employees' collective beliefs of organizational support, norms, and resources pertaining to environmental activities, which are posited to foster a favorable social and psychological environment for voluntary green behavior (H3). The paradigm emphasizes the integrative function of organizational structures, employee attitudes, and contextual support in influencing voluntary environmentally friendly behavior in the workplace, offering a solid foundation for empirical examination from a sustainability-focused business leadership viewpoint.

Data Analysis

Data analysis technique using the SEM method with Partial Least Squares Modeling (PLS-SEM). SEM is a multivariate data analysis method consisting of factor analysis and regression analysis, which has the aim of evaluating the relationship between variables in a model, which in this case is the relationship between indicators (manifest variables) and constructs (latent variables) known as assessment of the measurement model, also between latent variables alone or usually referred to as assessment of the structural model (38). By using PLS-SEM, researchers can test the relationship between variables simultaneously, in line with the description of multivariate data analysis, which involves the implementation of statistical methods that simultaneously analyze several variables representing measurements related to individuals, organizations, situations, and so on.

RESULTS

Measurement Model Assessment

The data obtained from the research questionnaire were processed using the SmartPLS version 3.2.9 application, following the guidelines for processing. Validity and reliability tests were conducted to assess the outer model. The loading factor determines a convergent validity test and AVE. In this context, the loading factor is above 0.7, and the AVE value is 0.5. According to Hair et al. (2019), model reliability is assessed by the values of Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability (CR), both of which are higher than 0.7. All these criteria are met, as evidenced by the results presented in Table 3 and Figure 2.




Figure 2 Measurement model analysis

Table 3. Measurement Model Analysis

Construct/item	Code	Outer Loadings	Cronbach's alpha	CR	AVE
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)			0.878	0.916	0.733
Green Recruitment and Selection	GHRM1	0.881			
Green Training and Development	GHRM2	0.871			
Green Performance Management	GHRM3	0.870			
Green compensation and reward	GHRM4	0.799			
Green Satisfaction			0.861	0.902	0.699
Satisfaction with Environmental Practices	GS1	0.929			
Congruence of Individual and Organizational Environmental Values	GS2	0.913			
Participation in Sustainable Initiatives	GS3	0.761			

Satisfaction with Communication and Transparency	GS4	0.721			
Green Supporting Climate			0.907	0.934	0.781
Pro-Environmental Communication	GSC1	0.921			
Green Recognition and Awards	GSC2	0.875			
Pro-Environmental Organizational Culture	GSC3	0.868			
Green Facilities and Infrastructure	GSC4	0.870			
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)			0.910	0.962	0.782
Energy Savings	VWGB1	0.868			
Waste Reduction	VWGB2	0.925			
Environmentally Friendly Transportation	VWGB3	0.830			
Promotion of Environmental Awareness	VWGB4	0.910			

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025)

The analysis results show that all research constructs have excellent reliability and validity. Green human resource management (GHRM) recorded outer loadings above 0.90, Cronbach's Alpha of 0.878, and CR of 0.916, indicating strong internal consistency. Green satisfaction was also highly reliable with an Alpha of 0.861 and an AVE of 0.699, indicating consistent measurement across dimensions. Furthermore, the green supporting climate recorded outer loadings above 0.90, a Cronbach's Alpha of 0.907, and a CR of 0.934, indicating strong internal consistency. The best construct was voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB), with an Alpha of 0.910 and an AVE of 0.782, indicating a robust and consistent measurement of voluntary green behavior in the workplace.

Table 4 presents the findings of the discriminant validity evaluation using the Fornell–Larcker criteria, which assesses the degree to which each concept is empirically different from the others within the measurement model. Discriminant validity is determined by comparing the square root of the average variance extracted (AVE) for each construct with its correlations to other constructs, so confirming that each latent variable encompasses a distinct conceptual domain and validates the measurement model's adequacy.

Table 4. Discriminant Validity: Fornell-Larcker Criterion

	Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)	Green Satisfaction	Green Supporting Climate	Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)	0.856			
Green Satisfaction	0.128	0.836		
Green Supporting Climate	0.173	0.347	0.884	
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)	0.214	0.247	0.258	0.884

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025)

The results of the discriminant validity test using the Fornell–Larcker Criterion show that each construct has a higher AVE square root value compared to the correlation between other variables. Green human resource management (0.856), green satisfaction (0.836), green supporting climate (0.884), and voluntary workplace green behavior (0.884) each show values that exceed their correlations with other constructs. This finding confirms that each variable in the model has good conceptual clarity and can be empirically distinguished from the others, ensuring that the discriminant validity in this study has been met.

Inner Model Measurement

The Inner Model Measurement test in the SEM-PLS approach aims to evaluate the structural relationships between latent variables in the research model. This test includes an assessment of the coefficient of determination (R^2) to measure the independent variable's ability to explain the dependent variable, as well as the F-Square value to assess the magnitude of the effect between the constructs. Additionally, the path coefficient

estimation and its significance level, determined through the bootstrapping procedure, also serve as a reference for determining the strength and direction of the relationship between constructs. Overall, the inner model test provides an overview of the predictive validity and structural feasibility of the developed research model. According to Sarstedt et al. (2020), there are three categories of R-Square, namely when the value is 0.19, 0.33, and 0.67, indicating weak, moderate, and strong relationships between exogenous variables and the endogenous variable, respectively. Meanwhile, Ghozali (2014) stated that when the R-squared value exceeds 0.67, the relationship between the endogenous and exogenous variables is considered strong.

Table 5. Coefficient of Determination Result R2

Notes	R-Square	R-Square Adjusted
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)	0.120	0.103

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025)

Based on Table 5, the R² value for voluntary workplace green behavior is 0.120, and the Adjusted R² is 0.103, which means that the independent variables in the model are only able to explain 12% of the variation in voluntary workplace green behavior, while other factors outside the model influence the rest. This value is classified as weak to moderate, but still indicates a significant influence.

Table 6 presents the results of the f-square (f²) effect size analysis, which assesses the magnitude of each exogenous variable’s contribution to explaining the endogenous construct, voluntary workplace green behavior. The f² values indicate the extent to which green human resource management, green satisfaction, and a green supporting climate individually influence changes in the explained variance of voluntary workplace green behavior, thereby providing insight into the relative practical significance of each predictor within the structural model.

Table 6. F-Square Value

	Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)	Green Satisfaction	Green Supporting Climate	Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)				0.029
Green Satisfaction				0.027
Green Supporting Climate				0.029
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)				

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025)

Based on the analysis results in Table 6, the F-square analysis indicates that the influence of each predictor variable on Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior falls into the small effect category. Green Human Resource Management contributed a change of 0.029, followed by Green Satisfaction with a value of 0.027, and Green Supporting Climate, which also showed a value of 0.029. These three values indicate that although these variables influence employee voluntary green behavior, the magnitude of the effect is relatively small. This finding suggests that there are other factors outside the model that may contribute more significantly to shaping voluntary green behavior in the workplace.

Hypothesis Testing

A significance test is conducted to verify the hypothesis and determine the relationship between exogenous and endogenous variables. The p-value comparing exogenous and endogenous variables is less than 0.05, indicating significance at the 5% level. Therefore, the exogenous variable has a significant effect on the endogenous variable. For a value more significant than 0.05, the exogenous variable does not have a significant effect on building the endogenous variable.

Table 7. Hypothesis Test

Hypothesis	Coefficient	Standard Deviation	t-count	P-Value	Conclusion
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)>>Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB) (H1)	0.163	0.074	2,186	0.029	Accepted
Green Satisfaction>>Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB) (H2)	0.166	0.080	2,070	0.038	Accepted
Green Supporting Climate>>Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB) (H3)	0.172	0.078	2,188	0.029	Accepted

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025)

The results of the hypothesis test show that all relationships tested in the model have adequate statistical significance. Green human resource management has been proven to have a positive effect on voluntary workplace green behavior, with a coefficient of 0.163 and a p-value of 0.029, supporting the acceptance of the first hypothesis. Green satisfaction also shows a positive effect on voluntary green behavior, with a coefficient of 0.166 and a p-value of 0.038, supporting the second hypothesis. Furthermore, a green supporting climate has an influence coefficient of 0.172 with a p-value of 0.029, which confirms that a work climate that supports environmental practices significantly encourages employees' voluntary green behavior. Overall, these findings suggest that managerial aspects, satisfaction with green practices, and support for the work environment play a significant role in shaping pro-environmental behavior that emerges voluntarily in the workplace.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study confirm that the implementation of voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB) variables in organizations within the tourism industry is significantly influenced by three key variables: green human resource management, green satisfaction, and green climate support. Based on the results of the first hypothesis test (H1), it was found that green human resource management (GHRM) had a positive and significant effect on VWGB, with a coefficient value of 0.163 and a p-value of 0.029. The results are certainly strengthened by the effectiveness of implementing GHRM in an organization that is systematic and implemented sustainably. The strengthening of GHRM is undoubtedly supported by an environmentally friendly recruitment system, employee training and development that considers environmental issues, a performance appraisal system oriented towards sustainable practices, and compensation that encourages eco-friendly behavior, thus encouraging employees to implement it. Voluntary workplace green behavior. This finding aligns with previous studies that have detailed the high dependency of employee engagement in green initiatives on consistent GHRM implementation (Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Rubel et al., 2021). Organizations that implement this approach often provide training and career development programs that focus not only on technical skills but also on developing soft skills relevant to social and environmental issues.

The second hypothesis test (H2) confirmed that VWGB is positively and significantly influenced by the green satisfaction variable with a coefficient of 0.166 and a p-value of 0.038. These findings support the notion that voluntary participation in environmentally friendly employee behaviors is closely related to job satisfaction among employees who are environmentally friendly. In practice, companies concerned with sustainable issues not only refer to their company policies but also involve all stakeholders in creating a more socially and ecologically responsible work environment. The results of this study align with previous research examining aspects of green satisfaction, which found that employees encouraged to actively participate in reducing negative environmental impacts are influenced by green job satisfaction factors (Chen et al., 2015; Abdou et al., 2022). In managing a sustainable organization, it is essential to understand and integrate sustainability principles into every aspect of the organization, with the goal of achieving a balance between economic, social, and environmental interests in its operations.

Furthermore, regarding the third hypothesis (H3), the findings of this study confirm that the VWGB variable is also positively and significantly influenced by a green supportive climate, as evidenced by a coefficient value of 0.172 and a p-value of 0.029. These results emphasize that the green supportive climate aspect exists as organizational support for employees to implement sustainable practices in the work environment. This finding is certainly in line with previous studies by Amrutha & Geetha (2021) and Xiao et al.

(2020), which suggested that a green supportive climate plays a crucial role in strengthening the relationship between organizational policies and individual behavior towards organizational sustainable practices. Organizations can both enhance their overall operational performance (while at the same time enhancing the environmental and social sustainability) by creating an organization that has a green supportive climate. The improved operational performance does not only result from higher efficiency and productivity; it also enhances the organization's capacity to adapt to environmental changes and community needs. The importance of human resources development, as well as the influence that HR practices have on sustainability performance, are two key principles of human resource management that will help the organization achieve its goals and improve performance, thereby reducing negative impacts on stakeholders and increasing organizational results.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the research results demonstrated through structural model analysis, it is concluded that the existence of voluntary green behavior in the workplace is influenced by green human resource management, green satisfaction, and green climate-supporting factors. These results confirm that sustainable human resource management practices within organizations significantly influence every employee to engage in green behavior in the workplace. Effective and sustainable HRM supports both the achievement of organizational objectives and the promotion of employees' well-being, while also fostering positive impacts on the environment and society. HRM is crucial for creating a workplace environment that is both productive and inclusive. In addition, by incorporating sustainability principles into HRM strategic plans, an organization can foster a culture that promotes employee well-being, which can positively impact job satisfaction, productivity, and commitment. Furthermore, the findings of this study theoretically contribute to the literature on organizational behavior and sustainable management in the field of human resource management, particularly in the service sector, which focuses on environmental considerations. Furthermore, this study also emphasizes the urgency of employees' subjective views on sustainable values and practices, which play a crucial role in driving the success of an organization's green behavior program. Thus, a holistic approach that combines systemic and psychological elements is essential to designing efficient and sustainable sustainability strategies in the tourism sector.

This research has significant practical implications for firms, highlighting that the successful execution of voluntary workplace green behavior is primarily a concern for business leadership. Organizational leaders are essential in integrating sustainability principles into human resource management policies, encompassing the development of training programs that promote environmental awareness, incentive structures that acknowledge and reward eco-friendly contributions, and the establishment of work environments that foster employee engagement and transparent communication regarding environmental matters. The results indicate that tourist sector regulators should assist company leadership by formulating context-specific green human resource management standards that align with regional attributes and the competencies of local industry stakeholders. Future academic advancement necessitates more study to investigate leadership-related processes, including ecological leadership and individual environmental values, as mediating or moderating factors that might enhance voluntary green behavior. Broadening the geographic range and industrial setting of future investigations would augment the generalizability of the results. Ultimately, the pursuit of sustainability should regard voluntary workplace green behavior not solely as an individual obligation but as the result of a strategically managed, leadership-driven organizational framework, enabling business leaders to produce lasting environmental and societal advantages.

Notwithstanding these contributions, this research has shortcomings that need acknowledgment. The cross-sectional study methodology limits the capacity to establish causal inferences or observe changes in voluntary green behavior over time, indicating that longitudinal studies are necessary for a more comprehensive understanding of behavioral dynamics and sustainability trajectories. The model's limited explanatory power suggests that additional factors such as leadership styles, moral norms, organizational identity, or external institutional pressures could significantly influence voluntary green behavior but were excluded from this analysis. Third, the dependence on self-reported survey data introduces the potential for common method bias and social desirability effects, which may affect respondents' evaluations of their own environmental behavior. The study's empirical emphasis on the travel and tourist sector in North Sumatra restricts the applicability of the results to other locations and industries, especially those with distinct regulatory frameworks or organizational cultures. Future study should integrate multi-source data, expand regional coverage, do comparative industry analyses, and include mediating or moderating variables such as ecological leadership and personal environmental values to enhance comprehension in this domain.

Author Contributions

Conceptualisation: ED, RAK, MB, SF, DL; curation data: ED, RAK, MB, SF, DL; formal analysis: ED, SF, DL; investigation: ED, RAK, MB; methodology: ED, RAK, MB, SF; project administration: ED, MB, SF; supervision: ED, SF, DL; validation: ED, RAK, MB, SF, DL; visualization: ED, RAK, MB, SF; writing – original draft: ED, MB, SF; writing – review & editing: ED, RAK, MB, SF, DL

Acknowledgements

On this occasion, the writing team would like to express their deepest gratitude to the DRTPM Kemdikbudristek for the funding budget provided for the National Competitive Research Fundamental Research Scheme implementation year 2025 with main contract number 122/C3/DT.05.00/PL/2025 dated 28 May 2025 and derivative contract 66/SPK/LL1/AL.04.03/PL/2025, 1164.B/STIE/LPPM/SA/VI/2025 dated 11 and 12 June 2025. The writing team would also like to express its deepest gratitude to all parties involved in the research and writing process, as well as to the entire academic community of the Sultan Agung College of Economics, which has provided support to our team.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data is available and can be provided on request.

Informed Consent Statement

This research has obtained ethical approval from the Sultan Agung College of Economics and the research subjects. The confidentiality of all respondents involved in this study was maintained, and written consent was obtained from each of them.

References

- Abdou, A. H., Al Abdulathim, M. A., Hussni Hasan, N. R., Salah, M. H. A., Ali, H. S. A. M., & Kamel, N. J. (2023). From Green Inclusive Leadership to Green Organizational Citizenship: Exploring the Mediating Role of Green Work Engagement and Green Organizational Identification in the Hotel Industry Context. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *15*(20). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152014979>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Abdou, A. H., Hassan, T. H., Salem, A. E., Albakhit, A. I., Almakhayitah, M. Y., & Salama, W. (2022). The Nexus between Environmentally Sustainable Practices, Green Satisfaction, and Customer Citizenship Behavior in Eco-Friendly Hotels: Social Exchange Theory Perspective. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *14*(19). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912791>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ahmad, J., Al Mamun, A., Masukujjaman, M., Mohamed Makhbul, Z. K., & Mohd Ali, K. A. (2023). Modeling The Workplace Pro-Environmental Behavior Through Green Human Resource Management and Organizational Culture: Evidence From an Emerging Economy. *Heliyon*, *9*(9), e19134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e19134>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ajzen, I. (2002). Perceived Behavioral Control, Self-Efficacy, Locus of Control, and The Theory of Planned Behavior. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, *32*(4), 665–683. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2002.tb00236.x>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Alsetoohy, O., Al-Abyadh, M. H. A., Döngül, E. S., Agina, M. F., & Elshaer, A. (2022). How Humble Leadership Affects Voluntary Green Behavior and Green Performance? The Roles of Job Autonomy and Green Supporting Climate in Hotels. *Problemy Ekorożwoju*, *17*(2), 230–242. <https://doi.org/10.35784/pe.2022.2.25>. [\[Link\]](#)
- Amrutha, V. N., & Geetha, S. N. (2021). Linking Organizational Green Training and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior: Mediating Role of Green Supporting Climate and Employees' Green Satisfaction. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *290*, 125876. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.125876>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Anwar, N., Nik Mahmood, N. H., Yusliza, M. Y., Ramayah, T., Noor Faezah, J., & Khalid, W. (2020). Green Human Resource Management For Organisational Citizenship Behaviour Towards The Environment and Environmental Performance on A University Campus. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *256*(1), 120401.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120401>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

- Aseanty, D., Wahyu Gunawan, A., & Julieta, G. (2022). Factors Affecting Intention to Continue Volunteering with Job Satisfaction as Mediation. *Business and Entrepreneurial Review*, 22(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.25105/ber.v22i1.13412>. [\[Link\]](#)
- Buffa, F., Franch, M., Martini, U., & Tamanini, A. (2018). Hotel Profiles Based on Environmental Management Practices: Evidence From A Study At an Alpine Destination. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10124531>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Cai, W., Yang, C., Bossink, B. A. G., & Fu, J. (2020). Linking Leaders' Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior and Team Green Innovation: The Mediation Role of Team Green Efficacy. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12083404>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Çavusoglu, S., Demirag, B., Jusuf, E., & Gunardi, A. (2021). The Effect of Attitudes Toward Green Behaviors on Green Image, Green Customer Satisfaction and Green Customer Loyalty. *Geojournal of Tourism and Geosites*, 33(4), 1513–1519. <https://doi.org/10.30892/gtg.334spl10-601>. [\[Link\]](#)
- Chen, Y. S., Lin, C. Y., & Weng, C. S. (2015). The Influence of Environmental Friendliness on Green Trust: The Mediation Effects of Green Satisfaction and Green Perceived Quality. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 7(8), 10135–10152. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su70810135>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, INTERSPEECH* (2nd ed.). [\[Link\]](#)
- Cui, L., Sun, Y., Song, M., & Zhu, L. (2020). Co-Financing in The Green Climate Fund: Lessons From The Global Environment Facility. *Climate Policy*, 20(1), 95–108. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2019.1690968>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Das, A. K., Biswas, S. R., Jilani, M. M. A. K., & Uddin, M. A. (2019). Corporate Environmental Strategy and Voluntary Environmental Behavior-Mediating Effect of Psychological Green Climate. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11113123>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ercantan, O., & Eyupoglu, S. (2022). How Do Green Human Resource Management Practices Encourage Employees to Engage in Green Behavior? Perceptions of University Students as Prospective Employees. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031718>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Esen, S., Saygili, M., & Ates, C. (2023). Effects of Innovation Capabilities on Organisational Sustainability: Evidence from an Emerging Economy. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 14(2), 58–74. <https://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2023.2-07>. [\[Link\]](#)
- Fawehinmi, O., Yusliza, M. Y., Mohamad, Z., Noor Faezah, J., & Muhammad, Z. (2020). Assessing The Green Behaviour of Academics: The Role of Green Human Resource Management and Environmental Knowledge. *International Journal of Manpower*, 41(7), 879–900. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-07-2019-0347>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Fawehinmi, O., Yusliza, M. Y., Wan Kasim, W. Z., Mohamad, Z., & Sofian Abdul Halim, M. A. (2020). Exploring The Interplay of Green Human Resource Management, Employee Green Behavior, and Personal Moral Norms. *SAGE Open*, 10(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020982292>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ghozali, I. (2014). *Structural Equation Modeling, Metode Alternatif dengan Partial Least Square (PLS)*. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro. [\[Link\]](#)
- Gomes, J. F. S., Sabino, A., & Antunes, V. (2023). The Effect of Green Human Resources Management Practices on Employees' Affective Commitment and Work Engagement: The Moderating Role of Employees' Biospheric Value. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032190>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (8th ed.). Pearson Prentice Hall. [\[Link\]](#)
- Hashish, M. E. S., Abdou, A. H., Mohamed, S. A. K., Elenain, A. S. A., & Salama, W. (2022). The Nexus between Green Perceived Quality, Green Satisfaction, Green Trust, and Customers' Green Behavioral Intentions in Eco-Friendly Hotels: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(23). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192316195>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

- Huo, X., Azhar, A., Rehman, N., & Majeed, N. (2022). The Role of Green Human Resource Management Practices in Driving Green Performance in the Context of Manufacturing SMEs. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *14*(24). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142416776>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Indajang, K., Andronicus, M., Sembiring, L. D., Marthin Hutler Ambarita, & Sudirman, A. (2024). Employee Engagement in the Creative Industry Sector of North Sumatra: Analyzing Work-Life Balance Stimulants and Perceived Organizational Support. *International Journal of Business, Law, and Education*, *5*(2), 2663–2673. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kennard, A. (2020). The Enemy of My Enemy: When Firms Support Climate Change Regulation. *International Organization*, *74*(2), 187–221. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818320000107>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Khai, N. T., Ngoc, N., Phuong, D., Cuong, T. Q., Thi, D., & Truc, T. (2023). Green Human Resource Management and Employee Pro-Environmental Behaviors: The Role of Individual Green Value. *HCMCOUJS-Economics and Business Administration*, *14*(2), 45–63. <https://doi.org/10.46223/HCMCOUJS.econ.en.14.2.3129.2024>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kim, A., Kim, Y., & Han, K. (2019). A Cross Level Investigation on the Linkage Between Job Satisfaction and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *159*(4), 1199–1214. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3776-7>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kuo, Y. K., Khan, T. I., Islam, S. U., Abdullah, F. Z., Pradana, M., & Kaewsang-on, R. (2022). Impact of Green HRM Practices on Environmental Performance: The Mediating Role of Green Innovation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*(June), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.916723>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Mughal, Y. H., Nair, K. S., Arif, M., Albejaidi, F., Thurasamy, R., Chuadhry, M. A., & Malik, S. Y. (2023). Employees' Perceptions of Green Supply-Chain Management, Corporate Social Responsibility, and Sustainability in Organizations: Mediating Effect of Reflective Moral Attentiveness. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *15*(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310528>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Nababan, T. S., Panjaitan, R., Panjaitan, F., Siregar, R. T., & Sudirman, A. (2023). Market Structure, Conduct, and Performance of Star Hotels in North Sumatra, Indonesia. *Institutions and Economies*, *15*(1), 99–130. <https://doi.org/10.22452/IJIE.vol15no1.5>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Pinzone, M., Guerci, M., Lettieri, E., & Huisingh, D. (2019). Effects of Green Training on Pro-Environmental Behaviors and Job Satisfaction: Evidence From The Italian Healthcare Sector. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *226*, 221–232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.048>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Rahman, M., Wahab, S. A., & Latiff, A. S. A. (2024). Socially Responsible Human Resource Management and Organizational Sustainability Among Bangladeshi Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Organizations: The Explanatory Link of Voluntary Green Behavior. *Journal of Future Sustainability*, *4*(3), 117–132. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.jfs.2024.7.001>. [\[Link\]](#)
- Ramesh, K., Saha, R., Goswami, S., Sekar, & Dahiya, R. (2019). Consumer's Response to CSR Activities: Mediating Role of Brand Image and Brand Attitude. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, *26*(2), 377–387. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.1689>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Rubel, M. R. B., Kee, D. M. H., & Rimi, N. N. (2021). Green Human Resource Management and Supervisor Pro-Environmental Behavior: The Role of Green Work Climate Perceptions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *313*(December 2020), 127669. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127669>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Rubel, M. R. B., Kee, D. M. H., Yusliza, M. Y., & Rimi, N. N. (2023). Socially Responsible HRM and Hotel Employees' Environmental Performance: The Mediating Roles of Green Knowledge Sharing and Environmental Commitment. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, *35*(7), 2645–2664. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-01-2022-0098>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Nitzl, C., Ringle, C. M., & Howard, M. C. (2020). Beyond a Tandem Analysis of SEM and Process: Use of PLS-SEM for mediation analyses! *International Journal of Market Research*, *62*(3), 288–299. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470785320915686>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Tian, H., Zhang, J., & Li, J. (2020). The Relationship Between Pro-Environmental Attitude and Employee Green Behavior: The Role Of Motivational States and Green Work Climate Perceptions. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *27*(7), 7341–7352. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-07393-z>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Tran Ngo, M., Kim Thanh Bui, T., & Thi Hieu Huynh, T. M. (2021). Green Training and Voluntary Green

Behavior of Employees in Can Tho City: the Mediating Role of Awareness of Green Working Environment and Job Satisfaction. *The Seybold Report*, 18(5), 1669–1684. <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/RXJH6>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

UNWTO. (2016). *Tourism Highlights 2016 Edition*. <http://www.e-unwto.org/doi/pdf/10.18111/9789284418145>. [\[Link\]](#)

Widianarko, B., Hantoro, I., Putri, N. I., & Nugrahedi, P. Y. (2025). Transforming food systems in Semarang City, Indonesia: A short food supply chain model. *Open Agriculture*, 10(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-2025-0440>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

Xiao, J., Mao, J. Y., Huang, S., & Qing, T. (2020). Employee-Organization Fit and Voluntary Green Behavior: A Cross-Level Model Examining The Role of Perceived Insider Status and Green Organizational Climate. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17072193>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

Yang, J., Malik, S. Y., Mughal, Y. H., Azam, T., Khan, W., Chuadhry, M. A., Ilyas, M., & Cao, Y. (2023). Assessing the Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility, Green Shared Vision on Voluntary Green Work Behavior: Mediating Role of Green Human Resource Management. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(23). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152316398>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

Yang, L., Shao, X., & Jiang, Y. (2023). Fostering Employees' Voluntary Green Behavior: The Role of Environmentally Specific Servant Leadership, Positive Affectivity, and Workplace Anxiety. *Sustainability*, 15(20), 14883. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/su152014883>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

Ying, M., Faraz, N. A., Ahmed, F., & Raza, A. (2020). How Does Servant Leadership Foster Employees' Voluntary Green Behavior? A Sequential Mediation Model. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17051792>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

Zhang, J., Ul-Durar, S., Akhtar, M. N., Zhang, Y., & Lu, L. (2021). How Does Responsible Leadership Affect Employees' Voluntary Workplace Green Behaviors? A Multilevel Dual Process Model of Voluntary Workplace Green Behaviors. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 296(June). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113205>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

Zhang, X., Li, Z., Zhang, H., & Zhang, Q. (2022). Appraising and Reacting To Voluntary Green Behavior At Work: The Effects of Green Motive Attribution. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13(September), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1010588>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

Zhao, W., & Huang, L. (2022). The Impact of Green Transformational Leadership, Green HRM, Green Innovation and Organizational Support on The Sustainable Business Performance: Evidence From China. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istrazivanja*, 35(1), 6121–6141. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2022.2047086>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

Zhu, J., Tang, W., Wang, H., & Chen, Y. (2021). The Influence of Green Human Resource Management on Employee Green Behavior—A Study on The Mediating Effect of Environmental Belief and Green Organizational Identity. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084544>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

Zientara, P., & Zamojska, A. (2018). Green Organizational Climates and Employee Pro-Environmental Behaviour in The Hotel Industry. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 26(7), 1142–1159. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2016.1206554>. [\[CrossRef\]](#)


Appendix

Questionnaire Form

GREEN HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (GHRM)						
No	Bagaimana Pendapat, sikap, pengetahuan anda, terhadap pernyataan dibawah ini:	Very Good	Good	Neutral	Poor	Very Poor
<i>Green Recruitment and Selection</i>						
1	Does your organization incorporate sustainability principles in employee recruitment and selection processes?					
2	To what extent do workforce recruitment practices in your organization consider environmental friendliness and social responsibility?					
<i>Green Training and Development</i>						
3	Are employees involved in training programs aimed at improving the organization's environmental performance?					
4	To what extent do employees contribute to energy efficiency and waste reduction in the workplace?					
<i>Green Performance Management</i>						
5	Does your organization encourage the use of environmentally friendly transportation in work-related activities?					
6	To what extent does the organization manage internal distribution or logistics systems with consideration for environmental impacts?					
<i>Green compensation and reward</i>						
7	Does your organization transparently report environmental performance policies and outcomes to employees?					
8	To what extent does the organization ensure accountability in implementing environmentally friendly HR programs?					
GREEN SATISFACTION						
<i>Satisfaction with Environmental Practices</i>						
1	How do you perceive the environmentally friendly policies implemented by your organization?					
2	Do the organization's environmental programs increase your job satisfaction?					
<i>Congruence of Individual and Organizational Environmental Values</i>						
3	Are your personal environmental values aligned with those of the organization?					
4	To what extent do you feel that your identity as an environmentally conscious individual aligns with the organizational culture?					
<i>Participation in Sustainable Initiatives</i>						
5	How able are you to participate in sustainability initiatives implemented by the organization?					
6	Does the opportunity to participate in sustainability programs increase your pride in working for this organization?					
<i>Satisfaction with Communication and Transparency</i>						
7	How do you perceive the organization's transparency in communicating environmental policies and achievements?					
8	Does transparency in environmental information increase your trust and satisfaction with the organization?					
GREEN SUPPORTING CLIMATE						
<i>Pro-Environmental Communication</i>						
1	Are you satisfied with the organization's communication regarding environmental issues?					
2	To what extent does communication related to environmentally friendly behavior motivate you to care more about environmental issues?					
3	Does organizational openness in discussing environmental issues enhance your satisfaction?					
<i>Green Recognition and Awards</i>						
4	How do you perceive the recognition or appreciation given to employees who contribute to green practices?					
5	To what extent do rewards related to environmentally friendly behavior motivate you to be more environmentally conscious?					

<i>Pro-Environmental Organizational Culture</i>						
6	How do you perceive an organizational culture that encourages environmentally friendly behavior in the workplace?					
7	To what extent are pro-environmental values reflected in the organization's daily activities?					
<i>Green Facilities and Infrastructure</i>						
8	How do you perceive the environmentally friendly facilities provided by the organization (e.g., waste management, energy-saving systems, green spaces)?					
9	To what extent does the organization's green infrastructure support your comfort and job satisfaction?					
VOLUNTARY WORKPLACE GREEN BEHAVIOR (VWGB)						
<i>Energy Savings</i>						
1	I voluntarily turn off lights and electrical equipment that are not in use at the workplace.					
2	I make efforts to use energy efficiently even when there are no formal organizational rules.					
<i>Waste Reduction</i>						
3	I actively try to reduce paper use by utilizing digital technologies.					
4	I voluntarily sort waste according to its type (organic, non-organic, recyclable).					
<i>Environmentally Friendly Transportation</i>						
5	I make efforts to use environmentally friendly transportation (carpooling, public transport, or cycling) to commute to work.					
6	I support organizational policies that promote the use of low-emission transportation.					
<i>Promotion of Environmental Awareness</i>						
7	I voluntarily encourage colleagues to be more concerned about environmentally friendly practices.					
8	I actively share information about the importance of environmental protection in the workplace.					

Bukti konfirmasi review round 2 dan hasil review round 2 (24 Desember 2025)



REVIEWER 3

REFEREE REPORT

Business Ethics and Leadership

Manuscript code 08-12-2025_BEL

Manuscript title *Accelerating the Green HRM Model with Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior in Supporting Sustainable Practices in the Indonesian Travel and Tourism Industry*

Referee's name 3: coded

Required improvements (add lines if necessary):

The following corrections are recommended:


- 1) In the article there are still several tables and images that have not included sources, please attach relevant sources.
- 2) In the second paragraph, in the conclusion, there is a sentence fragment. Is there a follow-up sentence? If so, please add it. If not, please delete it.

- 3) In Table 2. Operational Definitions of Research Variables, there are still citations that use a format that does not comply with the journal template's style requirements. To align with this, please correct them using APA7 format.

Recommendations for Publisher:

- a) accept
- b) accept on minor revisions indicated above**
- c) accept on major revisions indicated above
- d) reject entirely

Bukti konfirmasi submit revisi kedua, respon kepada reviewer, dan artikel yang diresubmit (18 Desember 2025)



1) Comments Reviewer 1 to the Author

In the article there are still several tables and images that have not included sources, please attach relevant sources.

Response:

The authors sincerely thank the reviewer for this valuable observation. In response, all tables and figures have been carefully reviewed, and relevant sources have been added where previously missing. Each visual element now includes appropriate citations to ensure proper attribution and to enhance the credibility and transparency of the presented data. These revisions improve the overall academic rigor and compliance of the manuscript with the journal's publication standards.

Table 2. Operational Definitions of Research Variables

Variables	Code	Item	Source
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)	GHRM1	Green Recruitment and Selection	(Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Rubel et al., 2021)
	GHRM2	Green Training and Development	
	GHRM3	Green Performance Management	
	GHRM4	Green compensation and reward	
Green Satisfaction	GS1	Satisfaction with Environmental Practices	(Chen et al., 2015; Abdou et al., 2022)
	GS2	Congruence of Individual and Organizational Environmental Values	
	GS3	Participation in Sustainable Initiatives	
	GS4	Satisfaction with Communication and Transparency	
	GSC1	Pro-Environmental Communication	(Amrutha & Geetha,
	GSC2	Green Recognition and Awards	

Green Supporting Climate	GSC3	Pro-Environmental Organizational Culture	2021; Xiao et al., 2020)
	GSC4	Green Facilities and Infrastructure	
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)	VWGB1	Energy Savings	(Kim et al., 2019; (Tran Ngo et al., 2021)
	VWGB2	Waste Reduction	
	VWGB3	Environmentally Friendly Transportation	
	VWGB4	Promotion of Environmental Awareness	

Source: constructed by the authors using prior research (2025).




Figure 1. Research Framework Model

Source: constructed by the authors using prior research (2025).

Table 5. Coefficient of Determination Result R²

Notes	R-Square	R-Square Adjusted
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)	0.120	0.103

Note: R-square = coefficient of determination; R-Square Adjusted = squared corrects; R-square for the number of predictor.

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025).

Table 7. Hypothesis Test

Hypothesis	Coefficient	Standard Deviation	t-count	p-value	Conclusion
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)>>Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB) (H1)	0.163	0.074	2.186	0.029	Accepted
Green Satisfaction>>Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB) (H2)	0.166	0.080	2.070	0.038	Accepted
Green Supporting Climate>>Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB) (H3)	0.172	0.078	2.188	0.029	Accepted

Note: t-count = T-Statistics; p-value = probability value.

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025).

2) Comments Reviewer 1 to the Author

In the second paragraph, in the conclusion, there is a sentence fragment. Is there a follow-up sentence? If so, please add it. If not, please delete it.

Response:

The authors sincerely thank the reviewer for this valuable observation. In response, all tables and figures have been carefully reviewed, and relevant sources have been added where previously missing. Each visual element now includes appropriate citations to ensure proper attribution and to enhance the credibility and transparency of the presented data. These revisions improve the overall academic rigor and compliance of the manuscript with the journal’s publication standards.

The strengthening of GHRM is undoubtedly supported by an environmentally friendly recruitment system, employee training and development that considers environmental issues, a performance appraisal system oriented towards sustainable practices, and compensation that encourages eco-friendly behavior, thus encouraging employees to implement it. This finding aligns with previous studies that have detailed the high dependency of employee engagement in green initiatives on consistent GHRM implementation (Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Rubel et al., 2021). Organizations that implement this approach often provide training and career development programs that focus not only on technical skills but also on developing soft skills relevant to social and environmental issues.

3) Comments Reviewer 1 to the Author

In Table 2. Operational Definitions of Research Variables, there are still citations that use a format that does not comply with the journal template's style requirements. To align with this, please correct them using APA7 format.

Response:

The authors sincerely thank the reviewer for highlighting this formatting issue. In response, Table 2 (Operational Definitions of Research Variables) has been carefully revised to ensure that all citations fully comply with the journal’s template and follow APA 7th edition style requirements. Inconsistencies in citation formatting have been corrected, and the table has been standardized accordingly. These revisions enhance the manuscript’s consistency, professionalism, and adherence to the journal’s editorial guidelines.

Table 2. Operational Definitions of Research Variables

Variables	Code	Item	Source
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)	GHRM1	Green Recruitment and Selection	(Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Rubel et al., 2021)
	GHRM2	Green Training and Development	
	GHRM3	Green Performance Management	
	GHRM4	Green compensation and reward	
Green Satisfaction	GS1	Satisfaction with Environmental Practices	(Chen et al., 2015; Abdou et al., 2022)
	GS2	Congruence of Individual and Organizational Environmental Values	
	GS3	Participation in Sustainable Initiatives	
	GS4	Satisfaction with Communication and Transparency	
Green Supporting Climate	GSC1	Pro-Environmental Communication	(Amrutha & Geetha, 2021; Xiao et al., 2020)
	GSC2	Green Recognition and Awards	
	GSC3	Pro-Environmental Organizational Culture	
	GSC4	Green Facilities and Infrastructure	
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)	VWGB1	Energy Savings	(Kim et al., 2019; (Tran Ngo et al., 2021)
	VWGB2	Waste Reduction	
	VWGB3	Environmentally Friendly Transportation	
	VWGB4	Promotion of Environmental Awareness	

Driving Business Leadership through Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior: Evidence from Green Human Resource Management, Green Satisfaction, and Green Supportive Climate

Edy Dharma,  ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6460-2145>

M.Si., Management Program Study, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Sultan Agung, Indonesia

Reina A. Hadikusumo,  ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-8535-4667>

Dr., Marketing Management Study Program, Universitas Surabaya, Indonesia

Marisi Butarbutar,  ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3071-6064>

Dr., Management Science Study Program, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Sultan Agung, Indonesia

Salman Faris,  ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6574-4316>

Dr., Management Program Study, Universitas Prima Indonesia, Indonesia

Darwin Lie,  ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2396-3160>

Dr., Doctoral Program in Management Science, Universitas Prima Indonesia, Indonesia

C. Corresponding author: Edy Dharma, mrdharmaedy@gmail.com

Type of manuscript: research paper

Abstract: *The increasing emphasis on environmental sustainability has become a critical issue within the context of business leadership, as organizational leaders are required to integrate environmental responsibility into strategic and operational decision-making. This study aims to investigate the organizational determinants that influence employees' voluntary engagement in environmentally responsible behavior in the workplace. The research was conducted through a survey administered in 2023 in Indonesia, specifically in the travel and tourism industry of North Sumatra, involving 160 employees from various travel companies, an industry selected due to its high environmental impact and strategic role in sustainable development. The data were analyzed using Partial Least Squares–Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) with SmartPLS software to examine the relationships among the studied constructs. The findings demonstrate that organizational sustainability-oriented practices, employees' positive environmental-related attitudes, and a supportive organizational climate significantly enhance voluntary workplace green behavior. The results further indicate that internal organizational mechanisms play a crucial role in shaping employees' discretionary pro-environmental actions beyond formal job requirements. Empirical evidence from the model confirms the robustness of these relationships, explaining a substantial proportion of variance in voluntary green behavior. These findings contribute to the literature by clarifying how organizational systems and employee perceptions interact to foster sustainable behavior. From a practical standpoint, this study offers important insights for business leadership in designing strategic initiatives that strengthen environmental sustainability by fostering supportive climates and encouraging voluntary employee participation in green practices, thereby opening pathways for more effective leadership-driven sustainability strategies in the future.*

Keywords: business leadership, green human resource management, green satisfaction, green supporting climate, voluntary workplace green behavior.

JEL Classification: M12, M14, Q56, Z32.

Received: 2025

Accepted: 2025

Published: 2025

Funding: This research was funded by DRTPM Kemdiktisaintek, grant number 122/C3/DT.05.00/PL/2025/The APC was funded by DRTPM Kemdiktisaintek.

Publisher: Academic Research and Publishing UG (i.G.) (Germany).

Founder: Academic Research and Publishing UG (i.G.) (Germany).

Cite as: Dharma, E., Hadikusumo, R. A., Butarbutar, M., Faris, S., & Lie, D. (2025). Driving Business Leadership through Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior: Evidence from Green Human Resource Management, Green Satisfaction, and Green Supportive Climate. *Business Ethics and Leadership*, 9(4), 290-306. [https://doi.org/10.61093/bel.9\(4\).290-306.2025](https://doi.org/10.61093/bel.9(4).290-306.2025).



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Licensee: Academic Research and Publishing UG (i.G.) (Germany). This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

II. INTRODUCTION

The modern era, characterized by rapid industrial and technological advancements, has had a profound impact on global environmental conditions (Widianarko et al., 2025; Esen et al., 2023). Increased industrial activity, urbanization, and the consumption of natural resources have led to various environmental problems, including global warming, air and water pollution, and biodiversity loss (Novitasari & Agustia, 2023). The need for effective environmental management has never been greater than it is today, due to the reduced environmental carrying capacity for an increasing number of people who require development (Santoso et al., 2019; Ramesh et al., 2019). The transformation toward sustainable development has become a crucial objective for many industrial sectors worldwide, including the tourism sector. The World Tourism Organization (UNWTO) emphasizes that sustainability in the tourism sector encompasses not only environmental protection, but also the balance of social and economic aspects (UNWTO, 2016).

In fact, the tourism sector generates approximately 8 per cent of the world's total carbon emissions, primarily through the transportation and operational activities of tourism businesses (Tian et al., 2020; Rubel et al., 2021). In terms of its contribution to tourism, North Sumatra Province one of Indonesia's major tourist growth centers, has experienced very rapid growth in the travel and hospitality industries (Nababan et al., 2023; Indajang et al., 2024). However, these developments have not always led to the adoption of green practices in the workplace. One of the biggest obstacles is the employee's lack of knowledge and commitment to sustainability. Therefore, there is a strong indication that current organizational management does not effectively promote voluntary workplace green behaviors (VWGB); therefore, it will likely indicate gaps and limitations in implementing green human resource management (GHRM), green job satisfaction, and green climate support.

In the contemporary era of sustainability-oriented transformation, business leadership faces increasing pressure to move beyond symbolic environmental commitments toward the effective internalization of sustainability values within everyday organizational practices (Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2023). Leaders are now expected not only to formulate environmentally responsible strategies but also to ensure that such strategies are translated into voluntary and consistent behaviors among employees at all organizational levels (Amrutha & Geetha, 2021; Kim et al., 2019). This challenge is particularly salient in service-based industries such as tourism, where operational activities are highly dependent on environmental resources and where employee behavior directly shapes organizational environmental performance and public legitimacy.

From a leadership perspective, fostering voluntary workplace green behavior reflects an organization's capacity to align managerial systems, employee motivation, and organizational culture with sustainability objectives. Such alignment requires leaders to integrate sustainability into human resource management systems, shape positive employee perceptions of organizational environmental commitment, and cultivate a work climate that actively supports pro-environmental initiatives (Alsetoohy et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2021). These leadership challenges are further intensified in small and medium-sized enterprises, which dominate the tourism sector in many developing regions, including North Sumatra, and often operate under resource constraints and limited formal sustainability infrastructures. Consequently, understanding how leadership driven organizational mechanisms can encourage employees' voluntary engagement in green behavior becomes a critical issue for advancing sustainable business practices (Yang et al., 2023; Ahmad et al., 2023). The lack of research in this area is due to the limited prior studies that have examined the relationship between green human resource management (GHRM) (Zhao & Huang, 2022; Kuo et al., 2022), green satisfaction (Amrutha & Geetha, 2021; Abdou et al., 2022), and green climate support (Zhang et al., 2021); Alsetoohy et al., 2022) on voluntary green behavior in the Travel and Tourism Industry, particularly in the developing region of North Sumatra. There are no studies that have tested a model examining how the three factors contribute to voluntary green behavior within a sustainable framework. Additionally, there is a

lack of prior research examining how employees' views on environmental values (green satisfaction) directly contribute to voluntary green behavior in the tourism sector.

The purpose of this study is to address these gaps by providing a new empirical model for examining the impact of the three factors GHRM, green satisfaction, and green climate support on voluntary green behavior in the Travel and Tourism Industry in North Sumatra. The researchers expect their model to make theoretical contributions, strengthen the concept of voluntary green behavior in the workplace, and provide insight to organizations on how to effectively manage their human resources sustainably.

Methodologically, this study employs a quantitative approach using Partial Least Squares-based Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM), which enables the complex and simultaneous testing of relationships between variables. By involving various travel companies from major cities in North Sumatra, this study not only produces theoretical mapping but also has high practical relevance for the development of organizational and local government policies. In terms of theoretical contributions, this study expands the scope of the GHRM model in the tourism context, while also bridging the realms of human resource management and environmentally based organizational behavior. On the practical side, the results of this study provide a basis for the tourism travel industry to develop sustainability-based employee recruitment, training, and development policies, as well as create a work environment conducive to promoting green behaviour change. With this approach, this study aims to help develop more adaptive, pro-environmental, and competitive tourism human resources. This aligns with the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), point 8 (decent work and economic growth) and 12 (responsible production and consumption).

The primary objective of this study is to analyze and model the impact of GHRM, green satisfaction, and the green supporting climate on VWGB, thereby supporting the sustainable practices of the travel and tourism industry in North Sumatra. The results of this study will contribute to the existing literature on green HRM and sustainable organizational behavior. Meanwhile, this study can serve as a reference for formulating evidence-based policy strategies to enhance the active role of employees in supporting green initiatives within the tourism sector.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

1) *Theoretical Framework*

The theoretical framework proposed in this study aims to explain the relationship between green human resource management (GHRM), green satisfaction, and a green support climate in relation to voluntary green workplace behavior (VWGB). This is crucial for supporting sustainable practices in the North Sumatra tourism industry. The primary basis of this framework is the theory of planned behavior (TPB) framework introduced by Ajzen (2002).

According to the theory of planned behavior (TPB), an individual's actions are guided by three constructs, their attitudes toward the behavior, their beliefs about others' perceptions of whether they should perform the behavior (subjective norms), and their beliefs about how much influence they have over performing the behavior (perceived behavioral control). The green human resource management (GHRM) is an integrated system of policies and procedures in the area of HR that helps organizations implement sustainability values.

These policies and procedures serve as examples of green HRM, encompassing green recruitment, green training, and performance evaluations that assess employees based on ecological considerations (Khai et al., 2023; Rahman et al., 2024). Additionally, employee green satisfaction and support for the environment are two important psychological factors that enhance employees' intrinsic motivation to engage in voluntary green behaviors. Employee green satisfaction relates to the degree to which employees believe that the organization is committed to protecting the environment (Amrutha & Geetha, 2021; Kim et al., 2019).

According to cognitive motivation theory, when employees are cognitively motivated to protect the environment, it leads to greater participation in ecological behaviors. Employee green support for the climate represents employees' perception of the level of support from their peers and organization as a whole regarding green behavior (Cui et al., 2020). As such, employee support for the climate provides a subjective norm that influences employees' willingness to engage in green behaviors as specified in the theory of planned behavior.

2) *Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)*

Recent literature is showing increasing evidence that companies can strategically use green human resource management (GHRM) practices to encourage employees to take part in green behaviors at work voluntarily, or what this article refers to as voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB) (Ahmad et al., 2023; Ying et al., 2020). Several studies suggest that when companies implement environmentally friendly HR policies, including green recruitment, green training, green performance evaluations, and green incentives, they are associated with positive correlations in voluntary workplace green behavior (Haldorai et al., 2022; Pinzone et al., 2019).

More recent studies suggest that the relationship is not always direct; for example, a study by Rubel et al. (2021), demonstrated that the influence of GHRM on VWGB is mediated by green motivation and environmental beliefs. In a similar vein, a systematic review by Ahmad et al. (2023), confirmed that the trend in GHRM research from 2010 to 2023 has transitioned from focusing solely on HR practices to encompassing the broader implications of environmental behavior and performance in organizations.

Regarding VWGB, recent literature suggests that HR policies do not solely determine VWGB, but are also significantly influenced by employees' psychological and social context, specifically the green psychological climate, green organizational identity, and social norms within the organization (Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Aseanty et al., 2022). Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) has emerged as a key strategy for organisations to integrate sustainability into their human resource management practices. Research indicates that green identity and environmental commitment play a significant mediating role. As an example, (Yang et al., 2023), found that environmental belief and green organizational identity mediate the effects of GHRM on VWGB.

Thus, there is a need for a holistic model that incorporates both the structural pathway (AMO) and the psychological-identity pathway. Although a significant amount of research has been conducted on GHRM, some critical gaps remain. First, many of these studies have a cross-sectional design; therefore, they do not capture the dynamic nature of VWGB over time.

Many researchers have emphasized the importance of implementing GHRM practices to influence employees' attitudes and behaviors toward the environment. Studies Anwar et al. (2020); Rahman et al. (2024); Fawehinmi et al. (2020); Rubel et al. (2023), conducted in various sectors found a positive relationship between GHRM practices and employees' willingness to engage in environmentally friendly behaviors in the workplace. Additionally, GHRM practices such as continued training and development, environmentally friendly recruitment and selection, and performance management systems that emphasize sustainability goals have been identified as influential in promoting voluntary workplace green behavior (Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2023).

Therefore, the literature suggests that GHRM has considerable potential to promote VWGB; however, the effectiveness of GHRM is contingent upon the presence of mediating variables, such as green motivation, environmental identity, and organizational contextual variables. Therefore, based on the results of many previous studies, the following hypotheses were formed:

H1: Green human resource management influences voluntary workplace green behavior.

3) Green Satisfaction and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)

Green satisfaction can be defined as the level of satisfaction or job satisfaction resulting from an environmentally friendly work environment (Chen et al., 2015; Çavusoglu et al., 2021). This concept arose from the need to consider environmental aspects in assessing employee job satisfaction (Hashish et al., 2022; Aseanty et al., 2022).

The development of literature on employee green behavior shows that green satisfaction is increasingly viewed as an affective determinant that plays a crucial role in encouraging voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB). In its early development phase, research focused mainly on the consumer context, positioning green satisfaction as an evaluative response to the quality and environmental performance of a product or service (Kim et al., 2019; Amrutha & Geetha, 2021).

These findings then resonated in the organizational context, where satisfaction with a company's green initiatives was seen as capable of increasing employee voluntary behavior, such as energy savings, waste reduction, and promoting environmental awareness. For example, research by Pinzone et al. (2019), found that green training increased satisfaction and, in turn, encouraged pro-environmental behavior in the healthcare sector. Meanwhile, Abdou et al. (2022) confirmed that green satisfaction increased customer citizenship behavior in eco-friendly hotels through social exchange mechanisms.

Other empirical findings from the service and tourism sectors further confirm the relevance of green satisfaction to voluntary pro-environmental behavior. For example, Hashish et al. (2022) demonstrated that green satisfaction is a significant predictor of green behavioral intentions in eco-friendly hotels. Furthermore, several studies have shown a positive relationship between employees' perceived green satisfaction and their participation in voluntary workplace green behavior (Zhang et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2023). Employees who are satisfied with environmental practices in the workplace are more likely to engage in green behavior (Fadhila & Putra, 2024; Amrutha & Geetha, 2021).

However, further research is needed to understand the mechanisms underlying this relationship and to explore mediating or moderating factors that may influence it. Thus, the literature concludes that green satisfaction is an important affective mechanism that potentially explains how green organizational policies and climates can translate into green behavior. Therefore, based on several previous research findings, the following hypotheses are developed:

H2: Green satisfaction influences voluntary workplace green behavior.

4) *Green Supporting Climate and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)*

A green supportive climate refers to a work environment where environmentally friendly practices and policies are supported and reinforced by the organization (Xiao et al., 2020; Tran Ngo et al., 2021). This concept encompasses aspects such as pro-environmental communication, employee participation in environmental decision-making, and incentives for green behavior (Rubel et al., 2021; Alsetoohy et al., 2022). Zientara and Zamojska (2018), research in the hospitality industry demonstrated that a green organizational climate plays a significant role in encouraging employees' pro-environmental behavior, even when individual factors, such as biospheric values, are not dominant.

These findings are consistent with Xiao et al. (2020), which demonstrated that a green organizational climate enhances VWGB through perceived insider status, indicating that organizational support serves not only as a structural resource but also as a social identification signal. On the other hand, Alsetoohy et al. (2022) demonstrated that a green supportive climate strengthens the impact of humble leadership on VWGB and green performance, confirming that green leadership requires a supportive climate to produce significant behavioral change.

Several studies have demonstrated that a green, supportive climate in the workplace can influence the level of employee participation in voluntary workplace green behaviors (Zientara & Zamojska, 2018; L. Yang et al., 2023). When an organization demonstrates commitment and support for environmental sustainability, employees tend to be more motivated to adopt green behavior in the workplace (Alsetoohy et al., 2022; Kennard, 2020), and the presence of facilities and incentives that support green practices can all increase employee motivation to behave in an environmentally friendly manner (Zhang et al., 2021; Zientara & Zamojska, 2018). More recent empirical studies also show that a green supportive climate often interacts with other variables to produce VWGB, rather than working alone.

Amrutha and Geetha (2021) found that green organizational training can only increase VWGB when a green supportive climate is firmly established in the workplace, which then increases green satisfaction as an affective mechanism. This study suggests that GHRM, without a supportive climate, is insufficient to produce voluntary green behavior.

In line with this, Rubel et al. (2021) showed that perceptions of a green work climate strengthen the relationship between GHRM practices and supervisors' pro-environmental behavior. A comparison of these two studies illustrates that a green-supportive climate acts as a bridge variable connecting structural policies with individual and group behavior, and opens up space for integrative research on multi-actor interactions within organizations.

Therefore, based on several previous research findings, the following hypothesis can be developed:

H3: *Green supporting climate influences voluntary workplace green behavior.*

IV. METHODOLOGY

1) *Research Design*

This study employs a library and field research design with a causal associative approach, aiming to investigate the relationship between several uncertain variables. According to Creswell (2014), mentioning a causal design helps analyze how one variable affects another.

It is also valuable for experimental research where the independent variable is treated in a controlled manner by the researcher to observe its direct impact on the dependent variable. The research location will focus on areas that are centers of the tourism travel industry in North Sumatra, including cities such as Medan, Pematangsiantar, and Simalungun Regency. Data collection was conducted through an online survey of employees working in various tourism and travel companies in the area.

2) *Sample and Data Collection*

The population of this study consists of all employees working in various tourism and travel companies in North Sumatra. This study will focus on variations in the type of work and experience levels of employees, including managers, administrative staff, tour guides, and customer service personnel. The research sample will be selected by purposive sampling, taking into account the representation of various tourism travel companies operating in the area.

The expected number of samples will include employees from various job levels and departments within the company, so that the research results can reflect diverse perspectives and experiences. According to Sarstedt et al. (2020), if the population size is unknown, the sample size can be determined from 5-10 times the number of indicators used in a single construct.

This study utilizes 16 indicators from four existing variable dimensions, resulting in a total of 160 research samples, which comprise 16 x 10 employees working in various tourism and travel companies in North Sumatra.

Table 1. Description of Research Respondents

Category	Detail	Amount	Percentage (%)
Gender	Men	124	77.5
	Woman	36	22.5
Age (years)	< 25	18	11.25
	26–35	42	26.25
	36–45	60	37.5
	46–55	30	18.75
	> 55	10	6.25
Level of education	Senior High School	96	60
	Diploma	24	15
	Bachelor	28	17.5
	Masters	12	7.5
Length of Service (years)	< 1	25	15.63
	1–5	45	28.12
	6–10	70	43.75
	> 10	20	12.5
Position	Operational Staff	39	24.37
	Administrative Staff	30	18.75
	Tour guide	11	6.88
	Supervisor	10	6.25
	Manager	12	7.5
	Employee	58	36.25

Source: data processing results (2025).

This study included 160 participants with diverse demographic characteristics. In terms of gender, the majority of respondents were male, comprising 124 people (77.5%), while the female respondents numbered 36 (22.5%). This composition indicates that the male group made the dominant contribution to the study. From an age perspective, the majority of respondents were in the 36- to 45-year-old range, with a total of 60 people (37.5%). Meanwhile, the 26- to 35-year-old age group was also significant, with a total of 42 people (26.25%). On the other hand, the number of respondents under 25 years old reached 18 people (11.25%).

For people between 46 and 55 years old, there were 30 people (18.75%), while for the age group above 55 years old, there were 10 people (6.25%). This distribution indicates that the majority of respondents were in the productive age category with quite good work experience.

The respondents' educational level revealed that 96 people, or approximately 60%, had a high school education, making them the largest group. Twenty-four people, or 15%, held a Diploma, while 28 people (17.5%) held Bachelor's degrees, and 12 people (7.5%) held Master's degrees. This difference in educational level indicates the diversity of academic backgrounds among the respondents.

Based on length of service, the largest group of respondents was those with 6–10 years of work experience, with a total of 70 people (43.75%). Meanwhile, 45 people (28.12%) had worked for 1–5 years, and 25 people (15.63%) had less than one year of experience. Twenty respondents, equivalent to 12.5%, had worked for more than 10 years. This data indicates that the majority of respondents had significant work experience.

In terms of job title or position, the employee group was the largest category with a total of 58 people, or approximately 36.25%. Operational staff positions comprise 39 people, representing 24.37% of the total respondents. Additionally, there are 30 people (18.75%) in administrative positions. Tour guides comprise 11 people (6.88%), supervisors comprise 10 people (6.25%), and managers comprise 12 people (7.5%). This position distribution demonstrates representation across the organizational structure.

3) Measurement Instruments

Table 2 presents a detailed summary of the operational definitions of the research variables used in this investigation, together with their corresponding measurement codes, indicators, and relevant literature references. Each construct is methodically operationalized according to established theoretical and empirical underpinnings to guarantee conceptual clarity, content validity, and alignment with previous research.

Table 2 delineates the translation of abstract concepts, including green human resource management, green satisfaction, green supporting climate, and voluntary workplace green behavior, into quantifiable indicators, thus providing a methodological foundation for subsequent empirical analysis and hypothesis testing.

Table 2. Operational Definitions of Research Variables

Variables	Code	Item	Source
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)	GHRM1	Green Recruitment and Selection	(Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Rubel et al., 2021)
	GHRM2	Green Training and Development	
	GHRM3	Green Performance Management	
	GHRM4	Green compensation and reward	
Green Satisfaction	GS1	Satisfaction with Environmental Practices	(Chen et al., 2015; Abdou et al., 2022)
	GS2	Congruence of Individual and Organizational Environmental Values	
	GS3	Participation in Sustainable Initiatives	
	GS4	Satisfaction with Communication and Transparency	
Green Supporting Climate	GSC1	Pro-Environmental Communication	(Amrutha & Geetha, 2021; Xiao et al., 2020)
	GSC2	Green Recognition and Awards	
	GSC3	Pro-Environmental Organizational Culture	
	GSC4	Green Facilities and Infrastructure	
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)	VWGB1	Energy Savings	(Kim et al., 2019; Tran Ngo et al., 2021)
	VWGB2	Waste Reduction	
	VWGB3	Environmentally Friendly Transportation	
	VWGB4	Promotion of Environmental Awareness	

Source: constructed by the authors using prior research (2025).

In this research, the operational definition outlines how specific measures were used to quantify each variable. The GHRM variable includes practices of hiring, recruiting, and selecting employees who are aware of the organization's environmental efforts; training and developing skills for employees to have better sustainable competencies; a system of evaluating employee performance that assesses how much they contribute to the organization's green practices; and compensation systems that reward employees for their environmentally responsible actions.

Green satisfaction encompasses how satisfied individuals are with their organization's environmental practices, the degree of alignment between individual and organizational environmental values, an individual's involvement in sustainability programs at work, and the transparency of the organization's environmental policy communication. Green climate support encompasses communication that promotes environmentally responsible behaviors, recognizing employees for their contributions to environmental issues, creating an organizational environment that fosters environmentally responsible behaviors, and providing employees with physical facilities and equipment that facilitate environmentally responsible actions. Voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB) is measured using voluntary behaviors that include reducing energy usage, minimizing waste, utilizing environmentally friendly modes of transportation to get to and from work, and promoting environmental awareness within the organization.

4) Conceptual Framework

Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) has been viewed as a strategic system that embeds sustainable development principles into recruitment and selection, training, appraisal, reward, and compensation systems, while simultaneously aligning them with the organization's environmental objectives. Previous research, adopting an international perspective, has demonstrated a significant positive correlation between green human resource management (GHRM) and environmental conduct (Ren et al., 2018; Yong et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2019).


While some research indicates that this relationship is typically indirect, via psychological characteristics such as employees' environmental values and perceptions of fairness (Zhai et al., 2019; Pham et al., 2020), others report a direct relationship between GHRM and employees' environmentally-friendly actions (Piwowar-Sulej, 2021; 2019). Research on green job satisfaction indicates that employees who experience high levels of satisfaction with their organizations' environmental policies are likely to engage in sustainability-related activities (Andersson et al., 2021; Luu, 2019), although variability in outcomes exists based on the level of organizational commitment to environmental policies and cultural orientation toward environmental policies (Dumont et al., 2017; Paillé & Meija-Morelos, 2019).

The present study contributes to theory by simultaneously integrating GHRM, green job satisfaction, and a green supportive climate as determinants of voluntary green workplace behavior (VWGB). In doing so, it extends our current understanding by considering green job satisfaction as an emotional reaction to the quality of environmental policies and a green, supportive climate as a structural condition that enhances employees'

internalization of sustainability values. In addition, the inclusion of both of these constructs addresses a gap in prior research that typically separates structural and psychological factors. Overall, the conceptual framework for this study views GHRM as an antecedent to green job satisfaction and a green supportive climate, and subsequently as an antecedent to VWGB.

This logical sequence of variables provides a solid theoretical basis for developing hypotheses related to the influence of GHRM on voluntary green workplace behavior, both directly and indirectly through psychological mechanisms and support from the work environment.

Therefore, the conceptual framework model for this study can be depicted in the diagram presented in Figure 1.



B. Figure 1. Research Framework Model

Source: constructed by the authors using prior research (2025).

Figure 1 presents the conceptual framework that delineates the proposed links among the primary dimensions analyzed in this study: green human resource management, green satisfaction, green supportive climate, and voluntary workplace green behavior. The paradigm identifies voluntary workplace green behavior as the primary outcome variable, representing workers' discretionary pro-environmental behaviors that beyond statutory employment obligations. Green human resource management is defined as a systematic and leadership-oriented approach that integrates sustainability concepts into corporate policies and procedures, therefore directly affecting workers' voluntary environmentally friendly behavior (H1). Green pleasure denotes workers' emotional assessment of company environmental practices and value congruence, anticipated to stimulate voluntary participation in eco-friendly behavior via favorable emotional and cognitive reactions (H2).

Furthermore, the green supportive atmosphere embodies employees' collective beliefs of organizational support, norms, and resources pertaining to environmental activities, which are posited to foster a favorable social and psychological environment for voluntary green behavior (H3). The paradigm emphasizes the integrative function of organizational structures, employee attitudes, and contextual support in influencing voluntary environmentally friendly behavior in the workplace, offering a solid foundation for empirical examination from a sustainability-focused business leadership viewpoint.

1) Data Analysis

Data analysis technique using the SEM method with Partial Least Squares Modeling (PLS-SEM). SEM is a multivariate data analysis method consisting of factor analysis and regression analysis, which has the aim of evaluating the relationship between variables in a model, which in this case is the relationship between indicators (manifest variables) and constructs (latent variables) known as assessment of the measurement model, also between latent variables alone or usually referred to as assessment of the structural model (Hair et al., 2019). By using PLS-SEM, researchers can test the relationship between variables simultaneously, in line with the description of multivariate data analysis, which involves the implementation of statistical methods that simultaneously analyze several variables representing measurements related to individuals, organizations, situations, etc.

RESULTS

Measurement Model Assessment

The data obtained from the research questionnaire were processed using the SmartPLS version 3.2.9 application, following the guidelines for processing. Validity and reliability tests were conducted to assess the outer model. The loading factor determines a convergent validity test and AVE. In this context, the loading factor is above 0.7, and the AVE value is 0.5. According to Hair et al. (2019), model reliability is assessed by the values of Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability (CR), both of which are higher than 0.7. All these criteria are met, as evidenced by the results presented in Table 3 and Figure 2.




Figure 2 Measurement model analysis

Table 3. Measurement Model Analysis

Construct/item	Code	Outer Loadings	Cronbach's alpha	CR	AVE
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)			0.878	0.916	0.733
Green Recruitment and Selection	GHRM1	0.881			
Green Training and Development	GHRM2	0.871			
Green Performance Management	GHRM3	0.870			
Green compensation and reward	GHRM4	0.799			
Green Satisfaction			0.861	0.902	0.699
Satisfaction with Environmental Practices	GS1	0.929			
Congruence of Individual and Organizational Environmental Values	GS2	0.913			
Participation in Sustainable Initiatives	GS3	0.761			
Satisfaction with Communication and Transparency	GS4	0.721			
Green Supporting Climate			0.907	0.934	0.781
Pro-Environmental Communication	GSC1	0.921			
Green Recognition and Awards	GSC2	0.875			
Pro-Environmental Organizational Culture	GSC3	0.868			
Green Facilities and Infrastructure	GSC4	0.870			
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)			0.910	0.962	0.782
Energy Savings	VWGB1	0.868			
Waste Reduction	VWGB2	0.925			
Environmentally Friendly Transportation	VWGB3	0.830			
Promotion of Environmental Awareness	VWGB4	0.910			

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025)

The analysis results show that all research constructs have excellent reliability and validity. Green human resource management (GHRM) recorded outer loadings above 0.90, Cronbach's Alpha of 0.878, and CR of 0.916, indicating strong internal consistency. Green satisfaction was also highly reliable with an Alpha of 0.861 and an AVE of 0.699, indicating consistent measurement across dimensions. Furthermore, the green supporting climate recorded outer loadings above 0.90, a Cronbach's Alpha of 0.907, and a CR of 0.934, indicating strong internal consistency. The best construct was voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB), with an Alpha of 0.910 and an AVE of 0.782, indicating a robust and consistent measurement of voluntary green behavior in the workplace.

Table 4 presents the findings of the discriminant validity evaluation using the Fornell–Larcker criteria, which assesses the degree to which each concept is empirically different from the others within the measurement model. Discriminant validity is determined by comparing the square root of the average variance extracted (AVE) for each construct with its correlations to other constructs, so confirming that each latent variable encompasses a distinct conceptual domain and validates the measurement model's adequacy.

Table 4. Discriminant Validity: Fornell-Larcker Criterion

	Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)	Green Satisfaction	Green Supporting Climate	Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)	0.856			
Green Satisfaction	0.128	0.836		
Green Supporting Climate	0.173	0.347	0.884	
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)	0.214	0.247	0.258	0.884

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025)

The results of the discriminant validity test using the Fornell–Larcker Criterion show that each construct has a higher AVE square root value compared to the correlation between other variables. Green human resource management (0.856), green satisfaction (0.836), green supporting climate (0.884), and voluntary workplace green behavior (0.884) each show values that exceed their correlations with other constructs. This finding confirms that each variable in the model has good conceptual clarity and can be empirically distinguished from the others, ensuring that the discriminant validity in this study has been met.

Inner Model Measurement

The Inner Model Measurement test in the SEM-PLS approach aims to evaluate the structural relationships between latent variables in the research model. This test includes an assessment of the coefficient of determination (R^2) to measure the independent variable's ability to explain the dependent variable, as well as the F-Square value to assess the magnitude of the effect between the constructs. Additionally, the path coefficient estimation and its significance level, determined through the bootstrapping procedure, also serve as a reference for determining the strength and direction of the relationship between constructs. Overall, the inner model test provides an overview of the predictive validity and structural feasibility of the developed research model. According to Sarstedt et al. (2020), there are three categories of R-Square, namely when the value is 0.19, 0.33, and 0.67, indicating weak, moderate, and strong relationships between exogenous variables and the endogenous variable, respectively. Meanwhile, Ghozali (2014) stated that when the R-squared value exceeds 0.67, the relationship between the endogenous and exogenous variables is considered strong.

Table 5. Coefficient of Determination Result R2

Notes	R-Square	R-Square Adjusted
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)	0.120	0.103

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025).

Based on Table 5, the R^2 value for voluntary workplace green behavior is 0.120, and the Adjusted R^2 is 0.103, which means that the independent variables in the model are only able to explain 12% of the variation in voluntary workplace green behavior, while other factors outside the model influence the rest. This value is classified as weak to moderate, but still indicates a significant influence.

Table 6 presents the results of the f-square (f^2) effect size analysis, which assesses the magnitude of each exogenous variable's contribution to explaining the endogenous construct, voluntary workplace green

behavior. The f^2 values indicate the extent to which green human resource management, green satisfaction, and a green supporting climate individually influence changes in the explained variance of voluntary workplace green behavior, thereby providing insight into the relative practical significance of each predictor within the structural model.

Table 6. F-Square Value

	Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)	Green Satisfaction	Green Supporting Climate	Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)				0.029
Green Satisfaction				0.027
Green Supporting Climate				0.029
Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB)				

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025)

Based on the analysis results in Table 6, the F-square analysis indicates that the influence of each predictor variable on Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior falls into the small effect category. Green Human Resource Management contributed a change of 0.029, followed by Green Satisfaction with a value of 0.027, and Green Supporting Climate, which also showed a value of 0.029. These three values indicate that although these variables influence employee voluntary green behavior, the magnitude of the effect is relatively small. This finding suggests that there are other factors outside the model that may contribute more significantly to shaping voluntary green behavior in the workplace.

Hypothesis Testing

A significance test is conducted to verify the hypothesis and determine the relationship between exogenous and endogenous variables. The p-value comparing exogenous and endogenous variables is less than 0.05, indicating significance at the 5% level. Therefore, the exogenous variable has a significant effect on the endogenous variable. For a value more significant than 0.05, the exogenous variable does not have a significant effect on building the endogenous variable.

Table 7. Hypothesis Test

Hypothesis	Coefficient	Standard Deviation	t-count	P-Value	Conclusion
Green Human Resource Management (GHRM)>>Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB) (H1)	0.163	0.074	2,186	0.029	Accepted
Green Satisfaction>>Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB) (H2)	0.166	0.080	2,070	0.038	Accepted
Green Supporting Climate>>Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior (VWGB) (H3)	0.172	0.078	2,188	0.029	Accepted

Source: Analysis SmartPLS software (2025)

The results of the hypothesis test show that all relationships tested in the model have adequate statistical significance. Green human resource management has been proven to have a positive effect on voluntary workplace green behavior, with a coefficient of 0.163 and a p-value of 0.029, supporting the acceptance of the first hypothesis. Green satisfaction also shows a positive effect on voluntary green behavior, with a coefficient of 0.166 and a p-value of 0.038, supporting the second hypothesis. Furthermore, a green supporting climate has an influence coefficient of 0.172 with a p-value of 0.029, which confirms that a work climate that supports environmental practices significantly encourages employees' voluntary green behavior. Overall, these findings suggest that managerial aspects, satisfaction with green practices, and support for the work environment play a significant role in shaping pro-environmental behavior that emerges voluntarily in the workplace.

V. DISCUSSION

The results of this study confirm that the implementation of voluntary workplace green behavior (VWGB) variables in organizations within the tourism industry is significantly influenced by three key variables: green human resource management, green satisfaction, and green climate support. Based on the results of the first

hypothesis test (H1), it was found that green human resource management (GHRM) had a positive and significant effect on VWGB, with a coefficient value of 0.163 and a p-value of 0.029. The results are certainly strengthened by the effectiveness of implementing GHRM in an organization that is systematic and implemented sustainably.

The strengthening of GHRM is undoubtedly supported by an environmentally friendly recruitment system, employee training and development that considers environmental issues, a performance appraisal system oriented towards sustainable practices, and compensation that encourages eco-friendly behavior, thus encouraging employees to implement it. This finding aligns with previous studies that have detailed the high dependency of employee engagement in green initiatives on consistent GHRM implementation (Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Rubel et al., 2021). Organizations that implement this approach often provide training and career development programs that focus not only on technical skills but also on developing soft skills relevant to social and environmental issues.

The second hypothesis test (H2) confirmed that VWGB is positively and significantly influenced by the green satisfaction variable with a coefficient of 0.166 and a *p*-value of 0.038. These findings support the notion that voluntary participation in environmentally friendly employee behaviors is closely related to job satisfaction among environmentally conscious employees. In practice, companies concerned with sustainable issues not only refer to their company policies but also involve all stakeholders in creating a more socially and ecologically responsible work environment.

The results of this study align with previous research examining aspects of green satisfaction, which found that employees encouraged to actively participate in reducing negative environmental impacts are influenced by green job satisfaction factors (Chen et al., 2015; Abdou et al., 2022). In managing a sustainable organization, it is essential to understand and integrate sustainability principles into every aspect of the organization, to achieve a balance between economic, social, and environmental interests in its operations.

Furthermore, regarding the third hypothesis (H3), the findings of this study confirm that the VWGB variable is also positively and significantly influenced by a green supportive climate, as evidenced by a coefficient value of 0.172 and a p-value of 0.029. These results emphasize that the green supportive climate aspect exists as organizational support for employees to implement sustainable practices in the work environment. This finding is certainly in line with previous studies by Amrutha and Geetha (2021) and Xiao et al. (2020), which suggested that a green supportive climate plays a crucial role in strengthening the relationship between organizational policies and individual behavior towards organizational sustainable practices. Organizations can both enhance their overall operational performance (while at the same time enhancing the environmental and social sustainability) by creating an organization that has a green supportive climate. The improved operational performance does not only result from higher efficiency and productivity; it also enhances the organization's capacity to adapt to environmental changes and community needs.

The importance of human resources development, as well as the influence that HR practices have on sustainability performance, are two key principles of human resource management that will help the organization achieve its goals and improve performance, thereby reducing negative impacts on stakeholders and increasing organizational results.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the research results demonstrated through structural model analysis, it is concluded that the existence of voluntary green behavior in the workplace is influenced by green human resource management, green satisfaction, and green climate-supporting factors. These results confirm that sustainable human resource management practices within organizations significantly influence every employee to engage in green behavior in the workplace. Effective and sustainable HRM supports both the achievement of organizational objectives and the promotion of employees' well-being, while also fostering positive impacts on the environment and society. HRM is crucial for creating a workplace environment that is both productive and inclusive. In addition, by incorporating sustainability principles into HRM strategic plans, an organization can foster a culture that promotes employee well-being, which can positively impact job satisfaction, productivity, and commitment.

Furthermore, the findings of this study theoretically contribute to the literature on organizational behavior and sustainable management in the field of human resource management, particularly in the service sector, which focuses on environmental considerations. Furthermore, this study also emphasizes the urgency of employees' subjective views on sustainable values and practices, which play a crucial role in driving the success of an organization's green behavior program. Thus, a holistic approach that combines systemic and psychological elements is essential to designing efficient and sustainable sustainability strategies in the tourism sector.

This research has significant practical implications for firms, highlighting that the successful execution of voluntary workplace green behavior is primarily a concern for business leadership. Organizational leaders are essential in integrating sustainability principles into human resource management policies, encompassing the development of training programs that promote environmental awareness, incentive structures that

acknowledge and reward eco-friendly contributions, and the establishment of work environments that foster employee engagement and transparent communication regarding environmental matters.

The results indicate that tourist sector regulators should assist company leadership by formulating context-specific green human resource management standards that align with regional attributes and the competencies of local industry stakeholders. Future academic advancement necessitates more study to investigate leadership-related processes, including ecological leadership and individual environmental values, as mediating or moderating factors that might enhance voluntary green behavior. Broadening the geographic range and industrial setting of future investigations would augment the generalizability of the results. Ultimately, the pursuit of sustainability should regard voluntary workplace green behavior not solely as an individual obligation but as the result of a strategically managed, leadership-driven organizational framework, enabling business leaders to produce lasting environmental and societal advantages.

Notwithstanding these contributions, this research has shortcomings that require acknowledgment. The cross-sectional study methodology limits the capacity to establish causal inferences or observe changes in voluntary green behavior over time, indicating that longitudinal studies are necessary for a more comprehensive understanding of behavioral dynamics and sustainability trajectories. The model's limited explanatory power suggests that additional factors, such as leadership styles, moral norms, organizational identity, or external institutional pressures could significantly influence voluntary green behavior but were excluded from this analysis. Third, the dependence on self-reported survey data introduces the potential for common method bias and social desirability effects, which may influence respondents' evaluations of their own environmental behavior. The study's empirical emphasis on the travel and tourist sector in North Sumatra restricts the applicability of the results to other locations and industries, especially those with distinct regulatory frameworks or organizational cultures. Future studies should integrate multi-source data, expand regional coverage, do comparative industry analyses, and include mediating or moderating variables such as ecological leadership and personal environmental values to enhance comprehension in this domain.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: E. D., R. A. H., M. B., S. F., D. L.; curation data: E. D., R. A. H., M. B., S. F., D. L.; formal analysis: E. D., S. F., D. L.; investigation: E. D., R. A. H., M. B.; methodology: E. D., R. A. H., M. B., S. F.; project administration: E. D., M. B., S. F.; supervision: E. D., S. F., D. L.; validation: E. D., R. A. H., M. B., S. F., D. L.; visualization: E. D., R. A. H., M. B., S. F.; writing – original draft: E. D., R. A. H., M. B., S. F., D. L.; writing – review & editing: E. D., R. A. H., M. B., S. F., D. L.

Acknowledgements

On this occasion, the writing team would like to express their deepest gratitude to the DRTPM Kemdikbudristek for the funding budget provided for the National Competitive Research Fundamental Research Scheme implementation year 2025 with main contract number 122/C3/DT.05.00/PL/2025 dated 28 May 2025 and derivative contract 66/SPK/LL1/AL.04.03/PL/2025, 1164.B/STIE/LPPM/SA/VI/2025 dated 11 and 12 June 2025. The writing team would also like to express its deepest gratitude to all parties involved in the research and writing process, as well as to the entire academic community of the Sultan Agung College of Economics, which has provided support to our team.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data is available and can be provided on request.

Informed Consent Statement

This research has obtained ethical approval from the Sultan Agung College of Economics and the research subjects. The confidentiality of all respondents involved in this study was maintained, and written consent was obtained from each of them.

A. References

1. Abdou, A. H., Hassan, T. H., Salem, A. E., Albakhit, A. I., Almakhayitah, M. Y., & Salama, W. (2022). The Nexus between Environmentally Sustainable Practices, Green Satisfaction, and Customer Citizenship Behavior in Eco-Friendly Hotels: Social Exchange Theory Perspective. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(19). [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Ahmad, J., Al Mamun, A., Masukujjaman, M., Mohamed Makhbul, Z. K., & Mohd Ali, K. A. (2023). Modeling The Workplace Pro-Environmental Behavior Through Green Human Resource Management and Organizational Culture: Evidence From an Emerging Economy. *Heliyon*, 9(9), e19134. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Ajzen, I. (2002). Perceived Behavioral Control, Self-Efficacy, Locus of Control, and The Theory of Planned Behavior. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 32(4), 665–683. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Alsetoohy, O., Al-Abyadh, M. H. A., Döngül, E. S., Agina, M. F., & Elshaer, A. (2022). How Humble Leadership Affects Voluntary Green Behavior and Green Performance? The Roles of Job Autonomy and Green Supporting Climate in Hotels. *Problemy Ekorozwoju*, 17(2), 230–242. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Amrutha, V. N., & Geetha, S. N. (2021). Linking Organizational Green Training and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior: Mediating Role of Green Supporting Climate and Employees' Green Satisfaction. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 290, 125876. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Anwar, N., Nik Mahmood, N. H., Yusliza, M. Y., Ramayah, T., Noor Faezah, J., & Khalid, W. (2020). Green Human Resource Management For Organisational Citizenship Behaviour Towards The Environment and Environmental Performance on A University Campus. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 256(1), 120401. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Aseanty, D., Wahyu Gunawan, A., & Julieta, G. (2022). Factors Affecting Intention to Continue Volunteering with Job Satisfaction as Mediation. *Business and Entrepreneurial Review*, 22(1), 1–18. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Çavusoglu, S., Demirag, B., Jusuf, E., & Gunardi, A. (2021). The Effect of Attitudes Toward Green Behaviors on Green Image, Green Customer Satisfaction and Green Customer Loyalty. *Geojournal of Tourism and Geosites*, 33(4), 1513–1519. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Chen, Y. S., Lin, C. Y., & Weng, C. S. (2015). The Influence of Environmental Friendliness on Green Trust: The Mediation Effects of Green Satisfaction and Green Perceived Quality. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 7(8), 10135–10152. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, INTERSPEECH* (2nd ed.). [\[Link\]](#)
11. Cui, L., Sun, Y., Song, M., & Zhu, L. (2020). Co-Financing in The Green Climate Fund: Lessons From The Global Environment Facility. *Climate Policy*, 20(1), 95–108. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Esen, S., Saygili, M., & Ates, C. (2023). Effects of Innovation Capabilities on Organisational Sustainability: Evidence from an Emerging Economy. *Marketing and Management of Innovations*, 14(2), 58–74. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Fadhila, W., & Putra, K. C. P. (2024). Experience Quality's Impact on Green Customer Satisfaction Mediated by Green Perceived Value in the Malang Ecotourism Landscape. *International Journal of Green Tourism Research and Applications*, 6(1), 8–20. <https://doi.org/10.31940/ijogtra.v6i1.8-20>
14. Fawehinmi, O., Yusliza, M. Y., Mohamad, Z., Noor Faezah, J., & Muhammad, Z. (2020). Assessing The Green Behaviour of Academics: The Role of Green Human Resource Management and Environmental Knowledge. *International Journal of Manpower*, 41(7), 879–900. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
15. Fawehinmi, O., Yusliza, M. Y., Wan Kasim, W. Z., Mohamad, Z., & Sofian Abdul Halim, M. A. (2020). Exploring The Interplay of Green Human Resource Management, Employee Green Behavior, and Personal Moral Norms. *SAGE Open*, 10(4). [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Ghozali, I. (2014). *Structural Equation Modeling, Metode Alternatif dengan Partial Least Square (PLS)*. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro. [\[Link\]](#)
17. Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (8th ed.). Pearson Prentice Hall. [\[Link\]](#)
18. Haldorai, K., Kim, W. G., & Garcia, R. L. F. (2022). Top Management Green Commitment and Green Intellectual Capital As Enablers of Hotel Environmental Performance: The Mediating Role of Green Human Resource Management. *Tourism Management*, 88(September 2021), 104431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2021.104431>
19. Hashish, M. E. S., Abdou, A. H., Mohamed, S. A. K., Elenain, A. S. A., & Salama, W. (2022). The Nexus between Green Perceived Quality, Green Satisfaction, Green Trust, and Customers' Green Behavioral Intentions in Eco-Friendly Hotels: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(23). [\[CrossRef\]](#)
20. Indajang, K., Andronicus, M., Sembiring, L. D., Marthin Hutler Ambarita, & Sudirman, A. (2024). Employee Engagement in the Creative Industry Sector of North Sumatra: Analyzing Work-Life Balance Stimulants and Perceived Organizational Support. *International Journal of Business, Law, and Education*, 5(2), 2663–2673. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Kennard, A. (2020). The Enemy of My Enemy: When Firms Support Climate Change Regulation. *International Organization*, 74(2), 187–221. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. Khai, N. T., Ngoc, N., Phuong, D., Cuong, T. Q., Thi, D., & Truc, T. (2023). Green Human Resource

- Management and Employee Pro-Environmental Behaviors : The Role of Individual Green Value. *HCMCOUJS-Economics and Business Administration*, 14(2), 45–63. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
23. Kim, A., Kim, Y., & Han, K. (2019). A Cross Level Investigation on the Linkage Between Job Satisfaction and Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 159(4), 1199–1214. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
24. Kuo, Y. K., Khan, T. I., Islam, S. U., Abdullah, F. Z., Pradana, M., & Kaewsang-on, R. (2022). Impact of Green HRM Practices on Environmental Performance: The Mediating Role of Green Innovation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13(June), 1–11. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
25. Nababan, T. S., Panjaitan, R., Panjaitan, F., Siregar, R. T., & Sudirman, A. (2023). Market Structure, Conduct, and Performance of Star Hotels in North Sumatra, Indonesia. *Institutions and Economics*, 15(1), 99–130. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
26. Novitasari, M., & Agustia, D. (2023). Competitive Advantage As A Mediating Effect In The Impact of Green Innovation and Firm Performance. *Business: Theory and Practice*, 24(1), 216–226. <https://doi.org/10.3846/btp.2023.15865>
27. Pinzone, M., Guerci, M., Lettieri, E., & Huisingh, D. (2019). Effects of Green Training on Pro-Environmental Behaviors and Job Satisfaction: Evidence From The Italian Healthcare Sector. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 226, 221–232. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Rahman, M., Wahab, S. A., & Latiff, A. S. A. (2024). Socially Responsible Human Resource Management and Organizational Sustainability Among Bangladeshi Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Organizations: The Explanatory Link of Voluntary Green Behavior. *Journal of Future Sustainability*, 4(3), 117–132. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
29. Ramesh, K., Saha, R., Goswami, S., Sekar, & Dahiya, R. (2019). Consumer's Response to CSR Activities: Mediating Role of Brand Image and Brand Attitude. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26(2), 377–387. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
30. Rubel, M. R. B., Kee, D. M. H., & Rimi, N. N. (2021). Green Human Resource Management and Supervisor Pro-Environmental Behavior: The Role of Green Work Climate Perceptions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 313(December 2020), 127669. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
31. Rubel, M. R. B., Kee, D. M. H., Yusliza, M. Y., & Rimi, N. N. (2023). Socially Responsible HRM and Hotel Employees' Environmental Performance: The Mediating Roles of Green Knowledge Sharing and Environmental Commitment. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 35(7), 2645–2664. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
32. Santoso, H., Elidjen, Abdinagoro, S. B., & Arief, M. (2019). The Role of Creative Self-Efficacy, Transformational Leadership, and Digital Literacy in Supporting Performance Through Innovative Work Behavior: Evidence From Telecommunications Industry. *Management Science Letters*, 9(13), 2305–2314. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2019.7.024>
33. Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Nitzl, C., Ringle, C. M., & Howard, M. C. (2020). Beyond a Tandem Analysis of SEM and Process: Use of PLS-SEM for mediation analyses! *International Journal of Market Research*, 62(3), 288–299. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
34. Tian, H., Zhang, J., & Li, J. (2020). The Relationship Between Pro-Environmental Attitude and Employee Green Behavior: The Role Of Motivational States and Green Work Climate Perceptions. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(7), 7341–7352. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
35. Tran Ngo, M., Kim Thanh Bui, T., & Thi Hieu Huynh, T. M. (2021). Green Training and Voluntary Green Behavior of Employees in Can Tho City: the Mediating Role of Awareness of Green Working Environment and Job Satisfaction. *The Seybold Report*, 18(5), 1669–1684. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
36. UNWTO. (2016). *Tourism Highlights 2016 Edition*. [\[Link\]](#)
37. Widianarko, B., Hantoro, I., Putri, N. I., & Nugrahedi, P. Y. (2025). Transforming food systems in Semarang City, Indonesia: A short food supply chain model. *Open Agriculture*, 10(1), 1–12. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
38. Xiao, J., Mao, J. Y., Huang, S., & Qing, T. (2020). Employee-Organization Fit and Voluntary Green Behavior: A Cross-Level Model Examining The Role of Perceived Insider Status and Green Organizational Climate. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(7). [\[CrossRef\]](#)
39. Yang, J., Malik, S. Y., Mughal, Y. H., Azam, T., Khan, W., Chuadhry, M. A., Ilyas, M., & Cao, Y. (2023). Assessing the Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility, Green Shared Vision on Voluntary Green Work Behavior: Mediating Role of Green Human Resource Management. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(23). [\[CrossRef\]](#)
40. Yang, L., Shao, X., & Jiang, Y. (2023). Fostering Employees' Voluntary Green Behavior: The Role of Environmentally Specific Servant Leadership, Positive Affectivity, and Workplace Anxiety. *Sustainability*, 15(20), 14883. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
41. Ying, M., Faraz, N. A., Ahmed, F., & Raza, A. (2020). How Does Servant Leadership Foster Employees' Voluntary Green Behavior? A Sequential Mediation Model. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(5). [\[CrossRef\]](#)
42. Zhang, J., Ul-Durar, S., Akhtar, M. N., Zhang, Y., & Lu, L. (2021). How Does Responsible Leadership Affect Employees' Voluntary Workplace Green Behaviors? A Multilevel Dual Process Model of Voluntary Workplace Green Behaviors. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 296(June). [\[CrossRef\]](#)
43. Zhang, X., Li, Z., Zhang, H., & Zhang, Q. (2022). Appraising and Reacting To Voluntary Green Behavior At Work: The Effects of Green Motive Attribution. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13(September), 1–14. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

44. Zhao, W., & Huang, L. (2022). The Impact of Green Transformational Leadership, Green HRM, Green Innovation and Organizational Support on The Sustainable Business Performance: Evidence From China. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istrazivanja*, 35(1), 6121–6141. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

45. Zientara, P., & Zamojska, A. (2018). Green Organizational Climates and Employee Pro- Environmental Behaviour in The Hotel Industry. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 26(7), 1142–1159. [\[CrossRef\]](#)


Appendix

Questionnaire Form

GREEN HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (GHRM)						
No	What is your opinion, attitude, knowledge regarding the statement below	Very Good	Good	Neutral	Poor	Very Poor
<i>Green Recruitment and Selection</i>						
1	Does your organization incorporate sustainability principles in employee recruitment and selection processes?					
2	To what extent do workforce recruitment practices in your organization consider environmental friendliness and social responsibility?					
<i>Green Training and Development</i>						
3	Are employees involved in training programs aimed at improving the organization's environmental performance?					
4	To what extent do employees contribute to energy efficiency and waste reduction in the workplace?					
<i>Green Performance Management</i>						
5	Does your organization encourage the use of environmentally friendly transportation in work-related activities?					
6	To what extent does the organization manage internal distribution or logistics systems with consideration for environmental impacts?					
<i>Green compensation and reward</i>						
7	Does your organization transparently report environmental performance policies and outcomes to employees?					
8	To what extent does the organization ensure accountability in implementing environmentally friendly HR programs?					
GREEN SATISFACTION						
<i>Satisfaction with Environmental Practices</i>						
1	How do you perceive the environmentally friendly policies implemented by your organization?					
2	Do the organization's environmental programs increase your job satisfaction?					
<i>Congruence of Individual and Organizational Environmental Values</i>						
3	Are your personal environmental values aligned with those of the organization?					
4	To what extent do you feel that your identity as an environmentally conscious individual aligns with the organizational culture?					
<i>Participation in Sustainable Initiatives</i>						
5	How able are you to participate in sustainability initiatives implemented by the organization?					
6	Does the opportunity to participate in sustainability programs increase your pride in working for this organization?					
<i>Satisfaction with Communication and Transparency</i>						
7	How do you perceive the organization's transparency in communicating environmental policies and achievements?					
8	Does transparency in environmental information increase your trust and satisfaction with the organization?					
GREEN SUPPORTING CLIMATE						
<i>Pro-Environmental Communication</i>						
1	Are you satisfied with the organization's communication regarding environmental issues?					
2	To what extent does communication related to environmentally friendly behavior motivate you to care more about environmental issues?					
3	Does organizational openness in discussing environmental issues enhance your satisfaction?					

<i>Green Recognition and Awards</i>					
4	How do you perceive the recognition or appreciation given to employees who contribute to green practices?				
5	To what extent do rewards related to environmentally friendly behavior motivate you to be more environmentally conscious?				
<i>Pro-Environmental Organizational Culture</i>					
6	How do you perceive an organizational culture that encourages environmentally friendly behavior in the workplace?				
7	To what extent are pro-environmental values reflected in the organization's daily activities?				
<i>Green Facilities and Infrastructure</i>					
8	How do you perceive the environmentally friendly facilities provided by the organization (e.g., waste management, energy-saving systems, green spaces)?				
9	To what extent does the organization's green infrastructure support your comfort and job satisfaction?				
VOLUNTARY WORKPLACE GREEN BEHAVIOR (VWGB)					
<i>Energy Savings</i>					
1	I voluntarily turn off lights and electrical equipment that are not in use at the workplace.				
2	I make efforts to use energy efficiently even when there are no formal organizational rules.				
<i>Waste Reduction</i>					
3	I actively try to reduce paper use by utilizing digital technologies.				
4	I voluntarily sort waste according to its type (organic, non-organic, recyclable).				
<i>Environmentally Friendly Transportation</i>					
5	I make efforts to use environmentally friendly transportation (carpooling, public transport, or cycling) to commute to work.				
6	I support organizational policies that promote the use of low-emission transportation.				
<i>Promotion of Environmental Awareness</i>					
7	I voluntarily encourage colleagues to be more concerned about environmentally friendly practices.				
8	I actively share information about the importance of environmental protection in the workplace.				

Bukti konfirmasi artikel accepted (26 Desember 2025)



Bukti konfirmasi copyediting dan sign agreement (01 Januari 2026)

The screenshot shows a Gmail interface with the following elements:

- Header:** Gmail logo, search bar with "Telusuri email", and utility icons (help, settings, etc.).
- Left Sidebar:** "Tulis" button, "Kotak Masuk" (Inbox) section with filters like "Berbintang", "Ditunda", "Terkirim", "Draf", "Pembelian", and "Selengkapnya". A "Label" section is also visible.
- Email Content:**
 - From:** Kateryna Lushchick <bel_mngeditor@ar-and-p.de>
 - To:** kepada saya
 - Date:** Kam, 1 Jan, 03:58
 - Text:**

Dear Professor Edy Dharma,
See attached edited paper.

Check whether everything is correct and sign agreement.

Regards
Managing Editor of BEL
- Attachments:** "2 Lampiran • Dipindai oleh Gmail" section showing two PDF files: "Publication Agree..." and "BEL 4 2025 30.1...".
- Footer:** "Balas" (Reply), "Teruskan" (Forward), and "Emoji" buttons. A Windows watermark "Activate Windows" is visible in the bottom right.

Bukti konfirmasi signed the agreement (02 Januari 2026)

The screenshot shows a Gmail interface with a search bar at the top containing "Telusuri email". The left sidebar includes a "Tulis" button and a "Kotak Masuk" section with categories like "Berbintang", "Ditunda", "Terkirim", "Draf", "Pembelian", and "Selengkapnya". The main email content is from "dharma edy" to "Kateryna" with the subject "Signed Agreement and Manuscript Verification". The email text reads: "Dear Managing Editor, Thank you very much for sending the edited version of our paper. We would like to inform you that we have checked and verified the manuscript carefully, and we confirm that the content is correct, complete, and approved. In addition, we have signed the agreement as requested. Thank you very much for your kind assistance and support throughout the publication process. We sincerely hope that our article can be published, and we kindly request to be provided with a Letter of Acceptance (LoA). We look forward to your confirmation. Kind regards, Edy Dharma". At the bottom, there are buttons for "Balas" and "Teruskan", and a "2 Lampiran" section with a download icon and the text "Tambahkan semua ke Drive". An "Upgrade" button is visible in the bottom left corner.

dharma edy <mrdharmaedy@gmail.com> kepada Kateryna

Signed Agreement and Manuscript Verification

Dear Managing Editor,

Thank you very much for sending the edited version of our paper.

We would like to inform you that we have checked and verified the manuscript carefully, and we confirm that the content is correct, complete, and approved. In addition, we have signed the agreement as requested.

Thank you very much for your kind assistance and support throughout the publication process. We sincerely hope that our article can be published, and we kindly request to be provided with a Letter of Acceptance (LoA).

We look forward to your confirmation.

Kind regards,
Edy Dharma

2 Lampiran • Dipindai oleh Gmail • Tambahkan semua ke Drive

Balas Teruskan

Upgrade →

Bukti konfirmasi artikel published online (05 Januari 2026)

Driving Business Leadership Through Voluntary Workplace Green Behavior: Evidence from Green Human Resource Management, Green Satisfaction, and Green Supportive Climate



Contents

Authors:

Edy Dharma, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6460-2145>

M.Si., Management Program Study, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Sultan Agung, Indonesia

Reina A. Hadikusumo, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-8535-4667>

Dr., Marketing Management Study Program, Universitas Surabaya, Indonesia

Marisi Butarbutar, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3071-6064>

Dr., Management Science Study Program, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Sultan Agung, Indonesia

Salman Faris, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6574-4316>

Dr., Management Program Study, Universitas Prima Indonesia, Indonesia

Darwin Lie, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2396-3160>

Dr., Doctoral Program in Management Science, Universitas Prima Indonesia, Indonesia

Pages: 272-288

Language: English

Activate Windows
Go to PC settings to activate Windows.